ON

FINANCIAL REFORM

SIR HENRY PARNELE

EMMERSEVERS





ON

FINANCIAL REFORM

BY

SIR HENRY PARNELL

THIRD EDITION

[1831]



REPRINTS OF ECONOMIC CLASSICS

Augustus M. Kelley · Publishers

NEW YORK 1968

First Edition 1830 Third Edition 1831

(London: John Murray, Albemarle Street, 1831)

Reprinted 1968 by AUGUSTUS M. KELLEY · PUBLISHERS

New York New York 10010

Library of Congress Catalogue Card Number 68-56560

FINANCIAL REFORM.

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$

SIR HENRY PARNELL,

BART. M.P.

THIRD EDITION.

LONDON:

JOHN MURRAY, ALBEMARLE STREET.

MDCCCXXXI.

PREFACE

TO

THE THIRD EDITION.

THE numerous Parliamentary accounts and Pamphlets concerning the subjects treated of in this work, which have been published since the first edition of it appeared, have been carefully examined, and such additions have been introduced as are calculated to give a complete exposition of the actual state of the finances.

Additional accounts are inserted in the Appendix, of the Civil List Pensions, and of Salaries exceeding 1000l. a year; and also of the comparative state of Ireland with respect to Trade, Manufactures, and Agriculture, immediately before the Union and at the present time. An Index has also been added.

February 1, 1831.

ERRATUM.

Page 4, line 1, for 6,800,000l., read 1,800,000l.

CONTENTS.

[See Index.]

CHAPTER	I TAXATION,	p.	ı.
---------	-------------	----	----

Barilla	Page Page
Barilla	CHAPTER II.—Taxes on Raw Materials, p. 18.
Paper Manufacture	Bricks and Tiles 23 Bricks and Tiles 23
CHAPTER IV.—TAXES ON LUXURIES, p. 38. Effects of Excessive Taxation 40 Irish Spirits	CHAPTER III.—Taxes on Manufactures, p. 28.
Effects of Excessive Taxation Foreign Spirits Tea Tobacco Almonds. Currants. Raisins Effect of reducing Taxes Tea Tobacco T	tto 30 Machinery 34
Foreign Spirits ib. Scotch ditto	CHAPTER IV.—TAXES ON LUXURIES, p. 38.
	Spirits ib. Scotch ditto ib. ib. French Wines 46 ib. French Wines 46 ib. Glass ib s. Currants. Raisins 43 Beer Duty ib s. Currants. Raisins 43 Smuggling 47 s. Currants. Raisins 44 Sugar Duty ib ib. Duties to be reduced 46 Repayment of Duties 50
a	PTER V.—TAXES FOR GIVING PROTECTION TO AGRICULTURE, p. 60.
	aws 62 Tithes 68

CHAPTER VI.—Taxes for giving Protection to British Manufactures, p. 71.

History of	Of no Benefit to Manufacturers 81 Encourage inferior Goods . ib. Foreign Competition . 90
	REPEALING TAXES AND TAKING MAKING THE REMAINING TAXES
CHAPTER VIII.—R	ETRENCHMENT, p. 97.
Expenditure of 1827 98	Peace Establishment in 1792 100
CHAPTER IX.—THE COLLEC	TION OF THE REVENUE, p. 110.
Customs 114 Excise 115	
CHAPTER X.—BOUNTIES	E AND DRAWBACKS, p. 120.
Linen 120	Sugar 121
	EMENT OF THE PUBLIC EXPEN- c, p. 125.
Treasury	Treasurer of the Navy . 134 Navy Board ib. Dock-Yards 135 Navy Accounts 140 Paymaster of Marines . 143 Victualling Office 144 Manufactures ib. Accounts of the Vict. Office ib. Civil Departments of the Ord. 145 Woolwich Academy . 155 Ordnance Accounts . 156 Public Accounts 159

CHAPTER XII.—CIVIL GO	overnment, p. 188.				
,, Scotland . 192 J ,, Ireland . 196 M Duchy of Lancaster, &c 198 K	Cord-Lieutenant of Ireland 200 (udges' Salaries 202 Mint 203 King's Printer 204 Galaries and Superannuations 206				
CHAPTER XIII.—MILITARY	Expenditure, p. 211.				
Army Expenditure 219 C	Ordnance Expenditure . 225				
CHAPTER XIV.—SLAVE TRADE— p. 228					
CHAPTER XV.—Con	LONIES, p. 231.				
	Refining Sugar 240 Colonies of little advantage 241				
CHAPTER XVI.—IRI	eland, p. 252.				
Effect of Emancipation . 254 T Prospect of Improvement . 255	Caxation of 256				
Chapter XVII.—Summary of Retrenchment, p. 257.					
CHAPTER XVIII.—NE	w Taxes, p. 260.				
Income Tax 261 S	tamp Duties • • 262				
CHAPTER XIX.—THE NAT	TIONAL DEBT, p. 265.				

Effects of Loans . 266 | Effects of Taxes for Dividends 268

CHA	APTER XX.—FUTURE WAR EXPENDITURE, p. 270.	
War Taxe Preparator	Page 270 Loss from Loans in last War ry Measures 272 Expenditure in 1790	Page 273 275
Сна	PTER XXI.—LOANS IN NOMINAL CAPITAL, p. 278.	
	Manner of making Loans . 279	
Cu	MAPTER XXII.—TERMINABLE ANNUITIES, p. 285.	
Спа	PTER XXIII.—Accumulation of Capital, p. 289.	. /
Restriction	ns in the way of . 290 Free Trade	292
	APPENDIX.	
No. I.	Duties on Articles being Materials of Manufactures, Buildings, Shipbuilding, &c	297
No. II.	Tobacco, Wines, Spirits, Sugar, Tea, and Coffee imported, and Glass made for home consumption: Spirits made in Ireland and Scotland	309
No. III.	Duties for protecting Manufactures	318
No. IV.	Revenue and Expenditure during the wars of 1793 and 1803	3 21
No. V.	Questions on Terminable Annuities	323
No. VI.	Civil List Pensions	324
No. VII.	Salaries exceeding 10001. a year	337
No. VIII.	Accounts of the Comparative State of Ireland as to Trade, &c. at the Union and at the present time .	363
	INDEX	373

FINANCIAL REFORM.

CHAPTER I.

TAXATION.

Although it may be impossible to relieve industry from all those taxes which are injurious to it, no attempt can be made towards accomplishing a partial repeal of them, without producing a great public benefit; and as, perhaps, the general distaste for close and accurate reasoning on subjects of this kind may have led to exaggerated notions of the difficulty attending the getting rid of taxes producing several millions of revenue, no one ought to feel discouraged from endeavouring to show,—if, after a full consideration of the subject, he feels no doubt he can do so,—that many taxes might be reduced and many repealed, without any risk with respect to securing a sufficient revenue for all the services of the state.

But before entering upon a discussion having this object in view, it is necessary to make some preliminary remarks on Taxation in general, for the purpose of explaining the circumstances which enable a country to bear a heavy amount of taxes, without being prevented from progressively becoming more industrious, more rich, and more powerful.

It would appear that the pressure of the taxes is too commonly estimated with reference only to the total amount of revenue levied by them; and without duly considering the amount of the national income, consisting of the incomes of all the classes of the community, out of which the taxes are paid. But this is a very erroneous way of forming conclusions with respect to the degree in which the taxes affect the public. For, if the income of the country has been going on increasing in a greater proportion than taxation has been increasing, the pressure of taxation will be less now than it formerly was, though the taxes may have been doubled or trebled. So also, if the national income shall continue to go on increasing, taxation may be still considerably increased, beyond what it is now, without any real increase of burden on the community.

There is another circumstance connected with the question of the degree in which the taxes are oppressive, which is seldom noticed, namely, the different effects produced by different kinds of taxes. As it is clear that security and good order are productive of universal advantage, and that without them there would not be any considerable accumulation of wealth, no individual can justly complain, that he is made to contribute, in the same proportion to his means as others, for their attainment; but in selecting the taxes, a great deal of injury may be done to individuals, and to the public at large. If taxes fall on industry, that is, on raw materials, on manufactures, or on trade, they raise prices; by raising prices they diminish the consumption of the productions of industry, and thus diminish the employment of capital and labour, and check the accumulation of new capital.

But if taxes fall on persons not in business, who have incomes derived from rents, tithes, dividends on stock, interest on mortgages, salaries under government, and other such incomes, industry is but little injured by these taxes, in comparison to what it is by those taxes before mentioned; and the country may go on paying them without any great impediment to its becoming richer and richer. It may be true, that each individual who pays a tax of this kind will spend less on the productions of industry; but as, in point of fact, what he pays is transferred by government in various ways to other individuals, the money paid for the tax is still expended on such productions. So that, before a correct opinion can be formed of the actual effects of taxation, it is necessary to examine and make a distinction between the portion of taxes which falls on industry and that which does not.

There is still another circumstance to be mentioned, as connected with the pressure of taxation, which also is seldom taken into consideration, namely, the effect of monopolies and protections, in raising the prices of the numerous commodities which are the subjects of These monopolies and protections impose by increased prices burdens on the public, not for any purpose of common utility or national advantage, but for the support of some favoured trades. If the effect of the corn laws be to raise the price of corn five shillings a quarter, this advance on the quantity annually consumed, taken at 50,000,000 quarters, creates a charge on the public of 12,500,000l. a year. If the protecting duties on East Indian and foreign sugars advance the price of sugar only one penny a pound, this advance on the quantity annually consumed, namely, 400,000,000 pounds, is a tax on the public of

6,800,000l. a year. If the East India Company's monopoly make the price of tea (exclusive of duty) not far short of double what it is at New York and Hamburgh, it imposes a tax of at least 2,000,000l. a year in the form of increased price; and the protection in the timber trade enjoyed by the shipowners and Canada merchants costs the public at least 1,000,000l. a year; so that by these monopolies and protections, 17,000,000l. a year are taken from the pockets of the people, just as if corn, sugar, tea, and timber, were taxed to that amount, and the produce paid into the Exchequer. The system of monopoly and protection affects almost every branch of industry, and imposes, by increasing prices, many more millions of charge on the public than these 17,000,000l., all which press on the resources of the country exactly in the same way as a similar amount of increased prices arising from taxation, and thus make the taxes appear to be much more burdensome than they really are.

It is because these circumstances are not duly attended to, that so much is heard of the suffering and injury which the taxes produce; of the ruin which they have brought on our manufactures and commerce, and of its being impossible for this country to embark in a new war, without encountering the greatest financial difficulties, and incurring the risk of bankruptcy. But these notions are only in part well-founded; for, notwithstanding the very injurious effects of many of the taxes, taxation has not yet been carried to such an extent, as to place this country either in a declining or stationary state with respect to its agriculture, manufactures, and commerce. This is a conclusion come to by reasoning on facts, which

show the continued accumulation of wealth in defiance of all impediments. It is a conclusion, in no degree originating in any preconceived theory; nor does it lose any of its claim to have confidence placed in it, by its being directly opposed to the opinion of a number of persons, who maintain that the nation is in a declining state; because these persons wholly omit to sustain that opinion by any reasoning what-They merely exclaim, Look at the national debt; the taxes; the distress of agriculture and manufactures;—but they forget that the country was in the highest state of prosperity in the years 1823, 1824, and 1825, with the same debt and taxes which now exist; and that similar distress to what now prevails (February 1830) has been a matter of regular recurrence, and regularly followed by recovery, as soon as the disturbed proportion of supply to demand is restored to its proper ratio,—an event which happens as a matter of course, from the operation of the common rules by which trade is carried on.

The history of this country shows that temporary interruptions have been constantly mistaken for symptoms of habitual decline; and those persons who now maintain that the country is in this state, in ignorance of this historical fact, are committing the same error which has been so often made and so often exposed. It has been remarked, that the English are more inclined than any other nation to view the dark side of the prospect, to fear everything, and hope for nothing. Whenever the manufacturers suffer a reduction in their profits, and landlords find a difficulty in obtaining their rents, distress is universally proclaimed, and every one concludes the country is undone.

Similar desponding apprehensions have been pub-

licly avowed at different times, in works of respectable authority in other respects, in the course of the last hundred and thirty years, during which period the nation has been continually making progress in happiness and prosperity. Dr. Davenant, in describing the state of public affairs in 1699, says, 'our gold and silver will be carried off by degrees; rents will fall; wool will sink in its price; our stock of ships will be diminished; farm-houses will go to ruin; industry will decay; and we shall have upon us all the visible marks of a declining people*.' In 1736 the Craftsman says, 'the vast load of debt under which the nation groans is the source of all those calamities and gloomy prospects of which we have so much reason to complain: to this has been owing that multiplicity of taxes, which have more than doubled the price of the common necessaries of life, and thereby distressed the poor labourer and manufacturer; disabled the farmer to pay his rent; and put even gentlemen of plentiful estates under the greatest difficulty.' Dr. Chalmers, in describing the state of the country in 1775, says, 'yet prosperous as our affairs had been during the short peace of 1763, they were represented by an analogous spirit to that of 1738, either of designing faction or of interested folly, as in an alarming situa-The state of things, it was said, is approaching to an awful crisis. The navigation and commerce by which we rose to power and opulence are much on the decline; our taxes are numerous and heavy; an enormous national debt threatens the ruin of public credit; our labouring poor are forced by hard necessity to seek

^{*} Essay on the Balance of Trade. † No. 502. 14th Feb. 1736.

that comfortable subsistence in distant colonies which their industry at home cannot procure them*.' It would not be difficult to make many additions to the above extracts, were it necessary to adduce further proof that ill-founded apprehensions have led to repeated errors in considering temporary defalcations as infallible symptoms of a fatal decline†. But at the same time it must be confessed that, while there is no reason for placing any reliance on the predictions which are now so confidently made of approaching and inevitable ruin, the preservation of what we have acquired, and the future progress of public prosperity, are subjects entitled to inspire deep and constant anxiety.

With respect to the evils which the taxes occasion, the true state of the case is, that certain of them, which fall on raw materials, manufactures, and trade, and others which are carried to an excess on some of the principal articles of consumption (together producing a net revenue of about 11,000,000*l*.‡), are as injurious as it is possible for taxes to be; but that the rest of the taxes, which produce about 39,000,000*l*.\$,

* Estimate, p. 153.

† See Sir John Sinclair's History of the Revenue, Appendix, vol. ii. p. 51, in which extracts are given from twenty-two authors, stating that the nation was wholly ruined and undone

at different periods between 1688 and 1783.

‡ The taxes on raw materials yield 6,000,000½, a year; those on manufactures 2,000,000½; and that part of the revenue levied on tobacco and foreign spirits, which may be considered as being obtained by the duties on those articles being too high, and injurious to industry and trade, may be estimated at 3,000,000½ (See Appendix, No. I.)

§ The amount of the net ordinary revenue is stated by the Committee of Finance to have been, in 1827, 49,581,7721.—Fourth Report, p. 113. The Committee of Finance referred

are paid, for the most part, voluntarily, and out of the surplus of the incomes of individuals over and above what is requisite for purchasing the necessaries of life; and although these taxes occasion many inconveniences and vexations, they are not oppressive and destructive in the way they are commonly supposed to be by those persons, who, for want of sufficient examination into their real effect, imagine that all taxes are equally injurious. So long as a revenue of 50,000,000l. must be raised for the public service, the abovementioned large portion of it (39,000,000l.) is obtained in a way but little liable to any real objections; and if the remainder were provided by taxes of the same kind, the whole revenue would be paid without any serious injury; because, notwithstanding the large amount of the charge, the stock of national wealth is so great in proportion to it, as to be fully able to bear

to in this work is the Committee appointed in the Session of 1828.

The following is the account of the ordinary net revenue of the year 1829, in the return laid before Parliament.

Customs	,					£17,211,839	10	61
	•	•	•	•	•			61
\mathbf{E} xcise			•	•	•	19,540,010	19	114
Stamps						7,101,304	13	5
Taxes						4,896,567	10	$6\frac{1}{2}$
Post Office		•				1,481,000	0	0
One Shillin	ng and	1 Six	pence	, and	Four			
Shillings						54,493	1	111
Hackney C						•		-
Pedlars						61,167	1	10
Small Bran	ches	of th	ie Ki	ng's	Here-	•		
ditary Re				•		6,632	5	0
Surplus Fe			gulat	ed F	ublic	,		
Offices						66,372	15	01
Poundage	Fees.	Pel	ls Fe	es. 8	ce. in	,		•
Ireland	,					8,886	14	81
21010110	•	•	•	•	•			- 12
						£50.428.275	1	113

it. It is by reasoning in this manner, with reference to the relation between what is to be paid and the means of paying it, that the true state of the case with respect to the pressure of taxation can be best understood, and those errors avoided which are the grounds of the current opinions that the country is incapable of supporting its burdens, and of the schemes which are proposed for improving the condition of it by some change in the currency, or some other expedient*.

What are called our financial difficulties, and about which so much alarm is felt, are not so much present as prospective difficulties. The Treasury easily finds means for paying all demands upon it; and we may rest assured, that whatever the difficulties may be

^{*} The administration of Lord Liverpool is entitled to the gratitude of the public for the ability and courage with which it undertook and accomplished the restoration of the currency to its old standard. The fact, proved by Mr. Tooke in his Letter to Lord Grenville, of the paper of the Bank of England having been reduced, before the passing of Mr. Peel's bill, to such a low amount as would have restored the value of the currency if no such bill had passed; and the flourishing state of the revenue, of trade, of manufactures, and of agriculture, in the years 1823, 1824, and 1825, during which Mr. Peel's bill was in full operation, completely expose the error which those persons have fallen into, who attribute every modern public calamity to that measure. Besides, when it is considered that all the money transactions connected with commerce and manufactures were not at all affected by the restoration of the currency, in consequence of their transitory nature, and that these in point of value comprise a vast many more millions of property than rents and other fixed property, it will appear quite impossible that the passing of Mr. Peel's bill could produce the generally destructive effects commonly attributed to it. The wisdom of rejecting all the schemes proposed in the last session for again altering the currency is now manifest from the disappearance of distress without their aid.

under which the country is at present placed, they may be made to yield to sound principles of legislation.

With respect to prospective difficulties, the notion of them arises from apprehensions of the effects of a new war on our commercial and financial interests. The debt, which has been so little reduced during so long a course of peace, will, according to all that is known of the means by which ministers propose to manage the finances, rapidly accumulate on the recurrence of another war; it is therefore impossible not to feel great uneasiness at the prospect of a very large addition of permanent taxes for the purpose of paying the interest on new loans. But, in point of fact, there would be no ground for anticipating any peculiar degree of embarrassment in the event of a war, if all were now done, that ought to be done, for conducting our financial affairs in a proper manner. funded debt were reduced to a few millions; if the currency were settled on a sound footing by reforming the banking system; and if a foundation were laid for imposing war taxes whenever a war shall take place, there ought to be no greater difficulty in commencing and carrying on a new war, than has been experienced on former occasions.

But it is by no other means than by applying the most unremitting care and superintendence directed on sound principles to our finances, that they can be preserved from those difficulties in which they are already supposed to be involved. With a skilful management of them, we may trust to the further increase of our productive industry for enabling us to overcome new difficulties, in the same way that it enabled us to provide the expenses of the last war. We have only to remove the impediments with which bad laws still

clog the activity and energy of individuals, to render productive industry even still more efficient than here-

11

tofore in creating new wealth.

The want of attention to the effect of increasing productive industry in increasing the sources of taxation, has led to much erroneous reasoning on the subject of taxation. For nothing can be more certain, than that the amount of the produce of national industry taken by a government in the shape of taxes, may be regularly increased in every country in which the arts are progressive, without occasioning any additional burden to the people. Every new invention and discovery by which the production of commodities can be facilitated, and their value reduced, enables individuals to spare a larger quantity of them for the use of the state. This shows that governments have precisely the same interest as their subjects in facilitating production. Public wealth is merely a portion of private wealth transferred from individuals to government; and the greater the wealth of individuals, the greater will be the magnitude of the portion they can conveniently spare for public purposes*.

The amount of the income of Great Britain at the revolution has been commonly computed at 43 millions. Mr. Arthur Young, in his work on Political Arithmetic, published in 1776, computed the national income at 100 millions. Mr. Lowe says, in his work on the State of England, that the taxable income amounted, in 1793, to 125 millions; and in 1806, to 170 millions. Of late years, the general incomes of

^{*} See the Supplement to the Encyclopædia Britannica, vol. vi. p. 689. Article, 'Taxation.'

[†] Sir John Sinclair's History of the Revenue, vol. i. p. 337. † Appendix, p. 32. § Sup. Encyc. Brit. vol. vi. p. 643.

Great Britain has been computed at 300 millions Mr. Ricardo says, in his work on the Principles of Political Economy, published in 1819, 'Notwithstanding the immense expenditure of the English government during the last twenty years, there can be little doubt but that the increased production on the part of the people has more than compensated for The national capital has not merely been unimpaired, it has been greatly increased; and the annual income of the people, even after the payment of their taxes, is probably greater at the present time than at any former period of our history. For the proof of this, we might refer to the increase of population to the extension of agriculture—to the increase of shipping and manufactures—to the building of docks —to the opening of numerous canals, as well as to many other expensive undertakings, all denoting an immense increase both of capital and of annual production*.' As ten years have elapsed since Mr. Ricardo published these remarks, and as similar proofs can be referred to, showing a continued increase of production, it is correct to come to the conclusion, that the national capital and income are now much greater than they have been at any former period.

The increase of a million a-year in the rateable income of Lancashire, stated by Sir Robert Peel in the House of Commons to have taken place between 1815

and 1829, sustains this conclusion.

The following accounts fully corroborate all that has been just said with respect to the progressive increase of national wealth:—

^{*} Third Edition, p. 164. † Mirror of Parliament, June 12, 1829, p. 2099.

An Account of the Quantities of the following Articles imported, or made for Home Consumption, in Great Britain, on an average of three years, to the 5th of Jan. 1781, 1793, 1813 or 1815, and 1830*.

•	i781.	1793.	1813.	1830.
$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Cotton} \\ \text{Wool} \end{array} \right\} \text{ lbs.}$	6,816,692	30,789,572	78,811,283	218,484,094
Sheep's Wool \ "	958,080	3,204,342	7,430,476	27,182,904
Raw Silk "	426,958	827,812	919,162	3,503,289
Indigo "	560,590	884,738		2,522,311
Tallow, cwts.	99,437	181,887	347,775	1,005,237
Fir Timb. loads	, 84,668	222,760	251,690	426,630
	38,807,408	47,583,591	Year 1815. 78,784,075	114,182,804
Candles \ Tallow \ "	51,713,319	58,892,026	77,954,823	115,813,275

451,578 817,483 Wax do. ,, 202,079 1,002,740

Exports of British and Irish Produce and Manufactures from Great Britain.

	- ()ffic	cial	val	ue.
1792					16,824,007 +
1814					33,200,580 ‡
1829					55,465,723 1

An account of the Shipping cleared outwards from Great Britain.

			British, Tons.		1	Foreign, Tons.
1792			1,563,744 .			175,556 §
1829			2,063,179 .			730,250

As to the future prospects of the nation, there is no reason to doubt that a continued augmentation of capital will take place even in defiance of many ob-The same moral, physical, and external structions.

^{*} Sess. Pap. 1830, No. 545. † Remarks on Customs, ρ. 47 ‡ Sess. Pap. 1830. No. 243. § Remarks on Customs, p. 46. | Sess. Pap. 1830, No. 678.

causes, which have contributed to the existing amount of national wealth, are still in operation. The free constitution of the government; the exact administration of the laws; the protection afforded to foreigners; and the toleration of all religions, will produce the same effects which they have hitherto produced. The improved manner of conducting business and speculations among the higher mercantile and manufacturing classes in consequence of more attention being paid to the science or principles of trade, and the activity, perseverance, and increased knowledge of the working class will cause the future efforts of industry to prosper. Our natural productions of iron, coal, and other articles of raw materials will preserve our superiority in manufactures over other nations; while a reformed management of our foreign possessions, and a more enlarged system of free trade, will extend our Whatever evils press just now (February markets. 1830) on our manufactures, the more we examine our situation, the more we shall find it possible to trace them to causes of a temporary character*.

* The following statement appeared in the Northampton

Mercury, early in November, 1829:—

'In reference to domestic affairs, it gives us infinite satisfaction to be able to report the prospect of the state of trade is become more and more cheering. The crisis of commercial distress is generally considered to be over by competent judges; and a resumed activity is reported from most of the great manufacturing districts. From Liverpool, extensive transactions are reported in cotton wool; and, as might be expected, the accounts for the last few weeks are all confirmatory of activity in the cotton manufactures. At Manchester, the silk warehouses have lately been completely cleared of their stock; at Halifax there is a great demand for woollen cloths; at Leeds all hands are employed; and at Bradford, the accounts are equally of a cheering nature.'

Improvements, whether in agriculture, manufactures, or foreign trade, are still very far from having

The correctness of these observations is fully borne out by the following extracts from the public papers to a more recent date:—

[From the Times, May 10 and 11, 1830.]

'We have great satisfaction in saying, that in consequence of the revival of the glove trade, and other causes, the working classes in this city are much better employed than they have been. The price of skins has risen so much in France, that the manufacturers of that country cannot now compete so success-

fully with English glovers.'-Worcester Journal.

State of Trade in Yorkshire.—'It gives us great satisfaction to be able to state, that the improvement which has been experienced for some time past in the trade of this district is not only maintained, but very decidedly increased. During the last fortnight, a greater quantity of woollen cloth has been sold in Leeds than was perhaps ever before disposed of in the same length of time; and the most encouraging feature in the trade is, that stocks are very low both in the hands of the manufacturers, of the merchants, and of the London dealers. The Huddersfield and Bradford markets this week have been remarkably brisk, as the accounts from those places testify.'—

Leeds Mercury.

'We understand that work has been given out to the plain silk hose hands, by some of the hosiers of this town, during the past week, at an advance of 3s, per dozen; and that the advance is expected to become general this day. The demand for goods is greater now than it has been known for some years. It is a singular circumstance, that there are now seventy-two plain silk frames unoccupied in this town for want of hands.'—Derby

Reporter.

[From the Globe of August 24, 1830.]

'State of Trade.—We scarcely ever remember the trade of this town (Manchester) and neighbourhood generally to have been in a more healthy and satisfactory state, than, by common consent, it is admitted to be at this time. The silk manufacture, we are glad to say, participates in the general improvement. The manufacture of bandanas, which the anti-free-traders told us was to be annihilated by the opening of the market for East

arrived at a limit; and no country possesses in its resources greater means of continuing in a career of advancement. If, then, peace continue, it may be expected that the national capital and income will become much greater than they now are. One effect of this will be,—the taxes becoming so productive of revenue, as to allow of some being repealed; but the main and most important effect will be, such increased means of paying taxes as will admit of the expenses of future wars being wholly defrayed by war taxes, so

India goods, has for several months been decidedly more extensive than ever, and very large quantities have been disposed of for foreign markets. There is at present, we are happy to add, less apprehension of the probability of any serious commercial reaction than we have formerly known at similar periods. The business done, generally speaking, is bottomed upon real capital.—Manchester Guardian.

From the Globe, Aug. 28, 1830.]

Huddersfield.—'I have much pleasure in announcing a decided and considerable improvement in the woollen trade of this place. For some description of goods the supply is not at all equal to the demand.'

[Same Paper.]

Iron.—'We are happy to hear that the iron trade is in a more flourishing state than it has been. An advance in the price of iron has recently taken place in Staffordshire.'

From the Times, Oct. 26, 1830.]

Wool Trade.—'The markets of Leeds and Huddersfield are still very brisk; the accumulation of wool in the farmers' hands, of which we heard so much two or three years ago, is worked up, and the manufacturers are now at work upon the present year's "clip." The fustian and other weavers in the neighbourhood of Halifax have obtained a very considerable advance of wages; and a similar advance has been made to the woolcombers. This we consider excellent news: as far as the manufacturing parts of the country are concerned, we want only the return of that state of things which will enable the masters to give liberal wages to their workmen.'—County Chronicle.

that no addition need be made to the national debt. If the progress of wealth be not interrupted, this is the state to which the country would certainly come by continually growing richer; and as all danger and difficulty about the national debt would then be got rid of, the object of all our financial arrangements ought to be to remove every impediment arising from taxes on industry and restrictions on trade, in the way of the most rapid accumulation of capital and national wealth. No common considerations or apprehensions about the danger of making innovations ought to be allowed to prevent such a reform in the taxes, and such alterations in commercial regulations. Industry would then be relieved from all obstructions, and those remains of monopoly, which are still so deeply engrafted in our commercial policy, would be done away.

The nature of the reform of the taxes which seems to be required will be explained in the following pages. The principle that will be held in view in suggesting each alteration, will be that of levying the revenue which is wanted for the public service in such a manner as to occasion the smallest possible loss of money and enjoyment to the contributors, and the least possible impediment to the progress of national industry and national wealth; at the same time, full consideration will be given to the great importance of making every change in so gradual a manner, that nothing may happen which might give a shock to trade, or reduce the revenue below what is requisite for all the public services.

CHAPTER II.

TAXES ON RAW MATERIALS.

It appears, from an account laid before the House of Commons, that the taxes on the materials of manufactures, buildings, ship-building, and other trades, produced in 1827 a net revenue of 6,191,956l.*

Although there is no proposition in finance the truth of which is more readily admitted, than that which affirms the impolicy of taxing the materials of industry, there is, at the same time, so little appearance of a proper effort being made to modify or repeal this class of taxes, that nothing appears to be more wanted than a full explanation of their injurious operation on the employment of capital and labour, the accumulation of capital, and the increase of national wealth.

As the power of the manufacturing capital of a country to purchase raw materials is in proportion to their cheapness, and as the extent of manufactures is in proportion to the quantity of materials that are purchased, every particle of duty laid on them lessens the amount of industry and of annual productions. It consequently lessens the means of adding to the national capital, because these means consist of the surplus of the annual productions of the country. When, therefore, no less a sum is raised, every year, than 6,000,000l. on materials, it is manifest how great

^{*} Par. Pap. Sess. 1829, No. 172; and see Appendix, No. I.

an injury this kind of taxation must do, by limiting manufacturing and every other kind of industry.

These taxes have also a very injurious effect in limiting navigation and foreign commerce; for if there were no such taxes, the increased quantity of foreign materials that would be imported, and of finished goods that would be exported to pay for these materials, would add considerably to both navigation

and foreign commerce.

The levying of so large a sum as 6,000,000*l*. a year on articles that require capital and labour to give them utility and value, must strike every one as being a most serious obstacle in the way of remedying the difficulties which press at this moment the heaviest on the country, namely, the want of employment for capital and labour. The repealing, therefore, of the whole of these taxes, is a measure particularly called for, under the present state of our manufactures, and

of the labouring class.

With respect to the foreign market for our manufactures, the taxes on materials produce an evil of the greatest magnitude; for, by increasing the cost of production, they contribute to lessen the means our manufacturers have of carrying on a successful competition with foreign manufacturers. Whatever may be the difference between one country and another, with respect to soil, climate, capital, wages, and machinery, the circumstance which leads to the exportation of manufactures, and makes it profitable, is the advantage in the smallness of the cost of producing them; every tax, therefore, on materials, by increasing the price of them, adds to the cost of production, and thus lessens the means of carrying on competition with foreigners. In this way it acts as a

bounty on foreign manufactures, and therefore is a

tax of the most pernicious description.

If all materials were free of duty, the consequence would be, that our woollens, cottons, silks, hardware, and other manufactures, might be sent to foreign markets two or three per cent. cheaper than at present; and to those persons who have a due sense of mercantile profit, and of how little a turn one way or the other secures or loses a market, this will appear to be of the greatest importance. What, in point of fact, under the present circumstances of our manufactures, we have most cause to be alarmed at, is not the depression of the home market, but foreign competition, in consequence of the progress now making on the continent of Europe and in America in the improving and extending of all kinds of manufactures. We shall do well, therefore, to look into the effects of taxation; for if we continue to load our manufacturers as they are now loaded, we may be assured that taxes will bring upon us the same result that they brought on Holland, which is thus described in the work of M. Luzac on the Wealth of Holland:— 'D'autres examineront peut-être si ces taxes ont été judicieusement placées; si elles sont perçues avec l'économie convenable. Il suffit ici d'observer que les manufactures de laine, de soie, d'or, et d'argent, une foule d'autres ont succombé après avoir lutté longtems contre la progression de l'impôt. lande n'a sauvé du naufrage de ses manufactures, que celles qui n'ont pas été exposées à la concurrence des autres nations *.'

As nothing is more important than to produce a general conviction of the absolute necessity of repeal-

^{*} La Richesse de la Hollande, vol. ii. p. 73.

ing the taxes on materials, some short remarks will now be made to draw attention to those taxes which are the most particularly inconsistent with sound principles, and the most injurious in their operation.

Hemp being an article of the first necessity for the navy and for the mercantile shipping, and not being produced at home, the duty upon it of 4l. 13s. 4d. a ton is very objectionable. By increasing the prices of sails and cordage, it is quite inconsistent with those numerous legislative regulations which are still in force for protecting and encouraging the shipping interest. It also raises the prices of those kinds of linen which are in general demand, and by thus diminishing the consumption of them, it diminishes the employment of capital and labour.

As the legislature, acting most wisely, has introduced a freer system of navigation, it is bound in common justice to the shipping interest to remove all duties on the materials of ship-building. The permission which has recently been given to British ships to buy foreign cordage, and bring it home free of duty, is an additional reason for taking off the

duty on hemp.

The duty on barilla is about 100 per cent., though lately reduced. It produces 79,000l. a year. It is used in large quantities in making soap, and raises the prices of the materials of several manufactures. Even in the cases where a drawback is allowed, the obtaining it is attended with much expense and loss of time; while, as is the case with all drawbacks, there is a great waste of public money in the business first of collecting the duty, then in repaying it, and often besides in consequence of more duty being drawn back by fraudulent contrivances than the duty origi-

nally paid. This duty was originally and avowedly imposed as a protection of the manufacture of kelp, for the exclusive benefit of a few families in Scotland.

The duty on thrown silk not only raises the price of the silk imported, but as long as any is imported, of all silk thrown at home; because the price of the latter will always be as high as the price of the former, in consequence of its being impossible that two prices for the same article can exist in the same market. thrown silk were free of duty, the price would be reduced by the amount of the duty; for our own throwsters, in order to secure a sale for their silk, would be obliged to introduce such improvements as would enable them to go into competition with free foreign thrown silks. If they could not make such improvements, and lower their prices, then the silk manufacturers would be supplied by foreign silk; but the progress which has of late been made in silk machinery makes it evident that this is an event by no means likely to happen, if the duty on thrown silk were repealed.

The duty on timber affects and injures industry in a great variety of ways, in consequence of its being so much used in ships, buildings, machinery, &c. Countries possessing forests in the vicinity of navigable rivers, enjoy great advantages in that respect over our ship-builders; and to lay a duty upon timber is still further to increase those advantages. Instead of doing this, it would appear as if it were an indispensable preliminary to securing a permanently successful competition with foreign ship-builders, to admit timber to be

imported free of all duty.

The present arrangement of the duties, namely, of 10s. a load on North American timber, and of 2l. 15s.

a load on European timber, forces, as it were, the use of the former kind, though of inferior quality. It has already been stated that this arrangement, which has for its object to protect the timber of our North American colonies and our shipping, costs the public 1,000,000l. a year; -many competent judges say 1,500,000l. If, in place of the present duties, a duty of 11. 10s. a load were imposed on all timber, the prices would be reduced 11. 5s. a load, and the revenue would be very considerably increased; for then nearly the whole of the foreign timber, consumed in the United Kingdom, would pay 11. 10s. a load, instead of a small portion of it paying 2l. 15s. and the remainder paying 10s., in consequence of its being impossible that, with a duty of 1l. 10s. on all timber, American timber could be imported and sold with profit. In 1809, before the duty on European timber was raised, 428,000 tons of British shipping were employed in this trade*.

The duty on bricks and tiles falls heavily on industry, in consequence of the number and size of the buildings required for mills, factories, store-houses, &c. It must obviously contribute to diminish the employ-

ment of capital and labour.

The duties on coals and culm carried coastwise needs only to be mentioned to obtain a general assent

to the inexpediency of continuing it.

The policy of making sea-borne coal a subject of taxation is of vast importance to all classes of persons. Abuses, it appears from the recent parliamentary reports, of the most flagrant description have insinuated themselves into every department of the coal trade. It would seem that the legislature had

^{*} Speech of the Right Hon. C. P. Thompson, 26th March, 1830, on the reduction of taxes, p. 22.

forgotten, in laying on this duty, that fuel was indispensable to existence, and also by far the most important of all the instruments of manufacturing industry. This is proved to be the case by the manufactures of Manchester, Leeds, Birmingham, Glasgow, &c.

Coal being a very bulky and heavy article, its price must be greatly increased in London and the southern parts of England, by the long sea voyage which it has to be carried; and therefore nothing can be more unjust and more glaringly oppressive than the levying on this coal of a duty of 6s. a chaldron. As this duty does not affect the coals which are consumed near the mines, every obvious and recognized principle has been trampled under foot in imposing it. The existing provisions of the laws are such, that they fall with the utmost severity upon the southern counties of England; the pressure of them is comparatively light in Wales; and it does not affect Scotland at all.

The coal duty is not only injurious in the southern counties by directly advancing the price of coals (to the amount, with regulations, of a million or twelve hundred thousand pounds a year in London alone), but, indirectly, by depriving those subject to it of the means of giving employment that they would otherwise If the duty and the regulations that grow out of it were abolished, the expense of carrying coal by sea would be so much reduced, that many branches of industry, which cannot at present be carried on except in the vicinity of the mines, might be carried on at a distance from the mines, while those which are now carried on at a distance, might be greatly extended. The repeal, therefore, of the coal duty would probably do more in London, and other places in the south of England, to promote industry, and consequently to increase the demand for labour, than any other measure

it is in the power of Parliament to adopt.

The different special charges on coal in the port of London amount to 6s. $4\frac{1}{2}d$. a chaldron. So that, on the whole, between one charge and another, the expenses of the transit of coals from the coal-owner to the consumer in London is 1l. 15s. $5\frac{1}{2}d$. a chaldron, for which chaldron the coal-owner receives 9s. 6d.*

If the duty on coals were repealed, it must not be imagined that it would cause a reduction in the revenue equal to the produce of the duty. The fall in the price of coals, consequent on the reduction of the duty, would give the consumer greater means of purchasing additional quantities of taxed articles, such as sugar, tea, beer, spirits, tobacco, &c.; and in consequence of a greater demand for coals, the very numerous body of persons that would be required as miners, sailors, &c., in carrying on an increased trade, would have increased means of purchasing these articles.

The practice of selling coals by measure leads to that of screening them, by which not less than 25 per cent. of the coals raised from the pit is destroyed.

The exportation of coals is confined to between three and four hundred thousand tons a year, by the high duty of seventeen shillings a chaldron. If this were reduced, the exportation would be immensely increased, with great advantage to the revenue, and also to the owners of collieries and of ships, and to the labouring class.

It has been said, that by allowing coal to be exported at a low duty, the Belgians and French might advantageously employ it in their manufac-

^{*} See Observations on the Coal Duty. Longman & Co. 1830.

turing establishments; and to guard against this contingency, a high duty has been laid upon its exportation. It does not appear that any other reason has ever been assigned in vindication of the existing regulations with regard to exported coal; and yet, inexplicable as it may seem, *small* coal, or that very coal which is used in manufactories, is allowed to be exported at a duty of 4s. 6d. a chaldron, while *large* coal, or that which is exclusively used in dwellinghouses, is burdened with the enormous duty of 17s.!

But notwithstanding the low duty on the coal used in manufactories, the greatest export of it that has taken place in any one year was only 60,315 chaldrons. If an equal duty of 4s. or 5s. a chaldron were imposed on all coals exported, a check would be given to the export of that kind of coals which may be used in the arts, at the same time that a vast additional facility would be given to the export of that which is used for domestic purposes only. Were a measure of this sort adopted, it may be fairly inferred that the revenue from exported coal would be at least doubled or trebled.

The duties on tallow and soap are exceedingly injurious to manufactures, and have the same effects that all the other duties on materials have—namely, that of deranging the natural course in which capital and labour would be employed, productions extended, and the wealth, comforts, and enjoyments of the commu-

nity increased.

The repeal of the duty on leather, in the last session, was a measure perfectly in accordance with the principles here laid down; but the selection of the beer duty, as one more fit to be repealed than the duties on materials and manufactures, was a great error. The giving up of so large a revenue as that received on

beer admitted of the repeal of nearly all those taxes

which are the most injurious to industry.

It is impossible to repeat too often how much good the repealing of the taxes on materials would do. The evil of continuing them is so universally pernicious, that the common-place excuse for it should have no weight; namely, that the circumstances of the country will not admit of a reduction of the revenue; at least, until those circumstances have been more fully investigated. No inquiry has as yet been made to ascertain whether means may not be found for securing a sufficient revenue for the public expenditure without the aid of this class of taxes, and therefore no plea of difficulty, with respect to the revenue, should be listened to, until it can be shown, either that the present scale of public expenditure will not admit of any reduction; or that no other taxes can be laid on of a less injurious kind than the existing taxes on materials.

CHAPTER III.

TAXES ON MANUFACTURES.

CONTRARY to every sound principle of trade, the manufactures of paper, glass, and printed calicoes have been selected as subjects of taxation.

The following account shows the rates of duty which have been imposed, and the revenue obtained from them:—

An Account of the Net Produce of the Excise Duties in the United Kingdom, as stated in the Finance Accounts for the Year 1827, on Glass, Paper, and Printed Calicoes, and showing the several rates of Duty*:—

RTICLES	, NET PRO	DUCE.	RATES OF	DUTY.	
Glass -	613,508 3	91 { Materials of Ditto Crown Gla Broad ditt Green ditt Second dit Glazed pap Pasteboard Ditto, Foreign cal Stained pa	for flint glass plate ss o	£ s. - 0 0 - 3 0 - 3 13 - 1 10 - 0 8	$\left. \begin{array}{l} \textit{d.} \\ \textit{3} \\ \textit{per lb.} \\ \textit{6} \\ \textit{6} \\ \textit{2} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \textit{per} \\ \textit{cwt.} \end{array}$
Paper -	649,779 8	II First class Second dir Glazed pap Pasteboard Ditto,	paper	- 0 0 - 0 0 caleb, 1 1 - 1 8 - 0 14	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ l\frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \text{per} \\ \text{lb.} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \text{per} \\ \text{cwt.} \end{array}$
Printed (662,141 16	l‡{ Foreign ca British cal Stained pa	licoes - - icoes & musl per - -	- 0 0 ins 0 0 - 0 0	${7 \atop 3\frac{1}{2}}$ per yard.
	,925,429 8		EWBANK, G		

Excise Office, London, 17th Feb. 1829.

As the extent of the market for these manufactures,

* For the duties on bricks and tiles, soap and starch, see Appendix, No. I:

and consequently the extent of the employment of capital and labour in producing them, depends on their cheapness, these duties, by increasing prices, have a direct effect in limiting the market for them, and diminishing the employment of capital and labour. In addition to this, the necessarily severe and vexatious regulations under which these duties are collected by a recent injurious consequences.

lected, have most injurious consequences.

By the Excise laws prescribing the processes of fabrication, the manufacturer cannot manage his trade in the way his skill and experience point out as the best; but he is compelled to conform to such methods of pursuing his art as he finds taught in Acts of Parliament. Thus the unseen injury arising from Excise taxation, by its interference with the free course of manufacture, is much greater than is suspected by the public. The consequence of the activity and invention of the manufacturers being repressed, is, that the consumers of their goods pay increased prices, not only for the duties imposed on them, but for the additional expense incurred by absurd and vexatious regulations; and, in addition to this, the goods are generally very inferior in quality to what they would be if no duties existed.

The policy of the legislature in laying on the duties now under consideration, is in direct opposition to that policy which has been so extensively pursued, of imposing high duties on foreign goods to protect all other manufactures, and forms a striking instance of the inconsistency and absurdity for which a great part of our commercial code is distinguished.

The duty on the paper manufacture varies from 50 to 150 per cent. on the different kinds of paper. The laws for regulating the collection of it are so scattered and confused as to render it almost impossible for a

manufacturer to have a perfect knowledge of them. The number and amount of the penalties to which he is subject are quite out of all proportion to the frauds he may, by possibility, be guilty of, while the power of administering these laws and levying these penalties is unlimited.

The duty on paper has an injurious effect on many other trades besides that of the paper-maker. The limited consumption which it occasions injures the makers of machinery, type-founders, ink-makers, printers, engravers, booksellers, bookbinders, stationers, paper-stainers, and several other trades. But the greatest evil of all is the high price of books which it gives rise to. This places a great obstacle in the way of the progress of knowledge, of useful and necessary arts, and of sober and industrious habits. Books carry the productions of the human mind over the whole world, and may be truly called the raw materials of every kind of science and art, and of all social improvement.

The duties on all kinds of glass manufactures are so high, that they necessarily have a most injurious effect in limiting the extent of the market for them, and thereby diminishing the employment of capital The materials of glass in this country and labour. are so abundant, and also the materials for working up the glass materials, that the taking off the duties would lead to an unlimited extension of this manufacture. The use of a great number of articles, which is now confined to the richer classes, would become universal among the lower orders. There is no reason, except the high price which is the consequence of the duty, why every house in the United Kingdom should not be as abundantly furnished with plate glasses as in France. All the information which

can be obtained from the best informed travellers goes to show that if the duty were taken off there would be a great exportation of this manufacture to foreign countries. As in the case of the paper manufacture, a great many other trades are injured by the duties on glass besides the glass manufacture. In the Act of Parliament for the collection of these duties there are no less than thirty-two clauses of regulations, penalties and prohibitions; all great obstacles in the way of introducing improvements; vexatious in the highest degree to the manufacturer, and necessarily obliging him to sell his goods at much higher prices than what the mere amount of the duty occasions.

The following statement shows how much the high duties have kept down the consumption of glass.

The quantities which paid duty for home consumption were—

Flint and Plate Glass. Cwts. Four years to 1793 - Duty, 21s. 5d. per cwt. - 190,000 to 1825* - " 90s. " 167,000 on Flint, and 60s. per cwt. on Plate Broad Glass. Four years to 1793 - Duty, 8s. per cwt. -- 90,000 " 30s. " to 1825 -- 34,000 Glass Bottles. Four years to 1793 - Duty, 4s. per cwt. -- 881,000 to 1825 " 8s. " - 697,000 Crown Glass. Four years to 1793 - Duty, 16s. 1d. per cwt. - 314,000 to 1825 - Duty, 73s., - 450,000 **+**

^{*} This year is taken, because in 1825 the duty on flint glass was reduced to 56s. Since 1825 there has been a considerable increase of flint glass; but the consumption of other kinds is not much altered.

[†] This statement has been made up from an account of the Excise Office, prepared for Parliament. See Appendix, No. II.

In 1828, 379,365l. of the gross duty received on glass (viz. 953,257l.) was repaid in drawbacks on exportation, being more than one-third of the whole duty. This is in itself a strong reason for repealing the duty; for no system of taxation can be more unwise than that of incurring the expense, and producing the vexation attending the collecting of so much money, with the result to incur the additional

expense of paying it back again.

The duty of $3\frac{1}{3}d$. a square yard on printed calicoes is at the rate of from 80 to 100 per cent. on the description of goods used for garments by the lower orders of society, and only from 5 to 6 per cent. on the fine calicoes worn by the rich. It is calculated that if this duty were repealed, there would be an increased consumption of 600,000 pieces of coarse calicoes, and employment for 4000 additional weavers*. In 1828, the gross revenue received from this duty was 2,019,737l., of which there was repaid 1,420,068l., leaving only 599,669l. of net revenue †. If the charge of collecting the sum of 2,019,737l. be taken at 5 per cent., which is less than it really is, the cost of collection on the net revenue of 599,669l. will be 100,000l., or nearly 20 per cent.; and to this is to be added the cost attending the repaying of 1,420,068l.

The duty on hard soap is 3d. a pound, or from 110 to 130 per cent., and produces about 1,100,000l. a year. This high duty is a powerful check on the consumption of soap; for the poorer classes, who compose the great mass of consumers, are compelled by the high price to dispense with the use of it in any thing like the quantity they would employ it if it were

^{*} See Speeches at Manchester Meeting, Nov. 25, 1830. † Parliamentary Papers, session 1829, No. 322.

cheaper. In proportion as this tax deprives the poor man of the means of cleanliness, it leads to disease, but particularly to fevers. The great number of detections amply proves the prevalence of illicit manufacture. This high duty is therefore fraught with evil, like every duty which is excessive in its amount, to all parties, the consumer, the manufacturer, and the Exchequer. If this duty were reduced to 1d. a pound, it is probable the soap brought to charge would increase one-half, that is, from 105,000,000 lbs. to 157,000,000 lbs. As the present duty yields 1,200,000l., a reduced duty of 1d. a lb. on 157,000,000 lbs. would yield 650,000l., making a loss of revenue by the reduction of about 550,000l.

Nothing can be more inconsistent with every sound principle of taxation than the practice of collecting this duty by no less than seven different modes*.

In consequence of there not being any duty on soap in Ireland; of a drawback being given on the exportation of soap to Ireland; of the drawback being paid immediately on the exportation; of the duty not being paid till six weeks after the soap is made, and of the amount of duty drawn back exceeding the value of the soap on which it is drawn back, there are houses in Liverpool which are able to carry on an extensive business with the capital of the public[†].

Large quantities of soap are smuggled from Ireland

into England.

The duty of twenty shillings a quarter on malt is not one that can be justly objected to as being too high; but the mode of charging it is conducted under such severe and vexatious regulations, as to render it

^{*} Speech of the Right Hon. C. P. Thomson, p. 25. + Ibid.

extremely injurious to agriculture, and to the consumers and manufacturers of malt. "These regulations at one and the same time have the effect of unnecessarily fettering the operations of the maltster—of deteriorating the quality and adding to the price of his malt—and of putting him wholly in the power of the pettiest officer of excise *." In consequence of this system of collecting the duty, the consumption of malt has been stationary the last forty years. If the regulations were simplified, there are the best reasons for concluding, that the sale of malt would be so much increased, that a very large additional revenue would be the result.

The manufacture of machinery is greatly injured by the prohibition to export it; at the same time that the means of raising a considerable revenue, by a moderate duty upon it when exported, are thrown away. The superiority that England possesses over all other countries with respect to the materials of machinery, and skill in making it, is so great, that there can be no doubt that a very large quantity would be exported if the prohibition were taken off. Such a trade would give rise to increased employment of capital and labour in working collieries and other mines, as well as in the making of machinery†.

If the government in the last session had adhered firmly to the principle on which they repealed the duty on leather, in repealing other duties to the amount of three millions of annual revenue, instead of

^{*} See Edinburgh Review, vol. xlix. p. 373, for a very full and able exposition of the evils which arise from the present maltlaws.

[†] The value of machinery exported, on an average of three years to 1830, was 265,000%. Sess. Pap. 1830. No. 373.

repealing the duty on beer, they would have conferred the greatest benefit on the public. When it is considered, on the one side, what is the advantage that can in any way be derived from the repeal of this duty, with relation to the employment of capital and labour, and the accumulation of wealth, and also to the relief it will afford in reducing the price of beer; and on the other, what would have been the advantages of repealing taxes on materials and manufactures to the amount of three millions, it is clear that a greater fault could not have been made, under the actual circumstances of the country, than giving a preference to the repealing of the beer duty.

If this course had not been taken, the following

duties might have been repealed:-

The duty on	ashes a	nd b	arilla, p	roduc	ing		£85,000 a	a year.
,,	glass			"			613,000	12
,,	paper	•	•	,,		•	650,000	,,
27	hemp		•	"			104,000	"
,,	thrown	silk	•	"	•	•	112,000	"
3 7	coals				•		838,000	22
Two-thirds	of the di	ıty o	n soap ((say)	•	•	$650,\!000$	"
						_		
						£	3,052,000	

The repealing of these duties would have led to an immense extension of all these trades, and to the employment of some hundred thousand more workmen, and also a much larger amount of capital.

Upon closely examining the probable effects of the repealing of the duty on beer, none can be found which at all approach in general usefulness these consequences which would certainly have sprung from the repealing of the duties just mentioned. The policy of the measure was avowedly declared to be the single object of giving relief to the poor by causing a reduc-

tion in the price of beer. But it showed a very slight acquaintance with the circumstances which affect the condition of the poor, to imagine that any real relief from what most injured them would be afforded by a small reduction in the price of beer. What they stood in need of was an increased demand for the employment of their labour, and steadier and higher wages; increased means of providing the necessaries of life, and not of purchasing a luxury such as beer, for it is a perfect fallacy to consider it as a necessary part of the subsistence of the poor.

Besides, the repealing of the duty on beer cannot be, by any possibility, a benefit to any other portion of the poor but that which is supplied by public breweries; therefore, by far the greater part of the people of England, and all the people of Ireland and Scotland, derive no advantage from the repeal.

As the error of repealing the duty on beer is not the first great error that has been made in taking off taxes, (for instance, the repeal of the salt tax,) it cannot be too strongly impressed on ministers and parliament to avoid adopting those superficial reasonings upon taxation which first appearances suggest. It is natural and becoming to feel deeply for the sufferings of the poor; but to overlook all rules of science, and to neglect, in dealing in matters of finance, all established principles; and to act on feelings and not on reasons, as the groundwork of legislation, will never fail to be followed by great loss of revenue, without any adequate public good. The effort which was made in 1825 to obtain a repeal of the assessed taxes was founded on a total misconception of the comparative effects of different kinds of taxes on the interests of the people-no advantage would have

arisen from the repeal of those taxes beyond the mere relief from so much taxation; while, on the other hand, the repeal of the taxes on raw materials and manufactures, and the reduction of the enormously high duties on tobacco and foreign spirits, would be productive of considerable benefit to the consumer, would put an end to smuggling, and would increase the employment of capital, and labour, and foreign commerce. Lord Goderich is entitled to the greatest praise, for having had the firmness, in 1825, to resist the temptation of sacrificing a sound principle to the acquisition of temporary popularity; he has the merit of being the first Chancellor of the Exchequer who reduced to practice those principles which are the only secure basis of national prosperity.

CHAPTER IV.

TAXES ON LUXURIES.

In 1827 the net revenue received from the Customs' duties amounted to 17,894,409 l., and from the Excise duties to 18,438,707l.*, making together the sum of 36,333,116l. If from this sum the amount be deducted of the revenue received from the duties on materials, 6,000,000l., and on manufactures, 2,000,000l., and also 800,000l, received in 1827 from the duties on corn, making together 8,800,000l., there will remain a revenue of 27,500,000l., nearly all levied on articles of luxury. As these articles are not used by the labouring class but to a limited amount, this revenue is paid by the wealthier classes, and the duties have little influence on wages and profits, and consequently on national industry; and were it not that some of the Customs duties have been raised much too high, there would be no necessity for making any further remarks on this branch of the taxes.

As the effect of these very high duties is in some cases to diminish the revenue, and in all to create smuggling—and further, by greatly diminishing the importation of the articles on which they fall, to diminish the demand for, and the exportation of our own manufactures—these duties are exceedingly injurious, and ought to be reduced.

There is an absolute limit to every duty, beyond which an increase of it necessarily occasions a loss of

^{*} Fourth Report, Committee of Finance, Appendix, p. 113.

In no instance is an increase of duty folrevenue. lowed by an equal increase of revenue; but, on the contrary, the progress of the increase of revenue will be less and less, according as the duty advances, until there is no increase of revenue, but a falling off. Yet, whenever it is proposed to lower any of the excessively high duties, it is immediately said, 'The circumstances of the country are such, that the revenue cannot admit of any reduction.' But this sort of reasoning assumes that a loss of revenue is sure to happen, when, in point of fact, there is no foundation for any such conclusion; on the contrary, there is no difficulty in proving, by reference to experience, that a diminution of taxation is not necessarily followed by a diminution of revenue, or an increase of taxation by an increase of revenue. 'In the case of the commodities which, from the great expense of their production, are necessarily high priced, the consumption of them must be always comparatively limited, and therefore might not be greatly extended by any reduction of the duties with which they are charged; but the reduction of the duties laid on commodities of extensive demand, and whose natural cost is not very considerable, must always be followed by a great increase of consumption: for such a reduction not only enables those who were previously consumers of them to consume a greater quantity, but it brings them within the reach of new and numerous classes of consumers. way, it is easy to perceive that such a reduction of the duty or price of any commodity previously used by the higher classes only, as would permit it to be used by those of inferior station, would extend the consumption to a vast amount*.'

^{*} Edinburgh Review, vol. xxvi. p. 518.

the present time.

The truth of these observations is strongly exemplified by what has taken place with respect to the consumption of cotton goods; it being now at least double what it was a few years ago, in consequence of the low price of those goods, brought about by the reduction in the price of the raw material, and by the aid of machinery.

The following instances of the effects of excessive duties completely confirm all that has ever been said

concerning the impolicy of excessive taxation.

The number of gallons of brandy and geneva imported for home consumption on an average for four years to 1807, was 1,820,000*. The duty was then 14s. a gallon (w.m.), and the revenue 1,370,000l. In 1812, the duty was raised to 20s. 7d.; in 1813, to 20s. 11d.; and in 1814 it was fixed at 18s. 10d. a gallon (w.m.); and it has continued at that rate to

The annual average number of gallons of brandy and geneva imported for home consumption on an average for the four years following 1814, was 742,000 (1.M.), and the revenue 825,000l. So that the revenue was less from a duty of 18s. 10d., by 545,000l. a year, than it was from a duty of 14s. a gallon. Of late years the quantity of foreign spirits imported has increased, but the revenue has in no year amounted to what it was in 1806.

In the case of tea, the raising of the duty from 12 per cent., by successive augmentations, to 96 per cent. in 1806, and afterwards to 100 per cent., has certainly been followed by an increase of revenue. But, though this cannot be disputed, there are the strongest possible reasons for believing that the re-

^{*} Sess. Pap. 1830-1. No. 28. See Appendix, No. II.

venue would have been considerably greater, had the duty not been carried so high. The quantity of tea sold by the East India Company, in 1799, was 24,853,000 lbs.; and the quantity sold in 1827 was 26,043,223*; but as the population of the United Kingdom may be taken as having increased from 16,000,000 in 1800, to 25,000,000 in 1829, had there been no diminution of the individual consumption of the Company's tea in the interval between these enumerations, their sales ought plainly to have been increased in the proportion of 16 to 25; that is, in the proportion of 25 to 39, instead of in that of 25 millions pounds, in round numbers, to 26 millions pounds.

But the fact is notorious, that no great diminution of individual consumption of tea has taken place, even after making a large allowance for the effect of the increased consumption of coffee in diminishing the consumption of tea; and therefore we may conclude, that a very large quantity of tea now actually consumed is supplied by adulteration. In proof of this, the numerous convictions of persons having adulterated tea in their possession may be referred to.

The effect of levying so high a duty as 3s. a lb. on tobacco in Ireland, is quite conclusive in showing the impolicy of excessive taxation. In four years to 1798, when the duty was 8d. a lb., the quantity of tobacco entered for home consumption in Ireland was 32,000,000 lbs., making an annual average of 8,000,000 lbs. But, in four years to 1829, the quantity imported for home consumption in Ireland was only 16,000,000 lbs., making an annual average of 4,000,000 lbs.; that is, half what it was thirty years ago, when the population was half as numerous

* Fourth Report, Committee of Finance, p. 54.

as it now is. Had the individual consumption of tobacco that paid duty increased according to the increase of the population of Ireland, the annual consumption should now be 16,000,000 lbs. instead of 4,000,000 lbs. As, no doubt, the individual consumption has increased in this ratio, the conclusion to be come to is, that full three-fourths of the tobacco now consumed in Ireland is supplied by smuggling.

It appears by the evidence given last session before the Committee on Irish Tobacco, that there would be a considerable exportation of manufactured tobacco to foreign countries, but for the regulations and restrictions which arise from the high duty on tobacco. The drawback allowed is accompanied with so many vexatious conditions, that it is not a sufficient compensation to the manufacturer for the original duty paid, and he is in consequence obliged to require so high a price as to be unable to meet the foreign manufacturer.

The injurious effects of raising the duties on wine is manifest in the instance of Ireland. In four years to 1796, the quantity of wines imported for home consumption was 6,700,000 gallons, making an annual average of 1,675,000 gallons. The duty was 2s. 7d. a gallon on French wines, and 1s. 7d. a gallon on other wines. The revenue received on an average of the same years was 150,000l. In the four years to 1829, the quantity imported for home consumption was 3,300,000 gallons, making an average of 825,000 gallons; and the revenue received, from 6s. a gallon on French wines, and 4s. a gallon on other wines, was, on the same average, 130,000l., being less by 20,000l. than the revenue received from the former low duties.

In 1813 the duties on flint and plate glass were doubled. In four years to 1813, the average annual quantity made for home consumption was 66,500 cwt. In the four years following 1813, the annual average quantity was only 30,000 cwt. The duties on all other kinds of glass were doubled in the same year. The revenue received in the four years preceding 1813 was, on an average, 340,000l.; that received in the three years following 1813 was, on an average, 395,000l.: so that the doubling of the duties, instead of producing 340,000l., produced only 55,000l.

Subsequent to the year 1808, the duties on bitter almonds were raised 7s. 9d. per cwt.; on Jordan, 16s. 3d. a cwt.; and on other sorts, 4s. 10d. a cwt., with the effect of an increase of revenue from 16,319l. in 1808, to 17,991l. in 1827. The duties on currants were raised 7s. 6d. a cwt., with the effect of raising the revenue from 230,259l. in 1808, to 265,365l. in 1827. The duties on the different kinds of raisins were raised, on some kinds, 2s. 3d., and on other kinds 4s. 2d. a cwt., with the effect of raising the revenue from 159,000l. in 1808, to 160,000l. in 1827.

Although the subject under examination is excessive taxation on articles of luxury, it will not be irrelevant to the general object of this work, to take this occasion to notice the effects of excessive taxation in other cases—for instance, on advertisements, in-

surances, and the postage of letters.

The accounts given in the twenty-third number of the Westminster Review (p. 10), and in the Scotsman newspaper of the 14th of March, 1830, remove all doubts as to the fact of the duty on advertisements being so excessive as to produce less revenue than a lower rate of duty would produce. The effect of the high duty in diminishing the number of advertisements is made evident by the fact of the yearly number of advertisements in the United States, where there is no duty, amounting to 10,000,000, while the number in the United Kingdom amounts only to 963,000.

The net revenue from marine insurances was, in 1814, 418,000*l.*, and in 1829 it was only 227,973*l.**, notwithstanding a great increase in the number of ships and tonnage since the year 1814. Such an increase should have produced a proportional increase of revenue from insurances; but the fact is, that the high duty has driven merchants to make their policies in the United States or Holland, where they can insure at a cheaper rate.

The revenue of the Post-office has been stationary at about 1,400,000*l*. a year since 1818†. This can be accounted for only by the great duty charged on letters; for with a lower duty the correspondence of the country through the Post-office would have increased in proportion to the increase of population and national wealth.

Nothing can be more unwise than the very high postage on foreign letters. It not only produces a great loss of revenue, but it obstructs foreign commerce, and the spread of science and literature. Foreign newspapers and periodical works should be carried free of postage.

The foregoing statements having shown the effects of increasing duties, the following will explain what the effects have been of reductions of duty:—

In 1745, the duty on tea was reduced from 4s. a lb. to 1s. and 25 per cent.; but the revenue derived

* Sess. Pap. 1830. No. 230. † Fourth Report, Committee of Finance, p. 95. from it increased from 444,659*l*., the annual average amount for three years to 1745, to 804,791*l*., the annual average amount for three years to 1749*. The duty on tea was afterwards raised so as to be 119 per cent. in 1784; in that year it was reduced to 12 per cent. The consequence was, that the sales of tea at the India House, which in three years to 1784 were 17,164,966 lbs., rose, in three years to 1788, to 48,163,811 lbs.; and instead of the duties falling off in the proportion of 119 to 12, that is, from 700,000*l*., which they yielded in 1783, to 73,000*l*., they only fall off in the proportion of 3 to 1, or from 700,000*l*. to 240,000*l*.†

The duty on plantation coffee, previous to 1808, was 2s. per lb., and the annual average produce of it in three years to 1808, was 144,725l. This duty was afterwards reduced to 6d. per lb., and the annual average produce of it in three years to 1829 has been 378,350l.‡ The consumption has increased from 4,069,091 lbs. in 1808, to 18,906,373 lbs., and the revenue to 484,975l. in 1829\$.

The duty on spirits made in Ireland was reduced in 1823 from 5s. 6d. a gallon to 2s. (wine measure). The number of gallons of spirits (imperial measure) that paid duty in 1822 was 2,328,387, and the revenue received was 797,518l. The number of gallons that paid duty in 1828 was 9,937,903, and the revenue was 1,395,721l.

A similar reduction of duty took place at the same time on spirits made in Scotland. The number of

^{*} Hamilton's Principles of Taxation, Appendix, No. XIX.; and Supp. Encyc. Brit., vol. vi. p. 638.

⁺ Macpherson's Commerce of India, p. 210.

[‡] See Appendix, No. II. § 1bid. || Ibid.

gallons have increased from 2,079,556 in 1822, to 5,716,180 in 1828; and the revenue has increased from 691,136*l*. to 809,559*l*.*

In 1827 the duty on spirits made in England was reduced from 12s. 7d. a gallon to 7s. The average consumption for three years to 1827 was 3,677,457 gallons, and the revenue 2,281,526l.; in 1829 the consumption was 7,700,766 gallons, and the revenue 2,695,268l.; being a revenue of greater amount by 413,742l., from a duty of 7s. a gallon, than what was before received from a duty of 12s. 7d. a gallon.

The duty on French wines was reduced, in 1825, from 11s. 5d. a gallon to 6s. (wine measure.) There were imported in four years to 1825, on an annual average, 183,000 gallons, that yielded an average revenue of 106,000l. In three years to 1829, the quantity imported has been, on an average, 382,000 gallons, that yielded an average revenue of 115,000l. So that the reduced duty of 6s. has produced at the rate of 9000l. a year more than the former duty of 11s. 5d. a gallon‡.

The duty on flint glass was reduced in 1825 from 98s. to 56s. per cwt. The quantity charged with duty for home consumption, on an average of four years to 1825, was 30,000 cwt. The quantity on an average of four years to 1829 has been 47,000 cwt. \(\delta \).

The Committee of Finance state, in their Fourth Report on the Revenue and Expenditure, that if the revenue had fallen off in the five years from 1825 to 1828, in the same proportion that taxes had been

^{*} See Appendix, No. II.

[†] Sess. Pap. 1830. Nos. 186 and 211; and 1830-1. No. 28.

[‡] See Appendix, No. II. § Ibid.

reduced, the diminution of it would have been 9,000,000*l*.; but that, owing to increased consumption, it had only fallen off about one-third of that sum.

These different facts place it beyond all doubt, that when a tax has been carried to an excessively high point, the reducing of it is not necessarily followed by a reduction of revenue, but may lead to an increase.

The duties which have just been mentioned create the greater part of the smuggling that is still successfully carried on, notwithstanding the enormous expense incurred in attempting to suppress it. Putting together the expense incurred in attempting to prevent it, as given in the examination of Mr. Dean, the Chairman of the Board of Customs, by the Committee of Finance*, and the expense incurred by the Excise in preventing manufacturers from making use of smuggled articles, and the expense of prosecutions and rewards, the whole cannot amount to less than 7 or 800,000l. a year. Great, however, as this sum is, the profit that can be gained, in consequence of the excess of duties in proportion to the value of the articles on which they are levied, is so high, that it continues to make smuggling a very flourishing trade. It has already been shown, that three-fourths of the tobacco consumed in Ireland is supplied by smug-

These items are taken from a question asked Mr. Dean by the Committee, p. 18.

181,000%. has been expended in building cottages for the coast guard.—See Papers, Committee of Finance, No. cxlii.

glers; and the statement of Sir Hussey Vivian in the House of Commons in the session of 1829, pointing out the severe duty performed by cavalry regiments on the coast, together with the frequent mention made in the newspapers of smuggling transactions, show how extensively this traffic is going on in England. Mr. Dean was asked the following question, when before the Committee of Finance. ' Did you ever hear that in the ports of Flushing and Ostend, and in those ports where smuggling is carried on, it is capable of an insurance, like any other risks? He replied, 'I have no doubt of it. I have heard of 10 per cent. to 15 per cent.'

The great disproportion of the duty on tobacco to the natural price of it, the circumstance of its being almost a necessary to the lowest classes of society, and the facilities the high duty holds out to illicit trading, concur in rendering it one of the most objectionable duties. As the price of tobacco, exclusive of duty, cannot be taken at more than 4d. a lb., the tax of 3s. a lb. is at the rate of 900 per cent.; and therefore, in order to withdraw this article from the hands of the smuggler, a very large reduction ought to be made. Unless reduction be carried sufficiently far on this and other articles, smuggling and the expense of attempting to suppress it will continue, and thus the principal object in sacrificing revenue will not be attained.

A reduction of 2s. a lb. on tobacco might perhaps put a stop to the smuggling of it: if it did not, a further reduction should be made. If a reduction of 2s. took place, the loss of revenue could not well be estimated at less than 1,500,000l.

With respect to smuggling, the duties on brandy

and geneva are not less objectionable than those on tobacco. As the price of these spirits, exclusive of duty, does not exceed 4s. a gallon, the duty of 22s. 6d.

(I. M.) a gallon is 550 per cent.

There are four different rates of duty on spirituous liquors. The duty on Irish and Scotch spirits is 3s. 4d. a gallon (i.m.), and experience has fully proved that the illicit distilling of these spirits cannot be kept down, except by having a moderate duty. The complete success of the reduction of the duty in 1823, both with respect to putting a stop to illicit distillation and to the increasing of the revenue, points out the course that should now be taken with regard to the duties on the other kinds of spirits.

The duty on spirits made in England is 7s. 6d. a gallon: the consequence of this duty being so much higher than the duty on Irish and Scotch spirits, is, so large a profit on smuggling the latter into England, that this trade is extensively carried on. Nothing can be more contrary to all sound principles of trade and finance than having different rates of duty on the same article in the same country: this should be got rid of by raising the duties on Irish and Scotch spirits as high as it is possible to raise it without reviving illicit distillation, and by reducing the duty on English spirits to that rate so as to have the same amount of duty on all British corn spirits.

The duty on brandy and geneva is 22s. 6d. a gallon. It is so very high in proportion to the value of these spirits, that it creates an immense extent of smuggling. In point of fact, nearly all the smuggling that is carried on is of foreign spirits and tobacco. The influence of the West-India planters brought about this very preposterous duty, the intent of it

being to exclude all brandy and geneva, and thereby

extend the consumption of rum.

What should be done with respect to this duty, is to reduce it so as to leave the smuggler no profit in importing it: nothing short of this will stop his trade. But as brandy and geneva can be purchased abroad for about the same price for which British spirits can be made, it is clear that the duty should at the utmost be no higher than that on British spirits.

The duty on rum is 9s. a gallon, that is, 1s. 6d. higher than the duty on English spirits. This additional duty is laid on to give protection to the English distillers, on the grounds that they are obliged to pay a higher price for corn than the natural price of it, in consequence of the corn-laws: so that in this case of the several spirit duties, there is an example of protection following protection to the manifest loss and injury in each instance of the public:—first, the landlords are protected against foreign corn; secondly, the English distillers are protected against the West-India planters; and thirdly, the West-India planters are protected against foreign spirits.

As long as the corn-laws continue, there seems to be a good reason for protecting the English distiller from this interference of the legislature with his trade; and therefore, whatever may be the rate of duty fixed on English spirits, according to the preceding suggestions, the duty on rum should be 1s. 6d. higher; but there is no reason for giving any protection to the West-India planter against foreign spirits, because he can make rum at as low a cost of production as

that for which these spirits can be made.

Whatever might be the effect of such an arrangement of the duties on spirituous liquors as is now

proposed, in promoting the use of one kind of spirits in preference to another, is a matter of indifference to the revenue, because it is the same as to the revenue whether the duty be collected on foreign or homemade spirits. The prosperity of the revenue will depend on whatever extends the consumption of spirits legally imported or legally distilled. The interest of the public at large would be benefited by the proposed arrangement, in consequence of the reduction of the prices of spirits. If more brandy and rum, and less British spirits, should be consumed, more British goods would be exported to pay for the brandy and rum; and there would be a smaller demand for corn, and consequently the public would have an advantage by its becoming cheaper.

It is not easy to estimate with any accuracy what the loss of revenue would be from such an arrangement of the duties; but taking into consideration the increased revenue which would be obtained on Irish and Scotch spirits, and the increased consumption of English and foreign spirits, which the reduction of duty would promote, it would not probably exceed

1,500,000*l*.

The common objection, that the diminishing of the price of spirits will encourage the vice of drunkenness, will not in this case be entitled to much consideration. In the first place, the plan goes to raise the price of Irish and Scotch spirits; and in the next, it may be replied to those persons who may urge this objection, that in France, Holland, and the United States, where spirits are much cheaper than they will be in this country with the reduced duty, drunkenness is comparatively unknown; and it may also be urged that the encouragement which is given to smug-

gling by high duties is far more injurious to the morals of the people, than the effect of low duties would be in making the means of intoxication cheaper.

Experience shows how futile it is to attempt to teach morality by sumptuary laws: the only effectual mode is by promoting the diffusion of knowledge, and introducing better habits among the lower classes.

The author of the pamphlet on the Revenue of the Customs says, 'No material reduction can be made in the system now in force for preventing smuggling; and the reason he gives is, that without this system faith could not be kept with the public creditor for want of a sufficient revenue. But this reason has no foundation, because, whatever might be the diminution of the revenue in consequence of so reducing the duties on the articles that are smuggled as to take away the profit of the smuggler and put down his trade, there are several modes by which the same amount of revenue might be obtained. the case, the question is, whether it is more for the public interest to keep up revenue by means of the present system of excessive duties with smuggling, or to get it in some other way without smuggling? Supposing the revenue now received from the very high duties on tobacco and foreign spirits to be 3.060,000l. over and above what would be received from moderate duties,—it cannot be denied that the raising of this particular portion of the public revenue 3,000,000% produces the following evils. prices of the articles taxed are raised so high as to deprive the public, to a great extent, of the use of them. 2. The consumption of them being thus restricted, foreign trade and navigation are proportionally restricted. 3. Not far short of a million a year is expended in the collection of these 3,000,000l., in consequence of smuggling, in addition to the ordinary charge of collection. 4. The crime of smuggling is created, and all those collateral crimes which branch from it. It is, therefore, clearly impossible that 3,000,000l. of revenue could be raised by any more objectionable means than by these high duties on

tobacco and foreign spirits. The lowering of these duties would be attended with another important result besides that of putting down smuggling, namely, the increase of the consumption and importation of the articles subject to them, in consequence of the effect the reduction of the duties would have in reducing prices. For instance, in the case of tobacco, with a reduced duty, more capital would be employed in buying the additional quantity of tobacco which would be consumed, and more ships and seamen in importing it: in the next place, more raw materials would have to be imported to make the goods which would be required for exportation to pay for the additional quantity of tobacco; more capital would be employed in buying and manufacturing these materials, more workmen in manufacturing them, and more ships and seamen in importing them, and in exporting the goods made with them. reduction of the duty on spirits, and of every other excessive duty, would be followed by similar beneficial results to national industry and wealth. So that excessive taxation is not only impolitic, from its effect on the revenue and on smuggling, but in consequence of its diminishing the productions of industry and also foreign commerce.

As the sole cause of smuggling being a profitable trade is the high amount of particular duties, and as

all experience proves that every attempt to suppress it will fail, so long as profit can be made by it, it is clear that nothing will put it down but reducing the duties. It has been well observed, that 'to create, by means of high duties, an overwhelming temptation to indulge in crime, and then to punish men for indulging in it, is a proceeding wholly and completely subversive of every principle of justice. It revolts the natural feelings of the people, and teaches them to feel an interest in the worst characters, to espouse their cause, and to avenge their wrongs. A punishment which is not apportioned to the offence, and which does not carry the sanction of society along with it, can never be productive of any good effect: the true way to put down smuggling is to render it unprofitable by reducing the duties on the smuggled commodities *.'

The statement which has been made to show the effect of the late reduction of the duty on French wines, proves that it has been a very successful experiment; and there can be no doubt that an additional advantage would be gained, with respect to the revenue, the interests of the consumer, and the extension of commerce, if it were still further reduced. But, considerable as this benefit might be, it is nothing when compared with the advantage of a less restricted trade with France, towards which a further reduction of duty might lead. 'There are no two countries better suited for an advantageous commercial intercourse, as well by local situation as by the nature of their productions, than Great Britain and France. They may be considered as the two most civilized nations of the world; they are within a few hours'

^{*} See Edinburgh Review, vol. xxvi. p. 536.

sail of each other; and at the same time the one is distinguished by peculiar advantages, both natural and acquired, for the maintenance of manufactures, whilst the other abounds in all those natural productions for which the extent of its territory, the fertility of its soil, and the excellence of its climate, eminently qualify it *.' As England need no longer be bound by the Methuen treaty, the duty on French wines should be lowered below that on stronger wines, so as to allow the former to be purchased at more moderate prices. If the duty were reduced to 2s. 6d. a gallon, there can be little doubt that the consumption would be treble what it now is. Forty years ago, as much claret was consumed in Ireland (500,000 gallons), when the duty was, 2s. 7d. a gallon, as is now consumed in the United Kingdom; so that it is by no means too high an estimate to take the future consumption at 1,500,000 gallons: with a duty of 2s. 6d. a gallon, this would yield a revenue of 187,000l., which would be greater than the present revenue derived from French wines, by 35,000*l*.

Although there appear to be some very strong reasons in favour of reducing the duty on tea, as this article is not smuggled, it is not advisable to make any change until the monopoly of the East India Company be got rid of; for, however low the duty might be reduced, it does not follow that the price would fall, because the Company have the power of keeping it up by limiting, at their pleasure, the quantity imported and sold. As the effect of the monopoly is to make the price of tea at the sales of the India House nearly double the price of what it is

^{*} Parliamentary Review, 1825, p. 672.

at Hamburgh or New York, it is not impossible but that tea would bear a duty of 100*l*. per cent., if the trade in it were free and the price lowered; the effect of the monopoly, in point of fact, is to make the nominal duty of 100*l*. per cent. a duty of nearly 200*l*. per cent. on the true value of tea.

Notwithstanding it is commonly supposed that the duty on sugar is one of those duties which is excessive in its amount, it would appear that this is a mistake; for the accounts of the quantity imported for consumption, prove that it has increased concurrently with the increase of the duty. The quantity imported for consumption has been as follows:—

The quantity imported into Ireland:—

In 3 years to 1797, Duty 15s. a cwt. . 618,000 cwts.

exclusive of a very large quantity imported from Englandt.

The fact of the increasing consumption of sugar, notwithstanding the increased duty, shows that the reduction of the duty of 3s. a cwt., which took place in the last session, was a great error, and should not

* Appendix, No. II.

[†] No account of the total quantity of sugar imported into Ireland can be given for the period subsequent to 1825: the commercial intercourse between the two countries having, from the termination of that year, been assimilated by law to a coasting traffic, no account has been kept of the trade between them.

have preceded the reduction of those duties which do, beyond all doubt, diminish consumption, and conse-

quently revenue.

The declared object in the last session of lowering the price of sugar, by lowering the duty, might have been secured by other means, and without incurring, according to the statement of the Chancellor of the Exchequer, a loss of revenue to the amount of 450,000*l*.

The price of sugar is raised beyond what it ought to be,—first, by protecting duties on East Indian and foreign sugars; and secondly, by prohibiting the refining of it in the colonics, for importation into the United Kingdom—the removing, therefore, of these restrictions upon the freer use of it, was the measure which ought to have been taken. Such a step would have had the effect of lowering the price, and of allowing the keeping of the duty of 27s. a cwt., which is about 100l. per cent., with an increasing consumption and an increasing revenue. The reduction of the duties on tea and sugar ought certainly to follow the repeal of the taxes on materials and manufactures, and the reduction of the duties on tobacco and spirits.

The sugar planters, in seeking relief by confining their efforts to a reduction of the duty on sugar, seem to have an imperfect knowledge of the nature of the evil they suffer, and of the proper remedy for it. They seem to overlook the injury from those laws which increase the cost of producing sugar. As every reduction in the cost of production would increase their means of making profit, instead of forcing the government to throw away revenue by reducing the duty on sugar, they should use their influence in securing a repeal of all restrictions upon the supplying

of the West Indies with food and lumber, and with all the materials necessary for making sugar and rum, and also in securing the repeal of the other restrictions on refining sugar in the colonies, and on sending it direct

to foreign markets.

The necessity of raising a revenue of 50,000,000l. makes sugar in every way a fit subject of even high It is a luxury in universal use; a small quantity of it goes a great way; the duty is very easily collected; it is an article that is not smuggled; and as 27s. a cwt. is not a higher rate of duty per cent. than the duty on the greater part of the coffee, which is abundantly consumed, there seems to be no doubt, that if the price of sugar were lowered by removing restrictions affecting the cost of producing it, and by allowing the use of foreign sugar, on an equal duty, the quantity consumed under this rate of duty would be very much increased, and also the revenue derived from it.

At present the quantity consumed in the United Kingdom is 3,600,000 cwts. If, in consequence of removing these restrictions and lowering the price, an additional quantity of 500,000 cwts. were consumed, this, at 27s. a cwt., would yield an additional revenue of 625,000l. There is reason, therefore, for saying that the maintaining of the restrictions costs

the public this sum annually of 625,000l.

In making an estimate of the revenue which would be lost by reducing duties to the extent proposed in the preceding pages, nothing should be set down for repayment of duty on stocks on hand, on the ground that the holders of such stocks have a just claim for repayment; for experience establishes the fact, that in almost all cases of past reductions of duties,

prices have kept up, and given rise to a good deal of clamour, and much undeserved condemnation of dealers in the articles which have been relieved of duty *.

The practice of repaying duties, if it were regularly adopted, would lead dealers, on finding that a duty was to be reduced, to amass large stocks, for the sake of the profit they would make by prices keeping up, as they always do, in a greater or less degree, for a considerable time after the repeal of the duties actually occurs.

If notice were given of the intention of reducing or repealing a duty on any article some time previous to its being carried into effect, the dealers in it would take care to diminish their stocks; and as, in case of the duty not being repaid, they would all be losers by a sudden reduction of price, they would not enter into competition to undersell each other, but rather combine together to do all in their power to keep up the price until new stock could be brought forward.

^{*} See Speeches in the House of Commons on the last reduction of the wine duties. In 1824, 460,886l. was repaid for duties on the stock of silk goods on hand (An. Fin. Acc. 1825, p. 45); and in 1825, 1,021,044/. was repaid for the duty on the stock of wine on hand.—See Appendix, No. II.

CHAPTER V.

TAXES FOR GIVING PROTECTION TO AGRICULTURE.

Ir will be seen by the following table of articles of foreign produce, and of the duties to which they are subject, that no opportunity has been lost of endeavouring to promote the interests of the landowners, by excluding foreign competition.

		£.	s.	d.
Bacon, per cwt		1	8	0
Bark, per ton		0	13	4
Beer, per 32 gallons		2	13	0
Butter, per cwt		1	0	0
Bristles, not sorted, per lb		0	0	3
, sorted ditto .		0	0	4
Cider, per ton		21	10	0
Cheese, per cwt		0	10	6
Copper, per cwt		1	7	0
Cucumbers, per cent. ad val.		20	0	0
Hay, per load		1	4	0
Hair, cows and oxen, per cwt.		0	2	6
Hair-powder, per cwt		9	15	0
Hides, per cwt		2	0	4
Hops, per cwt		8	11	0
Hemp seed, per quarter .		2	0	0
Hemp undressed, per cwt		0	4	8
Lard, per cwt		0	8	0
Lead, per ton		2	Û	0
Madder, per cwt		0	6	0
Madder roots, per cwt		0	1	6
Mules and asses, each .		0	10	6
Horses, each		1	0	U
Oil, rape and linseed, per ton		39	18	0

	£.	8.	d.
Ore, copper, per cwt	0	12	0
lead, per ton	. 1	5	0
- not otherwise enumerated, per			
cent	20	0	0
Peas, per bushel	. 0	7	6
Perry, per ton	22	13	8
Potatoes, per cwt	. 0	2	0
Seeds, clover, hay, &c. &c	1	0	0
Spirits, foreign, per gallon (1. M.)	. 1	2	6
Rum, per gallon	0	9	0
Tallow, per cwt	. 0	3	2
Tares, per quarter	0	10	0
Timber, per load	. 2	15	0

Wheat, 11.5s. a quarter to 1s., according as the price rises, from 61s. to 70s. a quarter.

Barley, 13s. 10d. a quarter to 1s., according as the price rises,

from 32s, to 40s, a quarter.

Oats, 10s. 9d. a quarter to 1s., according as the price rises, from 24s. to 31s. a quarter.

On other grain, flour, and meal, the duties are according to

these scales.

Beef, lamb, mutton, pork, sheep, and swine, are prohibited to be imported by 6 Geo. IV. c. 117.

This long list shows with what great zeal those who are invested by the constitution with the power of making laws, have used that power with the view of promoting, by every practicable means, the interests of the owners of landed property. The object of each of these duties is to keep up the rent of land, by preventing the prices of agricultural produce from being lowered by the importation of foreign produce. In whatever degree the duties effect this, they injure those classes which live by industry, because the higher price that is thus maintained is paid either out of the wages of labourers or the profits of capitalists; and they benefit only the proprietors of land and of tithes. Nothing,

therefore, can be more inconsistent with justice than this scheme of legislation for the advantage of a few, at the expense of nearly the whole community; and with respect to the prosperity of the country in industry and wealth, nothing can be more inconsistent with all sound principles. Some reform is clearly wanted. The public interest requires that every nation should have full liberty to send us every kind of food at the lowest possible price.

The duties imposed by the corn-laws being those which, in this long list, have the greatest influence in raising the price of food, the following brief observations have been written with the view of contributing to inculcate a due conviction of the injurious effects of The object of them, namely, to raise the price of corn, is proved to be effectually secured, by what are the prices of corn at home and abroad; for the state of the markets abroad show that, on a moderate calculation, foreign wheat may be imported and sold at 10s. a quarter, foreign barley at 5s. a quarter, and foreign oats at 3s. 6d. a quarter less than British wheat, barley and oats, have been sold for, on an average of the fifteen years since the passing of the corn-law of 1815.

The effect of these additional prices (which may be taken at 5s. a quarter on the three kinds of corn) upon all the corn consumed in the United Kingdom (commonly calculated at 50,000,000 quarters), is to make the public pay 12,500,000l. a year more for the corn they consume than they would pay if corn-laws did not exist.

The increased price paid by labourers for corn, or rather for bread, is a tax on their wages, when this increased price is not followed by a corresponding increase of wages; and, consequently, in this case nothing can be more objectionable than the restriction on foreign corn. When the effect of the increased price of bread is to raise wages, those writers who are of the highest authority on matters of this kind say, 'it lowers the rate of profit on capital,' while others say, 'it raises the prices of commodities.' But in either of these alternatives a very great public evil arises: if the rise of wages reduce the rate of profit, the return on all the capital of the nation is diminished, consequently its annual income, and the means of accumulating new wealth. If the rise of wages add to prices it diminishes the consumption of commodities, the employment of capital and labour, and likewise the means of accumulating new wealth. All labourers and manufacturers—all persons concerned in trade of any kind—even all farmers *, all.

* 'A farmer is as much a capitalist as a shop-keeper or a manufacturer, and the profits of farming capital must, in the end, be lowered by any cause which lowers the profits of other capital. It is the interest of all capitalists to have the necessaries of life, and consequently corn among the rest, cheap; because their labourers will then be contented with lower wages. A farmer's gain cannot be permanently greater than that of other capitalists. Even during the currency of a lease, a rise in the price of corn is not always an advantage to him; for if there be a general rise in the price of all other commodities also at the same time, he must give a corresponding increased price for his coats, hats, horses, sheep, cattle, &c.; and, unless during the currency of a lease, he has no interest whatever in high prices; because competition will effectually prevent him from deriving more than a very temporary advantage from them. He has, however, in common with other capitalists, a very strong interest in high profits; and it is not possible that profits should be high for a long period together, when the necessaries of life are dear. A high price of corn, therefore, not only is not beneficial to the farmer as such, but it is positively injurious to him. He is in fact, who live by industry, and are not either proprietors of land or of tithes, are injured by the corn-laws. If the price of corn were reduced 5s. a quarter by repealing these laws, and the 12,500,000l. which is now taken from the pockets of the people by these laws in extra price were left with them, this sum would be expended, beyond what is now expended by them, on other necessaries, or on the conveniences and amusements of human life.

If the whole of these 12,500,000*l*. were transferred by the operation of these laws into the pockets of landlords, so as to enrich this class, and which may be taken to be one-tenth of the population, at the cost of nine-tenths of it, the effect of the corn-laws would be comparatively harmless. But the real effect of them is to destroy much more wealth than they transfer, and to give to landlords not more, probably, than one-fifth of what they take from the pockets of the consumers by adding to the price of corn; the other four-fifths are totally lost to the country in consequence of the great additional expense in growing corn, and do not, therefore, contribute in the smallest degree to increase the comforts and enjoyments of any individual whatever.

Landlords, after having suffered so greatly from those fluctuations in the price of corn which have taken place since 1815, and which have unquestionably arisen from the corn-laws, and after having found

injured in two ways: first, as a consumer of corn in common with the rest of the community, by having to consume a dear, instead of a cheap, commodity; and, secondly, he is injured in a still greater degree, as an owner of capital, by being compelled to give high wages to all the labourers he employs.'—Cheap Corn best for Farmers, p. 23. Second edition.

how injurious they are to all other classes, should now be sensible that they cannot be benefited by any longer continuing these laws. They should at length understand that they would gain largely by their repeal, from the share they would have in the prosperity of manufactures, which would be the certain consequence.

Colonel Torrens says in his 'Treatise on the External Corn Trade,'-' Let not short-sighted avarice destroy the sources of the golden eggs: let not the proprietors of England, by restricting the importation of foreign agricultural produce, artificially raise the value of such produce in our markets, and thus depress the rate of profit, until the seats of manufacture are transferred to France, Holland, or Germany. No proposition, we believe, admits of a more rigid demonstration, than that the highest rents will be paid in countries in which manufacturing industry is carried to its greatest height. But it is obviously impossible that manufactures should continue to flourish in a country where restrictions on the importation of corn raise the value of raw produce in relation to wrought goods, and thereby depress manufacturing profits below the rate prevailing in the neighbouring countries. If we do not freely import foreign produce, our manufacturing superiority cannot be maintained, and, by necessity, our high comparative rents cannot be continued to be paid*.'

As no one can doubt that the fluctuation in the price of corn is what chiefly exposes the poor to misery and famine, and disables them for providing for themselves, it is clear that while the corn-laws continue the pressure of the poor rates can never be effectually diminished. The first step towards the

^{*} Fourth Edition, p. 168.

abolition of all rates levied on account of able-bodied poor can never be effected with facility or security except by abolishing the corn-laws; and if this system of paying able-bodied labourers by the parishes be not soon got rid of, the whole country will be incessantly disturbed by popular contentions between labourers and land-owners, and ultimately all rent

will be required for the support of the poor.

What should operate as a further inducement to landlords to repeal the corn-laws is the probability that, if continued, they will, before very long. cease to sustain prices. The stimulus which the high price of corn gives to the growth and consumption of the potatoe, will clearly bring about a reduction in the price of corn. The improvement of tillage in Ireland may also be expected to bring about a similar result. Previously to 1806, when all restrictions on the corn trade between Ireland and Great Britain were abolished, the imports of corn from the former to the latter did not exceed 400,000 quarters; whereas they now amount to 1,600,000 quarters, exclusive of 600,000 cwts. of flour and meal; and there is every reason to expect that Ireland may yet be able to export five or six times this quantity.

The plea set up in favour of the corn-laws, that if foreign corn were imported the employment of labour would be diminished, has no foundation; because as the foreign corn could only be paid for by exporting British productions, there would be a new demand for

labour in preparing these productions.

Another plea is equally void of foundation, namely, that the taxes could not be paid without corn-laws. The truth is exactly the contrary, for as the public would have 12,500,000*l*. less to pay annually for corn,

they would have this sum to spend beyond what they now can spend in articles of consumption liable to taxes. Besides, it is to be observed, that the makers of the laws have contrived to throw the great burden of taxation, first, by their selection of the taxes imposed, and secondly, by the selection of the taxes repealed, from off their shoulders upon the industrious classes, so that out of the 50,000,000*l*. of annual revenue not more than 6,000,000*l*.* falls upon the property of landlords †.

The foregoing observations explain how the duties on corn and other articles of food obstruct the progress of national wealth; but these are not the only obstructions connected with agriculture: tithes are also a great obstruction to national prosperity by their

* See the calculation on which this statement is made in the

Parliamentary Review for 1826, p. 669.

† When (in 1813) the author of this work was a party in recommending restrictions on the importation of foreign corn, he was so with the design of ultimately lowering the price of corn. He stated at that time his objects to be, first, to prevent the injury which would arise from peace suddenly opening the ports to foreign corn; and, secondly, to promote such an increased application of capital to tillage in Ireland, as would bring out the powers of that country to supply Great Britain with so large a quantity of corn, as would make the prices as low as if foreign corn were imported. He sees no reason for believing that his expectations were unreasonable, for he is convinced that if the measures of Catholic emancipation and of a commutation of tithes had been passed when the Corn Bill of 1815 was passed, the increase of tillage in Ireland, in consequence of security of property and relief from tithes, would, before this, have reduced the price of corn to what it would be if the ports were open to foreign corn. But, in consequence of so much having of late been written to make the subjects of rents, prices, and profits better understood, he is now fully convinced that the right policy in regard to corn is a perfectly free trade.

influence in preventing the improvement of agriculture, and thus keeping up the prices of corn. If the whole effect of tithes be taken into consideration, it will appear evident that they must have a great influence in preventing as much capital from being applied to improved tillage, and consequently as much corn from being grown as would be the case if they did not exist. The giving to the clergy of the tenth part of that gross produce, which is the result of the improved cultivation, which arises from large sums of capital specially applied to expensive improvements, must operate to a great extent in preventing capital from being so employed. This is one way of accounting for the fact of the quantity of corn grown in England, where circumstances are so favourable to an increased growth of it, being so much less than the quantity required for her consumption. If more capital were applied to tillage, there can be no doubt that more corn could be grown, and, at the same time, without a diminished return of profit; for in whatever degree tithes reduce the profit on capital, they have the same effect as cultivating inferior soils, and they operate, like the corn laws, in keeping up the price of If, therefore, tithes were commuted for a tax on land, there is every reason to suppose that the additional capital which would be applied to tillage, on improved principles of husbandry, would produce such an additional quantity of corn that the price would be considerably lowered, and the effect of the corn-laws greatly counteracted.

Another circumstance that prevents as much capital and labour from being employed in England in agriculture, and consequently as much wealth being derived from this source of industry as there might be, is the custom of not giving farmers sufficiently long leases. In order that land may be made to yield all that it is capable of yielding under the system of artificial grasses and alternate crops, a farmer must employ a very large capital, and a great number of labourers. But capital so employed will not give a full return for some years, and, therefore, no farmer can make such an outlay of it under a yearly tenure of his farm. If the custom, as to leases in England, was the same as it is in Scotland, that is, to give them for nineteen years, capital would be employed by farmers to as large an amount as it is in Scotland, the system of husbandry in England would be no longer so inferior as it now is to that in Scotland, and the quantity of corn grown in England would be very much increased. The circumstance of Scotland being exempt from tithes is, no doubt, one cause of the greater relatively improved husbandry in that country; but the security a farmer has under his lease of receiving back all he spends on his farm, must clearly be the main cause of his employing all the capital he can command in improving it. May not the difference in the practice of the two countries, as to leases, be accounted for by the security the Scotch law gives a landlord against his land being sublet by his tenant; and the want of a similar security in England, arising from the legal construction given by the courts of law to waivers of the covenants of leases?

With respect to a sufficient supply of corn, have not those writers on the corn-laws committed an error in saying that it is impossible the population of the United Kingdom can be fed on produce derived from its own soil without the cultivation of inferior soils, in consequence of their having overlooked the obstacles in the way of employing capital in improving land, arising, first, from the disturbed state of Ireland; secondly, from tithes; and, thirdly, from the practice in England of not giving leases?

The landlords of England, instead of bending all their efforts to secure the continuance of the corn-laws, should use their influence to obtain the following measures:—

1st. A commutation of tithes. 2dly. An alteration of the law of waivers, so as to establish the same facility in England, as there is in Scotland, in preventing alienation by tenants, and in enforcing other conditions of leases. 3dly. The restoration of the poor-law system to what it was in 1790. 4thly. The establishing a free trade in banking, so that the farmers may have the advantage of the system of cash credits and deposits as it exists in Scotland.

CHAPTER VI.

TAXES FOR GIVING PROTECTION TO BRITISH MANUFACTURES.

Notwithstanding all that has been said of our new system of free trade, with respect to manufactures, little or no change was really made by the alteration of the protecting duties and prohibitions in 1825. Accounts that have been laid before the House of Commons, of foreign manufactures imported in 1824, the year before the alteration, and in each of the years 1826, 1827, 1828, and 1829, the four years which followed it, prove this fact beyond all question*.

* The following Table has been formed out of these accounts:

	Imported.				
	1824.	1826.	1827.	1828.	1829.
Brass manuf., value	£. 740	862	<i>7</i> 50	1,546	$3,\!864$
Carriages, ditto	927	1,259	1,683	2,257	1,892
China and arthern.	7,418	18,310	18,718	24,727	23,35 7
Copper manuf, ditto	173	451	818	4,343	3,244
Cotton ditto (ditto	101,840	104,416	109,120		
Gloves pairs		477,107	865,176	1,203,109	865,157
Iron in bars . tons	12,091	12,820	14,293	15,495	15,739
Leather manuf, value	623	1,672	4,000	13,092	5,852
Silk manufact	ures, viz.				
Plain lace, sq. yards	67,526	60,006	122,238	173,259	113,118
Entered by lbs.		48,300	$45,\!278$	183,416	132,316
Entered at }	15,218	26,128	54,179	78,276	81,449
Watches and value	10,224	15,259	15,599	25,764	27,772
Woollen ditto	2,876	24,143	35,157	49,589	53,962

The first three years, from Sess. Paper 1828; No. 322. The last two years, from Sess. Paper 1830-1; No. 28.

With respect to the silk and glove manufactures, this account shows how unfounded the assertions are which are so loudly made, that these manufactures have been utterly ruined by the alteration in the laws in 1825 respecting the admission of foreign manufactures; for the whole amount imported in each year since 1825, forms scarcely a few days' consumption, and a mere nothing in comparison with the quantity of these goods that are annually made in the United Kingdom.

The great increase in the quantity of raw silk imported proves that the depressed state of the silk trade in 1829 was wholly owing to over-production. Whatever doubt may have been felt on this point is now completely removed by the present revival of the trade, notwithstanding that the importation of foreign

silk goods is still going on.

As all kid gloves manufactured in England are made with foreign skins, and as none but kid gloves are imported, the great increase which has taken place of late in the quantity of kid skins imported shows that the depression of the glove trade was also owing to over-production. So that, on the whole, it may be stated, in the most unqualified language, that it is a false inference to draw from the distress which did prevail some time ago in these manufactures, that the alteration of the laws in 1825 was instrumental in producing it; and further, it may be stated, in the same unqualified manner, that it is equally false to assert that this alteration has established a free trade in this country in the place of the old system. If free trade be the right policy, the work of introducing it still remains to be done.

In order to leave no possibility of doubt with

respect to the truth of this conclusion, tables are given, in the Appendix, of the protecting duties which are at this moment levied on foreign manufactures. By these it will be seen, 1st, what the protecting duties are for such of our manufactures that cannot be affected by the freest competition; 2dly, what they are for such of our manufactures that it is commonly supposed would be injured by competition; 3dly, what they are which fall on manufactures of trivial value; 4thly, what they are which fall on those manufactures of materials that serve in the first process of manufacture as materials of other manufactures*. These tables fully explain that nothing can be more absurd and unfounded than those statements which attribute all that goes wrong to free trade.

The varieties of climate, situation, and soil, afford to every country some advantages in the employment of industry not possessed by others. By making use of such advantages, a country will contribute its greatest power in the production of wealth. it is that the capital of England is much more productive of wealth if employed in coal, iron, tin, and other natural productions, and in those objects in which these articles contribute to diminish the cost of production, than it is if employed in making those things which a foreign country can make cheaper in consequence of the advantages that are peculiar to it. All protection, therefore, by diverting the industry of the country from those branches of production for which it is best qualified, is mischievous; and, when once imposed, creates a mass of artificial interests, whose existence, depending on the system from which

^{*} See Appendix, No. III.

they sprang, forms a great obstacle in the way of

getting rid of it*.

The history of the protecting system shows it had its origin at a period when nothing was known by statesmen and legislators of sound principles of trade. It seems to have been introduced into European policy by M. Colbert. Before his time Holland supplied all Europe with manufactures, and received in payment for them the raw produce of her poor neighbours. M. Colbert, overlooking the facts, that manufactures cannot be established in a country until it has acquired a considerable capital, and until the people of it have become rich enough to be able to buy them, sought to force the growth of manufactures in France, merely by issuing his famous tariff of 1667, by which the importation of all manufactures into France was prohibited. The failure of his theory is amply attested by experience. France, ever since that period, has been paying for the manufactures used by her (taking price and quality into consideration) from half to twice as much more as England and Holland have paid for similar articles, and her establishments have continued of the most wretched description till within a few years. They are now, in consequence of the high prices and limited consumption which are the effects of protection, greatly depressed below what they would be if no protection had ever existed, for France is a country possessing great natural advantages for carrying on manufac-

Immediately after the appearance of the tariff of 1667, the Dutch retaliated by prohibiting the importation of the wines, brandies, and other produc-

^{*} Parliamentary Review, 1825, p. 631.

tions of France*. This commercial warfare produced open hostilities in 1672, and a war that lasted six years; and it is to commercial prohibition and retaliation that most of the wars in Europe, since 1667, are to be attributed.

England followed the example of Holland in prohibiting French productions; and from that time has been amongst the foremost of nations in loading her commercial legislation with all kinds of mischievous

and erroneous regulations.

As this system of protection has been steadily acted upon by all nations since 1667, on a most mistaken notion, which has been generally entertained, that the protection of trade was a necessary part of the duty of the executive government, when it is considered, on the one hand, what the consequences would have been throughout the world of allowing trade and manufactures to take their natural course in supplying every country with every article of production of the best quality, and at the lowest possible price, and in advancing universal wealth and civilization; and on the other, what the consequences have been of the numerous wars which the system of protecting trade and manufactures has given rise to, we cannot avoid coming to the conclusion, that those statesmen who invented this system, and who have supported it, and do still support it, deserve to be classed among the greatest enemies to the civilization and happiness of mankind.

The following observations, which are taken from the admirable work of Mr. M'Culloch on the Principles of Political Economy, are so applicable to the subject now under consideration, that no apo-

^{*} Richesse de la Hollande, vol. i. p. 345.

logy will be made for inserting them at length. Mr.

M'Culloch says:—

'It is easy to see that foreign trade, or the territorial division of labour between different and independent countries, contributes to increase their wealth in precisely the same manner that the internal trade contributes to increase the wealth of the different provinces of the same kingdom. There being a far greater variety in the productive powers with which nature has endowed different and distant countries than there is in those of the provinces of any one country, it would seem that a free intercourse between them must be proportionally more advantageous. It would, it is evident, cost infinitely more to raise the wines of France, the fruits of Spain, or the sugars of Jamaica, in England, than to make Yorkshire yield the same products as Devonshire. Indeed, there are myriads of products, and some of them of the greatest utility, that cannot be raised except in particular countries. Were it not for foreign commerce, we should be wholly destitute of tea, coffee, raw cotton, raw silk, spices, gold bullion, and a thousand other equally useful and valuable commodities. Providence, by giving different soils, climates, and natural productions to different countries, has evidently intended that they should be mutually serviceable to each other. If no artificial obstacles were thrown in the way of their intercourse, each people would naturally engage, in preference, in those employments in which it has a superiority, exchanging such parts of its produce as it could spare for the productions it could more advantageously bring from others. And thus, by exciting industry, rewarding ingenuity, and using most efficaciously the peculiar powers bestowed by nature, commerce distributes labour as best suits the genius and capacities of every country. By making us acquainted with various productions to which we should otherwise have been entire strangers, it gives us new tastes and new appetites, at the same time that it affords the means and excites the desire of gratifying them. enables each particular people to profit by the inventions and discoveries of all the rest; while, by bringing the home producers into competition with foreigners, it stimulates their industry and invention, and forces routine to give way to emulation. The division of labour is carried to its farthest extent, the mass of necessary and useful products is vastly augmented, and opulence generally diffused. Nor is the influence of commerce, in other points of view, less powerful and salutary: it is the grand engine by which the blessings of civilization are diffused, and the treasures of knowledge and of science conveyed to the remotest corners of the habitable globe; while, by making the inhabitants of each country dependent on the assistance of those of others for a large share of their comforts and enjoyments, it forms a powerful principle of union, and binds together the universal society of nations by the common and powerful ties of mutual interest and reciprocal obligation.'

'Combien,' to use the words of a late French writer*, 'le spectacle de tous les travaux concourant à la production de la richesse, sans autre preéminence ni distinction que celle que leur assure l'échange de leurs produits, est encourageant pour les classes laborieuses, stimulant pour les peuples, favorable à la

^{*} Ganilh, des Systèmes d'Economie Politique. Tome i. p. 173; ed. 1821. Principles of Political Economy, by J. R. M'Culloch. Second Edition, p. 143.

civilisation, honorable pour l'humanitié! Dans ce système tous les hommes suivent leur penchant, développent, perfectionnent, leurs facultés, s'encouragent par une noble émulation, sont avertis à chaque instant du besoin qu'ils ont des uns les autres, se lient entre eux par des rapports habituels, s'attachent par leurs intérêts réciproques, et renouent les liens de la grande famille du genre humain que la séparation des familles nationales avoit brisés. Ces familles, éparses sur le globe, ne sont plus étrangères entre elles, travaillent l'une pour l'autre, et correspondent ensemble malgré les gouffres des mers et l'aspérité des climats, les montagnes inaccessibles, et les déserts inhospitaliers. Grâces au génie du commerce, et aux inépuisables ressources de l'industrie, tous les périls sont bravés, toutes les difficultés sont vaincues, tous les obstacles sont surmontés, et les bienfaits du travail général circulent dans le monde entier.'

As there are still many persons who imagine the system of protection is right, the explaining of the various evils which it occasions cannot be too often

repeated.

When protections are introduced, and foreign cheap goods are shut out, and the same kind of goods are made or grown at home, but at a greater cost of production, then the capital and labour of the country that excludes foreign goods cease to produce the greatest possible quantity of productions; the country is consequently poorer than it otherwise would be; for when a country consumes an article made at home, which could be got cheaper from another country, it employs a certain number of men's labour, in providing that article, more than it would be necessary to employ if it imported that article. The country is

chap. vi.j TO BRITISH WANGFACTURES.

therefore poorer, by the whole value of these men's labour*.

When the labour required to produce, or the money required to purchase, a sufficient supply of any commodity is diminished, it is clear that more labour or money must remain to produce or purchase other commodities, and that the sum of national wealth and comforts must be proportionally augmented. As the general advance of prices, which is the consequence of protecting all trades, is clearly a great public evil, it would seem that the principle on which the advocates of protection have founded their theory. is, that public evil is the fountain of private good. The theory of free trade is founded on the opposite principle—that private good is the fountain of public good; and that private good is best promoted by leaving every individual to employ his capital and labour in the way he judges best, rather than by inter-

^{* &#}x27;The fundamental principle, that it is only through the agency of labour that the various articles and conveniences required for the use and accommodation of man can be obtained, being thus established, it necessarily follows, that the great practical problem involved in that part of the science which treats of the production of wealth, must resolve itself into a discussion of the means by which labour may be rendered most efficient, or by which the greatest amount of necessary, useful, and desirable products may be obtained with the least possible outlay of labour. Every measure that has any tendency to add to the power of labour, or, which is the same thing, to reduce the cost of commodities, must add proportionally to our means of obtaining wealth and riches; while every measure or regulation that has any tendency to waste labour, or to raise the cost of commodities, must equally lessen these means. This then is the simple and decisive test by which we are to judge of the expediency of all measures affecting the wealth of the country.' -Principles of Political Economy, p. 75.

fering with legislative regulations, bounties, monopolies, protections, and restrictions.

This system of protection, by preventing the importation of foreign goods, diminishes the demand for the exportation of British goods of home production.

All commerce being bottomed on a fair principle of reciprocal dealings, a country that refuses to import must cease to export. By excluding foreign goods, a country does all in its power to drive the merchants of foreign countries from her markets. The necessary and inevitable effect, indeed, in all cases of the protecting system, is to lessen exportation to the same extent that it lessens importation. Every protection that seems to benefit one trade by excluding importation, injures another by excluding exportation: so that the cost paid by the public for protection is always the loss of some branch of trade, and increased price of the goods protected. way foreign commerce is diminished, also the employment of ships and seamen, and universally the employment of capital and labour in advancing national wealth.

The present state of the commerce between England and France affords a decisive proof of the impolicy of the protecting system. In the natural course of things, two such countries, so contiguous o each other, and each having so many productions eculiar to itself, would carry on a trade to the amount of many millions; but according to the accounts laid before Parliament, the whole of the trade in exports and imports does not exceed 3,000,000l. a year.

Another evil of the protecting system is the increased prices of a number of articles, which are the result of it. These prices take immense sums of

money from the pockets of the consumers of the protected commodities, who are not aware how large a proportion of price is caused by this kind of tax.

Prices are, in fact, so generally and so much increased by protecting duties, that it is by no means clear that they do not bear as heavily on the national resources and on the productiveness of capital and labour, as the taxes themselves; and therefore, the reducing of these prices, by taking off protecting duties, would afford all classes of the community the

greatest possible relief.

There can be no greater mistake than supposing that manufacturers derive any benefit from protections; for if, in the first instance, they raise profits, this leads to immediate competition, (in consequence of the protections being only against foreign goods, and of there being nothing to exclude new manufacturers from entering into the protected trades,) and profits are soon brought down to their ordinary level. But, in truth, the persons who carry on the protected trades are more exposed to suffer than any other class of manufacturers; for since the goods that are made under the influence of protection are necessarily dearer than foreign goods of the same kind, whenever competition in the home market leads to a glut of them (a circumstance which is continually occurring), there is then no means of relieving the market by exportation. In addition to this, the protected manufacturers are always exposed to suffer great injury from smuggling.

Another injurious effect of protection is, that it checks inventions, and enables manufacturers to keep the public supplied with commodities of inferior quality; for no manufacturer will incur the loss of

laying aside old and imperfect machinery, and of reforming the processes of his trade, until he is forced to do so by the necessity of keeping on equal terms

with his competitors.

Another evil of protection is the encouragement it gives to smuggling. It also occasions a great loss of revenue; for if the protecting duties were so reduced as to be moderate duties, that is, to about 12 per cent., for the sole object of obtaining revenue, the increased consumption of foreign goods, which would be the result, would yield a very considerable revenue.

The history of the protected trades of this country furnishes an abundance of facts in illustration of the accuracy of all the foregoing reasoning, and shows that nothing can be more opposite to the truth than the statement in the Report of the late French Commission of Inquiry, that 'England has only arrived at the summit of prosperity by persisting for centuries in the system of protection and prohibition.' France, where this system has been universally and most rigidly acted upon during the last fifteen years, every protected trade (and every trade is protected) is in great distress. Dijon and Bordeaux cannot sell their wines, nor Lyons its silks, nor Louvaine its cloth, nor Tarrare its muslins, nor Rouen its cottons, nor Charenton its iron—all in consequence of the encouragement given by protection to over-production; and while the trades are thus distressed, the people at large as consumers suffer by being obliged to pay higher prices than they would have to pay if the legislature had not interfered. They pay at least 1,500,000l. a year in the increased price of iron, as the direct cost for the protection given to native iron-masters; full

as much for the cost of the protection given to the growers and makers of beet-root sugar; and more or less, in the same way, as the cost of every other protection*.

There is not the slightest foundation for the commonplace argument, that, if British manufacturers were not protected, the low price of labour in foreign countries would enable them to supply our markets. For it is not necessarily true, that, because labour is dear in England, as compared with other countries in Europe, those countries would be able to compete with us in manufactures. The argument is built on a wrong notion of what determines us to export. portation takes place from England rather than from France, not because wages are lower (for in fact they are higher), but because the whole cost of production of the exported commodities in England is less than the whole cost in France. Of the cost of production, wages are only a part; but they seem to be considered the whole by those who insist upon the argument in question †.

The support that is still too generally given to the protecting system, can only be accounted for by the habit of indolence with which the strongest minds sometimes receive without examination those opinions which have been long established. It is, however, satisfactory to observe that there are appearances, of late, of a more correct knowledge of the subject, and

of a great change in the public mind.

In 1820 a measure took place, which has been well described as forming an important era in the commercial history of this country; namely, the pre-

^{*} Edinburgh Review, No. XCIX., Article ii. † Par. Review, 1825, p. 703.

senting of a petition to the House of Commons by the merchants of London, in which the principle of a perfectly free trade is proposed, and supported by unanswerable reasoning. The petitioners say, with reference to the system of protections, 'That unfortunately a practice, the very reverse of freedom from restraint, has been, and is more or less adopted and acted upon by the governments of this and almost every other country; each trying to exclude the productions of other countries, with the specious and well-meant design of encouraging its own productions: thus inflicting on the bulk of its subjects who are consumers, the necessity of submitting to privations in the quantity and quality of commodities; and thus rendering what ought to be the source of mutual benefit and harmony amongst states, a constantlyrecurring source of jealousy and hostility. That the prevailing prejudices in favour of the protective or restrictive system may be traced to the erroneous supposition, that every importation of foreign commodities occasions a diminution or discouragement of our own productions to the same extent; whereas, it may be clearly shown, that although the particular description of production which could not stand against unrestrained foreign competition would be discouraged, yet, as no importation could be continued for any length of time, without a corresponding exportation, direct or indirect, there would be an encouragement for the purpose of that exportation of some other production to which our situation might be better suited; thus affording at least an equal, or probably a greater, and certainly a more beneficial employment to our own capital and labour.'

Similar petitions were presented at the same time

from Glasgow, and all the great trading and manu-

facturing towns.

The following extract is taken from the petition of the merchants of Bristol, against the renewal of the Charter of the East India Company, presented on the 12th of May, 1829, to the House of Commons. affords the best practical authority in favour of what has been so often stated in the preceding pages, of the advantage of extended importation in increasing the demand for British productions. The petitioners say, 'That the extension of this most important branch of commerce (our exports) with so many millions of our fellow-subjects, is prevented by the deficiency of suitable returns; for the production of which the soil, climate, and population of India are peculiarly adapted, and which need only the due application of British skill and capital. The removal of the existing restrictions will necessarily create increased demand for British goods, the increased employment of British artisans, encouragement to British agriculture, augmented and improved imports of East India produce, extended employment of British shipping, and increase of national revenue.'

The Committee of Ways and Means of the Congress of the United States say, in their Report of the 12th of March, 1828, 'In all cases where high duties are imposed to afford protection, foreign commerce must, in the nature of things, be diminished to a greater extent than domestic industry is encouraged;' and they add, 'In closing this brief and imperfect review of the destroying operation of the proposed prohibitory policy, denominated, with singular unappropriateness of language, a protecting policy, they cannot but pause to make a remark,

obviously suggested by the occasion, that it is much easier to destroy than to create wealth by legislation *.'

The Committee of the citizens of Boston and its vicinity, in their Report, dated Nov. 30, 1827, on the new American Tariff, make the following remarks:-'That dear goods made at home are better than cheap ones from abroad;—that capital and labour cannot be employed in this country without prohibitory duties;—that it is patriotic to tax the many for the benefit of the few; -that it is just to aid by legislation manufactures which do not succeed without it:—that we ought to sell to other nations, but never to buy from them,—are, we have long since known, fundamental principles among the advocates of the American system. It is, however, extraordinary that these ancient and memorable maxims, sprung from the darkest ages of ignorance and barbarism. should take their last refuge here, and find a statesman of great experience and knowledge willing to risk his reputation in their defence †.'

But the most complete proof of the impolicy of the protecting system has recently been presented to the public in Mr. Camberleng's Report of the Committee of Congress on Commerce, dated Feb. 8, 1830. The following are only a few of the most striking parts of this report, every sentence of which is highly valuable, and deserving of being studied with care, as a most important publication on foreign commerce.

'The Tariff of 1816 laid the foundation of all our subsequent errors. We have wasted millions of our ancient profits of commerce in a visionary experiment

^{*} Parliamentary Paper, 1828; No. 178. + Report, p. 35.

of increasing our national wealth. Whatever may have been the honest intentions of those who framed our laws (of restriction), they can have no other tendency than to increase our taxes, diminish consumption, and destroy trade. The millions invested under the act of 1816 were swept away in 1818 and 1819; those under the act of 1824, by the revulsions of 1825 and 1826; and the investments under the act of 1828 by the tremendous shock of 1829. It is a common opinion that, in consequence of high duties, our manufacturers are actually in a more prosperous condition than they would have been had our laws never been This is altogether a mistake. Taking a view of the whole Union, we are at this day as much an agricultural and as little a manufacturing people as we were at the adoption of the constitution.

'We are evidently sacrificing the rich resources of a young country in an attempt to force manufactures against all the rules which regulate and control industry. Since our new system has been in full operation, the change is becoming annually and rapidly more unfavourable to our navigation. The proportion of foreign to American tonnage was, in 1824, 9—in 1825, 10—in 1826, 11—in 1827, 14—in 1828, 15 per cent. While such is the retrograding condition of our navigation, England is beating all her competitors, and adding millions to her tonnage.'

The Committee, in support of the measures in favour of getting rid of the American restrictive system, quote the following passage from a Report to Congress by Mr. Jefferson:— Instead of embarrassing commerce under piles of regulations, duties, and prohibitions, could it be relieved from all shackles, in all parts of the world; could every country be employed

in producing that which nature has best fitted it to produce; and each be free to exchange with others mutual surpluses for mutual wants, the greatest mass possible would then be produced of those things which contribute to human life and human happiness: the numbers of mankind would be increased, and their condition bettered.'

The petition of the proprietors of vineyards in the department of the Gironde, presented to the French Chambers in 1828, and signed by 12,563 individuals, also places in a clear point of view the great evils of the prohibitory system, and strikingly demonstrates, that if it confer on one or more branches of industry any advantage, this must be obtained by the infliction of an equal or greater loss to others that are naturally more advantageous. The petitioners say, 'Considérè en lui-même, le système prohibitif est le plus déplorable des erreurs. La Nature, dans sa variété infinie, a départi à chaque contrée ses attributs particuliers; elle a imprimé sur chaque sol sa véritable destination; et c'est par la diversité des produits et des besoins qu'elle a voulu unir les hommes par un lien universel, et opérer entre eux ces rapprochements qui ont produit le commerce et la civilisation.

'Quelle est la base du système prohibitif? Une véritable chimère, qui consiste à essayer de vendre à

l'étranger sans acheter de lui.

'Quelle est donc la conséquence la plus immédiate du système prohibitif, ou, en d'autres termes, du monopole? C'est que le pays qui est placé sous son empire ne peut vendre ses produits à l'étranger. Le voilà donc refoulé dans lui-même; et à l'impossibilité de vendre ce qu'il a de trop, vient se joindre la nécessité de payer plus cher ce qui lui manque.

' Notre industrie ne demandoit, pour fructifier, ni la faveur d'un monopole, ni cette foule d'artifices et de secours dont bien d'autres ont inposé le fardeau au Une sage liberté commerciale, une économie politique fondée sur la nature, en rapport avec la civilisation, en harmonie avec tous les intérêts véritables-telle étoit son seul besoin. Livrée à son essor naturel, elle se seroit étendue d'elle-même sur la France de 1814, comme sur celle de 1789; elle auroit formé la plus riche branche de son agriculture; elle auroit fait circuler, et dans son sol natal, et dans tout le sol du royaume, une sève de vie et de richesse; elle auroit encore attiré sur nos plages le commerce du monde; et la France, at lieu de s'ériger avec effort en pays manufacturier, auroit reconquis, par la force des choses, une supériorité incontestable comme pays agricole.

'Le système contraire a prévalu.

'La ruine d'un des plus importantes départements de la France; le détresse des départements circumvoisins; le dépérissement général du Midi; une immense population attaquée dans ses moyens d'existence; un capital énorme compromis; la perspective de ne pouvoir prélever l'impôt sur notre sol appauvri et dépouillé; un préjudice immense pour tous les départements dont nous sommes tributaires; un décroissement rapide dans celles de nos consommations qui profitent au Nord; la stagnation générale du commerce, avec tous les désastres qu'elle entraîne; toutes les pertes qu'èlle produit, et tous les dommages en matériels, en politiques, en moraux, qui en sont l'inévitable suite; enfin l'anéantissement de plus en plus irréparable de tous nos anciens rapports commerciaux; les autres peuples s'enrichissant de nos pertes, et developpant leur système commerciale sur le débris du notre.

'Tels sont les fruits amers du système dont nous

avons été les principales victimes.'

Such, then, are the consequences of the protective system; a system which prevents those countries which are subject to its influence from enjoying the full measure of productiveness which their separate advantages might be made to yield; which divides the community of each country into two classes, the consumers and the monopolists, each interested in each other's loss; a system which bolsters up a bad principle with an infinitely vexatious detail of duties, drawbacks, and prohibitions; and, what is worst of all, which is established to the advantage of nobody, and the disadvantage of all the world. The public interest, therefore, requires the total abrogation of it; but this should be brought about by degrees, for it must be admitted that those who are now protected by restrictions have a right to demand that they should not be suddenly repealed, and that a reasonable time should be allowed them to prepare themselves for meeting foreign competition, or to withdraw from their present employments. This much they have a right to ask, and ought to obtain, and no more; for if more be granted, the interests of the majority of the public will be sacrificed to those of a very small part of the community *.

One point only remains to be noticed belonging to the protecting system, namely, the opinion which some persons hold, that we ought not to remove the restrictions on the importation of foreign goods, unless foreign countries agree to allow our goods to be

^{*} Foreign Quarterly Review, No. vi., p. 649.

imported. But the true grounds on which it is expedient for us to remove these restrictions, are the numerous injuries we suffer from them in the several ways already described; if, therefore, we postpone the removal of them till we can persuade other countries to make an arrangement for a reciprocal removal, we postpone taking advantage of the power that is in our own hands of relieving ourselves.

The petition of the merchants of London, before referred to, contains the following paragraph on this

point:—

'That although, as a matter of mere diplomacy, it may sometimes answer to hold out the removal of particular prohibitions or high duties, as depending upon corresponding concessions by other states in our favour, it does not follow that we should maintain our restrictions in cases where the desired concessions on their part cannot be obtained. Our restrictions would not be less prejudicial to our own capital and industry, because other governments persisted in preserving impolitic regulations.'

As exports cannot go on without imports, the progress of industry and the increase of capital are greatly promoted by everything that adds to the annual amount of imports; the right policy, therefore, is to remove all obstructions in the way of importation, without the slightest reference to what course foreign

governments think proper to adopt.

Everything has now been stated that seems necessary to be urged in favour of a revision of the taxes on materials, manufactures, and luxuries, and also on the taxes for giving protection to agriculture and manufactures. The explanations which have been given of their effects on industry should leave no

doubt as to how much the wealth of the nation would be increased if they were to undergo such a revision that the means of paying the charges for the public services might be provided with as little injury as practicable to the improvement of the country.

If such a thing were possible as taking off all taxes that the promoting of industry and national wealth require to be taken off, the following ought to be

wholly abolished or greatly reduced:—

Estimate of Taxes to be Abolished or Reduced.

Customs—Duties on materials, producing £4,153,000 Excise duties—

Excise duties—					
On bricks and tiles					368,000
On starch	•				84,000
On glass .					613,000
On paper	•		•		649,000
On printed calicoes					662,000
Two-thirds of the	duty or	n haro	l so	oap;	
as this reduction	would	incre	ease	the	
consumption, the				may	
be set down at no					600,000
Reduction of duty or	a spirits	and to	obac	co S	3,000,000
				£10	,129,000

Although a general notion prevails, that no means exist for making good so large an amount of revenue as would, in the first instance, be given up by repealing the taxes here mentioned, this is a mere conjecture, and not deserving of any weight; because no proper efforts have as yet been made to trace in sufficient detail the sources from which new revenue might be derived, or the savings by which the present

scale of expenditure might be diminished. If the repealing of duties in the last session had not been applied to the beer and sugar duties, but to those in the foregoing table, more than a third of the amount of them would have been got rid of. If, also, on the one hand, the country has been going on, as would appear to be the case from what has already been said, in a progressive course of accumulating new wealth, and thus adding to the resources of new taxation; and, on the other, a system of great profusion has been engrafted on the administration of the public expenditure, there is a strong prima facie case to justify the conclusion, that, if proper measures were taken, there would be no great difficulty in providing by new methods a sufficient revenue for the public service.

It is, no doubt, the duty of ministers to act with the greatest circumspection with respect to every plan of reform, which, by possibility, might place the Treasury in a situation not to be able to meet the current demands upon it, and keep faith with the public creditor. But all hazard of this kind would be avoided by proceeding in the business of reducing or repealing taxes by degrees, and by making the measures for supplying new funds for the public expenditure

take precedence.

If it were thought expedient to make so considerable a reduction in the taxes affecting industry as is now proposed, the revenue might be rendered sufficient for all the public services in the following ways: 1st. By the increased revenue which would arise from increased expenditure on taxed commodities, in consequence of repealing taxes and taking off protecting duties. 2dly. By retrenchment of the public expenditure. 3dly. By new taxes.

CHAPTER VII.

EFFECT OF REPEALING TAXES AND TAKING OFF PROTECTING DUTIES IN MAKING THE REMAINING TAXES MORE PRODUCTIVE.

The extraordinary effect of reducing taxes in increasing consumption and producing new revenue, is completely established in the Fourth Report of the Com-The Committee refer to the acmittee of Finance. counts showing the actual produce of the duties under the Customs, Excise, Stamps, and Assessed Taxes, compared with what the produce would have been, if the reduction of taxes which took effect after 1823 had diminished those revenues in the exact proportion which they bore to the produce of that year; and say, 'It will be seen by this abstract, that the abatement of the revenue by taxes remitted would have been, in 1827, as compared with 1823, no less than 9,182,571*l*., and that it proved to be only 3,308,316l.; the difference of 5,874,255*l*. being the increase of revenue from increased consumption *.'

The fact that is here so completely established, of the immense influence of reducing taxes in increasing the revenue derived from those which remain, is of the highest importance. It fully exposes the futility of the plea, that the financial circumstances of the nation do not admit of taking off any more taxes; and it gives to those persons who now argue in favour of the revision of the taxes a right to say, that a very large proportion of the revenue, which might appear on a strict calculation likely to be lost, would be made good by the increased productiveness of the taxes which would remain. According to the case stated by the Committee of Finance, if all the taxes were repealed which fall on industry, the loss of revenue would amount to but a few millions.

Some of the measures which have been proposed for reforming the taxes will lead to the production of revenue, and therefore should be taken into the account in showing how lost revenue may be made good. For instance, if the restrictions on the sugar trade were taken off, four or five hundred thousand pounds of revenue would be the probable result. If prohibitions and duties on corn and other agricultural productions must be kept, but were altered to a duty of 12 per cent., such a duty would, in all probability, produce a revenue of six or seven hundred thousand pounds a year on corn, and of three or four hundred thousand pounds a year on other productions of land.

If, as has been proposed, all the protecting duties were reduced to 12 per cent., so as no longer to be duties for protection, but only for revenue, such large quantities of various kinds of foreign articles would be imported, that a revenue of some millions a year may reasonably be calculated upon as the result of such an arrangement. If the duties on coals exported to foreign countries were reduced, an additional revenue of three or four hundred thousand pounds would probably be received; and if machinery were allowed to be exported under a duty of about 12 per cent., so great would, no doubt, be the demand for it, in all parts of the world, that a similar amount, at least, of revenue would be obtained in this way.

If those monopolies and protections which have been mentioned as having the effect of taking many millions annually out of the pockets of the people, by the high prices they occasion, were removed, these millions would be expended on taxed commodities, and thus augment the revenue. What has been already said on the subject of the malt duty, shows that a proper revision and reform of the regulations by which it is collected would, to a certainty, be accompanied with a considerable increase in the revenue derived from this duty. If the duty on European timber were reduced to 1l. 10s. a load, there can be no doubt that the revenue would be increased three or four hundred thousand pounds a year. on the whole, when the effects which would ultimately be produced on the public revenue, by a proper revision and modification of the taxes, are duly examined, it is clear that, although several millions might be given up in the first instance, in order to give new force to national industry, the measure itself, with the other reforms of duties which it is proposed to accompany it, would reproduce a very large portion of the former revenue.

CHAPTER VIII.

RETRENCHMENT.

In the following pages the public expenditure will be examined in detail, in order to point out where retrenchment may be introduced; but before going into this inquiry, some general observations will be made to explain—First, the necessity of it; secondly, the practicability of it; thirdly, the principles on which it ought to be conducted; fourthly, the difficulties in the way of accomplishing it.

First—Retrenchment is necessary as one of the principal means of relieving industry from a large part of those taxes which press the heaviest upon it. Sufficient has already been said to explain in what manner taxation restrains the progress of industry

and of national wealth.

Retrenchment is also necessary as a preparation, in order to protect the finances of the country from the destructive effects of the funding system, whenever a new war shall take place; for in proportion as our peace establishment is low, the difficulty of procuring the additional funds which a war will require by war taxes will be less.

No one can deny, that if we have to begin a new war with a peace expenditure of 55,000,000l.*, the

 prospect will be most frightful; nor can any one take a comprehensive view of the state of public affairs, and feel satisfied in observing, that while the most expensive preparations for war are making in all the military departments, none are made for placing the Treasury in a state to provide for those demands upon it which a war will occasion.

If war should take place under these circumstances, recourse will probably be had to borrowing to a great amount, and to new permanent taxes—measures which will expose the country to suffer the loss of its manufactures, and finally to undergo all the evils of a national bankruptcy. For these reasons, it is the

Brought over,	£3,868,761
2. Payments for bounties, and other services charged on the gross revenue	1,339,725
3. Payments for interest, &c., on the funded and	
unfunded debt, including the Russian loan raised in Holland	28,940,701
4. Permanent civil services, including the civil list	2,103,10 5
5. Occasional expenditure and advances under	
Acts of Parliament	3 63,511
6. Civil services, voted under the head of Miscellaneous	2,863,248
7. Military and naval services, annually voted,	16,205,81 2
- 4	255,744,863

Of this sum, the Committee of Finance say, (p. 14,) that 35,952,830 ℓ . is not susceptible of diminution. Thus, according to their authority, the balance, or 19,792,033 ℓ , admits of reduction. And to this sum may be added the sinking fund, estimated by the Committee at 3,000,000 ℓ .

All the calculations in the following pages, of the sums that may be retrenched, are made with reference to this expenditure

of 1827.

bounden duty of ministers to make every possible retrenchment, and to confine the public expense within the narrowest limit within which it can be compressed, consistently with the maintenance of the tranquillity

and independence of the country.

Secondly, as to the practicability of retrenchment, the zeal with which all existing expenses are defended throws a considerable difficulty in the way of proving Each public department stands prepared to give the most confident reasons why it is absolutely necessary to keep up the scale of its expenditure to the exact point at which it now is. Every kind of sophism, insinuation, and assertion is worked up with vast ingenuity into a case to resist any attempt at effective retrenchment; and not only government and parliament, but also the public, suffer themselves, in this way, to have their judgment influenced rather by the personal authority of official men, who are always endeavouring to keep their respective services in the highest possible state of equipment and show, than by those principles of a sound system of finance, which require that that portion of the public expense which is incurred for military preparation and protection, should be regulated by the quantity and measure of the danger to be guarded against.

It is almost impossible for persons, not themselves in office, to have sufficient knowledge of details, to be able to expose the fallacies on which the pleas for expense are enforced; and the absence of such an exposure produces too often a belief, that the expense is necessary. The only mode, therefore, that is left for making out a case to establish the practicability of retrenchment, is by reasoning on probabilities, founded on those facts which are within the observa-

tion of every one. Although this is necessarily an imperfect kind of proof, the facts of profusion which can be adduced, when combined together and patiently examined, will be quite sufficient to lead to conclusions that will leave no doubt on any unprejudiced and disinterested mind.

1. When we see how great the expense of the army, navy, and ordnance services is, in comparison with what it was in the peace preceding the war of 1793, we have a right to infer, primû facie, that the present expense is much too great; and the onus probandi rests, clearly, with those in authority, to point out what the circumstances are which can justify so great an additional charge on the public. It may be seen, by referring to the evidence taken before the Committee of Finance of 1828, that they concurred in this opinion: for they commenced the examination into each of the above-mentioned departments by quoting a similar opinion of the Committee of Finance of 1817; and by calling on the witnesses for an explanation of the causes which had led to so expensive a peace establishment as the present one.

After beginning their labours on such a principle, there can be little doubt that if they had been reappointed in the session of 1829, they would have recommended considerable reductions in our military and naval forces to have been made, as soon as the war in Turkey and the affairs of Greece were settled.

It is customary for the advocates of the present scale of expenditure to assume that Mr. Pitt was guilty of very unstatesman-like conduct, in having fixed so low an establishment in the peace preceding the war of 1793. But the defence which was made by Lord Grenville of Mr. Pitt in the House of Lords,

on the 14th of February, 1816, should be attentively examined, before this assumption of persons interested in the present scale of the expenditure is allowed to have much influence. Lord Grenville on that occasion said, 'He wished to call their Lordships' attention to the state of our establishment in a former period of peace—he meant the period between 1783 and 1793. The establishment of that period was now to be not only doubled or trebled, but quadrupled, quintupled. He well remembered that at that period there was considerable doubt whether the establishment was not larger than the circumstances called for. The subject was much discussed, and the propriety of so large an establishment rested on the peculiar circumstances of Europe at that period. He had heard it said, that the great man who was then minister had changed his opinion, and had observed that in acting to the best of his judgment in requiring only 1,800,000l. for the army, and 2,000,000l. for the navy, he thought, on reflection, he had ill discharged his duty. But he did most positively declare that he had not the smallest recollection, that he had no belief that Mr. Pitt ever expressed himself otherwise on that subject than in terms of self-congratulation and conscious satisfaction, that he had, by the most scrupulous economy, at that time enabled the country to meet that dreadful period of trial which it had afterwards to encounter. He was convinced that, if Mr. Pitt were now alive, he would have anxiously enforced the propriety of a low military expenditure at this period of peace; and it was only by following the plan of that great man, and bringing the expenditure of the army and navy to the very lowest practicable point, that any hope remained of extricating the country from those difficulties in which it was involved*.'

It is by no means sufficient to say, in order to meet the charge of profusion in the military expenditure, that the services are in a very high state of efficiency; for this charge can be properly met, only by showing that preparation and equipment have not been carried beyond the point which manifest grounds of public necessity prescribe. Unless this condition of necessity be taken into consideration, the expense to be incurred for the public service would be a mere matter of fancy, and might be made twice what it is without any blame, provided that efficiency and equipment were doubled at the same time. Although this principle of necessity appears to be so evident as almost to make it unnecessary to point it out, every one must be sensible, who has closely watched the reasoning of those military authorities according to which our establishments are regulated, that it can have had but little consideration in their minds.

Referring, therefore, to the fact of the very great present amount of the peace establishment in comparison with what the establishment was prior to 1793; and no satisfactory reasons having been given, grounded on a proper exposition of the danger to be apprehended, to prove that a public necessity exists for fixing it at treble the former amount, we come to the conclusion, that a case can be made out to show that retrenchment is practicable in our military and naval expenditure[†].

2. The spirit of profusion which is admitted to have

* Hansard's Debates, vol. xxxii. p. 514.

† This conclusion is further established in the following pages, under the heads of Army and Navy Expenditure.

prevailed during the last war, coupled with the fact of nothing having since been effectually done to control it, suggests another argument for its being possible to make a considerable reduction in the ex-The nature, character, and extent of the penditure. war destroyed all previously established systems of control and economy. The facility of getting money by loans, through the help of inconvertible bank paper and of the sinking fund, led to that boundless expenditure in subsidies, expeditions, fortifications, military pensions, civil superannuations, and increased salaries, which consumed so many millions, and of which we are now feeling the effects. Now, though the war is over, the spirit of this profusion survives; for it is not possible to point out any measure, or system of measures, which has produced any general and decided change in it; and therefore there can be no doubt that if a strict spirit of economy were substituted instead of this spirit of profusion, a great deal of the present expenditure might be reduced*.

3. The fact which is admitted on all sides, that the Treasury has for many years ceased to exercise the control that constitutionally belongs to it over the public expenditure, makes it even more than probable, that if it resume and rigorously enforce its rights over the departments, a great deal of useless expenditure would be put a stop to. Before Mr. Pitt's administration, the Treasury exercised an active control over the public expenditure; but under his administration it would appear, from the following extract from a

^{*} Although the late administration evinced, in several instances, a disposition to be economical, nothing was done in a way to make an impression on a so long-established evil as the profusion of several preceding governments.

speech of the late Lord Lansdowne, on the 28th of February, 1797, that it had then ceased to do so. ' Every office,' said Lord Lansdowne, ' seemed to be the lord of its own will, and every office seemed to have unlimited power over the purse of the nation, instead of their being, as the spirit of the constitution directed, under the constant check of the Treasury. It used to be the distinguishing feature of the British administration, that the Treasury was its heart; it distributed the necessary nourishment to the other parts, and everything flowed from it as the commanding centre: the other departments were necessarily sub-In point of fact, in former times the heads of the great departments for the management of the expenditure, attended the Board of Treasury with their annual estimates, for the purpose of examination and of explanation previously to their being submitted to Parliament. The estimates were fully considered in all their details; and the officers who attended were questioned and heard previously to the final decision and approbation of the Board being entered on its minutes. This was all consistent with the ancient, and constant, and uniform system of check and control which had been invariably exercised by the Treasury over the expenditure of all the departments, in all their branches, and in all their details*.'

If this system of check and control were again brought fully into practice, there can be no doubt that retrenchment to a large amount would be found to be practicable.

4. The numerous reports on the public depart-

^{*} From MS. Treasury Document on the Ordnance Department, No. 2, referring to precedents from 1755.

ments for the management of the public expenditure, which have been made by Commissions of Inquiry and Select Committees of the House of Commons, show that there is not one of these departments, of which the constitution and organization is not extremely defective. Every department has more branches, and every branch more officers and clerks, than would be necessary if a proper principle of consolidation were adopted for the despatch of public business, and for the control of the individuals entrusted with it. In addition to this, the forms of doing business are ancient and cumbersome; reformation, which has made such universal inroads into ancient institutions, and with such universal advantage, has been successfully excluded from the public offices; returns are required of what is doing to a useless extent; checks are heaped upon checks of no real use; correspondence is carried on between office and office, and between the offices and individuals, in a manner quite uncalled for by any public object; salaries have been immensely increased; pensions and superannuations have been lavishly regulated, and very large sums of money have been squandered on official residences*.

With proofs upon proofs of the existence of these facts, the conclusion cannot be shaken, that a great saving of expense would be the consequence of such a revision of all the departments, as would introduce modern improvements, instead of a system of organization and regulation, of which the origin may be traced back to centuries from the present time.

5. The complicated and multifarious methods of

^{*} There was paid, in five years to 1823, 125,688/. for expenses incurred in official residences.—Pap. Com. Fin. No. 124.

keeping accounts in all the public offices; the numerous and dilatory methods of auditing them; and the almost incredible fact, that there is not made up in any office such a document as an account of the actual annual expenditure of the public money, show that there is reason for presuming, that if all this were changed, and a simple, uniform, and accurate system of public accounts introduced in its stead, a great retrenchment might be made in all the official establishments; at the same time that the public money would be under a more secure custody. 'The annual accounts that are laid before parliament are confined to the exchequer receipts and issues: they leave millions unexplained and unaccounted for in They state, for instance, the expenditure of the army, navy, and ordnance, no further than the gross amount of the issues for each of these services*.'

Thirdly—With respect to the principles on which retrenchment should be conducted, it is of the greatest importance that these should be well considered, and, when decided upon, most severely adhered to. No person can have his mind in a perfectly fit state to form a judgment on any question of retrenchment, without having acquired the habit by previous study of referring to what the uses and object of government are, and the grounds on which taxes can justly be required to be paid. The great error which is commonly committed is taking the utility of an expenditure as a sufficient justification of it; whereas, however useful it may be, if it cannot be shown to be absolutely necessary for securing some public object that could not be had by any other means, it is super-

^{*} Report on Public Accounts of Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz, p. 6.

fluous, and ought to be discontinued. It is not an uncommon opinion among those persons who are in situations to have considerable influence in matters of finance, that we ought first to secure all the revenue we can, and then regulate the expenditure according to it. Others allow themselves to be guided by their feelings and their passions, and not having formed, by proper researches, any fixed principles of the science of legislation, are continually favouring expense, and resisting economy, when cases of apparent individual hardship come before them: not recollecting what those persons suffer, who pay the taxes for providing for the effects of their mistaken compassion and unjustifiable liberality with the public If right principles were referred to, they would suggest that taxation is the price we pay for government; and that every particle of expense that is incurred beyond what necessity absolutely requires for the preservation of social order, and for protection against foreign attack, is waste, and an unjust and oppressive imposition upon the public. Every minister, and every member of parliament, who has the power to spend or to save the public money, should do his best to prevent the wants of the state from depriving the people of the means of providing for their wants; and, therefore, economy and frugality, which are virtues in a private station, from their vast influence upon national happiness in a public station, become the most pressing of duties.

Fourthly—the difficulties of carrying into effect a complete system of retrenchment are extremely great. The first is to get ministers sufficiently well educated to comprehend the necessity of it, and to understand

the means by which it may be accomplished.

The distaste for abstruse reasoning, and the prejudice against the science of political economy, confine the numbers among those who take a lead in public affairs to but a few who possess an extensive acquaintance with trade and finance, and with the principles which ought to govern these branches of political science. It has been observed by Mr. Hume, that 'the more simple ideas of order and equity are sufficient to guide a legislator in a great part of his duties; but that principles, like those of commerce, are much more complicated, and require long experience and deep reflection to be well understood, because real consequences are often contrary to first

appearances.'

But if the first difficulty be overcome, of having a government sufficiently informed as really to comprehend the necessity of retrenchment, and sincerely willing to retrench, another great difficulty presents itself, namely, the opposition which is sure to be made to their plans of economy, even by their own friends, in both Houses of Parliament. When measures of economy have been proposed by government, the House of Commons has so frequently been anything but faithful in its representative character, that government cannot but fear the consequences of attempting to carry into effect such a system of reform as the circumstances of the country require. The remedy for this, is for government, instead of exaggerating every trifling appearance of prosperity, to explain, fully and without reserve, all the facts of suffering and injury which show the propriety and necessity of retrenchment, so as to excite the public to interfere and control their representatives. This is perhaps the only way by which the opposition to retrenchment,

which is the consequence of the interest which peers and members of parliament have in continuing a profuse scale of expenditure, can be successfully resisted. If the public were made thoroughly acquainted with the causes which keep it up at its present amount, they would very soon load the tables of parliament with such remonstrances, as would enable government to carry their measures, without being exposed to be defeated, as they were in the Session of 1828, on the Superannuation Bill, by members holding offices taking a lead in opposing it.

In order that nothing may be omitted that can be urged in support of the foregoing general reasoning respecting the practicability of retrenchment, the public expenditure will be examined in detail under

the heads of,

1. The collection of the revenue. 2. Bounties. 3. The control and management of the expenditure. 4. Civil government. 5. Military expenditure. 6. The slave trade. 7. The sinking fund. 8. Colonies. 9. Ireland.

CHAPTER IX.

THE COLLECTION OF THE REVENUE.

In the following short statement there seems to be a strong case in favour of the practicability of making a very considerable reduction in the charge for collecting the revenue.

In the year 1806, the gross receipt of the revenue of the United Kingdom was 58,255,175l., and the

charge for collecting it was 2,797,722l.

In the year 1826, the gross receipt was 54,839,685l., and the charge for collecting it was 4,030,337l.

Hence it appears that 58,255,175l. was collected in 1806, at a less charge by 1,232,615l., than

54,839,685l. was collected in 1826*.

The accuracy of this statement cannot be disputed, because the dates and figures are taken from accounts which were prepared by the Treasury for the Committee of Finance, with the view of supplying the place of the annual accounts, which cannot be relied on †.

* There is no account made up since 1826, on the same

plan as that here quoted.

† These accounts are entitled 'Accounts of the ordinary revenue of the United Kingdom from 1802 to 1827 (after deducting the repayments, allowances, discounts, drawbacks, and bounties of the nature of drawbacks): stating the amount paid in each of the said years for charges of management, '&c. No notice is taken in them of the abolition of fees and patent offices in 1812, by the Act of 51 Geo. 3, c. 71. The author of the pamphlet on the Customs' Revenue says, 'The additional salaries granted

The charge of nearly 4,000,000*l*. a year for collecting 54,000,000*l*. is 7½ per cent.; if by any means it could be reduced to 5 per cent., the saving under the head of collection would be 1,300,000*l*.

The circumstance of the charge of collection continuing so high, is a proof that there must be great fault somewhere, because much of late has been done for the purpose of diminishing it. This subject has been examined into by three Select Committees of Finance, and at least three different Commissions of Inquiry; and numerous improvements have been adopted at their suggestion; the principal of which are, the abolishing of the patent offices, and of the several revenue boards in Ireland and Scotland: the consolidation of the duties and of the laws *, and the introducing of a great many regulations for promoting the despatch of business. As the result of the whole of the attempt to economise is an increase in the charge of collection, it is clear the spirit of profusion still holds the master-hand.

Management of the Collection of the Revenue.—It is possible that the cause of this evil may be the principle on which the management of the taxes is administered, namely, that of having a separate and completely independent board of management for each branch of the taxes. These boards, although nominally under the control of the Treasury, are practically, and necessarily, nearly altogether exempt

under this arrangement amounted to about 200,000l., and the temporary compensation allowances to be about 40,000l? (p. 11.)

^{*} Too much praise cannot be given to those who originated, and successfully carried into execution in 1825, the plan of consolidating the revenue laws.

from it, and possessed of powers, either direct or indirect, of incurring any expense they please. Whatever may be the intentions of the Treasury, the superior knowledge which these boards have of details, and the various means they can employ to influence the opinions and conduct of the Chancellor of the Exchequer, enable them in practice not only to get rid of the control of the Treasury, but to put it under their control.

The proper remedy to be applied to correct this source of profuse expenditure is to abolish these numerous independent governments, and form one board of Commissioners, to be Commissioners of the Treasury, acting with the first Lord of the Treasury and the Chancellor of the Exchequer for the general administration of all the taxes. This board of Commissioners to be composed of the present chairmen of the customs, excise, stamps, woods and forests, the Postmaster-General, the First Lord of the Treasury, and the Chancellor of the Exchequer. Each of these chairmen to continue to act as the head of the department he now belongs to, and to have a small board of sub-commissioners to assist him: * the duties of the sub-commissioners to be confined to carrying into execution the orders of the general board. The members of the general board, excepting the First Lord of the Treasury and the Chancellor of the. Exchequer, not to sit in parliament.

If the whole taxation of the country were revised and simplified, and also the various methods for administering the management of it, the business of management might be so much diminished, that one

^{*} The assessed taxes to be under the Excise branch.

or two meetings a week of the general board would be sufficient. The presence of the first Lord of the Treasury and of the Chancellor of the Exchequer at the board would enable them effectually to control the expense of management, and at the same time render them responsible in their places in parliament

for every item of expense belonging to it.

In order further to simplify the managing of the collection of the revenue, the business of receiving the money for the taxes from the persons who have to pay them, should be wholly separated from the business of the administration of the taxes. latter business should be confined to what is necessary for charging or assessing the taxes to be paid, and the former business to the operation of receiving the money to be received for the taxes; and it should be placed in the hands of officers having no connexion with the revenue board, but acting under another board of Commissioners of the Treasury to be appointed for this branch of service, and also, as will hereafter be proposed, for managing all payments of public money: so that the business of receiving all money and paying all money, belonging to the public, may be under one board of management, and the accounts of the receipt and expenditure of the public money kept on one clear, consistent, and uniform plan.

It should also be provided, that the whole money received from the taxes should be paid into the Exchequer, without any deduction for paying the charges of management; and that all such charges should be paid and stated in the public accounts, as items of

the general annual expenditure.

If the changes here proposed were made, a great

expense would be saved. The great sums now spent in maintaining the office establishments belonging to the several boards of revenue might be greatly reduced: also the number of commissioners; and one set of law agents, collectors, receivers, comptrollers, inspectors, and accountants, would be sufficient, instead of the separate sets now employed by the separate departments.

That nothing may be omitted that can serve to give full information to the public concerning the taxes, they should be laid annually before parliament by the Chancellor of the Exchequer in a printed budget of revenue, showing the estimated produce of each tax; and they should be annually voted for the year, commencing on the following first of January.

Customs' Duties.—It appears, from accounts laid before the Committee of Finance, that the revenue collected in 1827 from the customs' duties, was received from 566 duties on as many different articles:—

18	articles upwa	produced rds, makir	£100,00	00	and}	£17,683,445	8	8
9		produced		to	100,000	784,415	18	6
9	do.		25,000				17	1
20	do.	do.	10,000	to	25,000	370,402	5	1
510	do.	do.	less that	n	10,000	585,072	6	0
	_	M	(iscellane	ou	s articles	20,903	7	7
5 66						<u> </u>		
-	-		Gross	R	evenue	£19.815.206	3	11*

This sweeping system of taxation shows the customs' duties laws have been framed by persons but little acquainted with the principles of trade and

^{*} Paper of Com. No. 173, p. 11.

finance, and who had not the slightest consideration for the feelings and conveniences of individuals, or for the interests of foreign commerce. The effect of it is to render the accounts complex, and to generate smugglers. Prices are enhanced with little or no advantage to the revenue; the comforts and enjoyments of the people are uselessly abridged; and a great deal of delay, vexation, and loss, must attend the collecting of duties on so many hundred commodities. Each of the duties on the 510 articles. which produce less than 10,000l., should be repealed. If this were done, the imports of foreign goods, and, as a necessary consequence, the exports of British, would be greatly increased; the business of collection would then be confined to 56 articles, and the saving of expense in management would, in all probability, be greater than the revenue (585,000l.) which would be lost by repealing these duties.

Excise Duties.—The circumstance of the excise revenue being collected at a low rate of charge per cent. is not a conclusive proof that there is less profusion in this department than in the others; for a large proportion of this revenue is paid by a few individuals, on operations carried on upon a large scale, and requiring the attendance of few officers in proportion to the sums derived from the duties of which they have the charge.

The regulations for collecting the duty on malt are carried to such an extent of unnecessary, and, with respect to the trade, destructive details, that they afford direct testimony of the practicability of diminishing the number of officers employed, by simpli-

fying the system of collection. In this case the zeal for securing revenue has so kept down the trade of malting, as to have made the consumption stationary for the last forty years; whereas there can be no doubt, that if the trade had not been so harassed by excise rules, checks, and penalties, the consumption would have increased with increased population and wealth, and, consequently, the revenue derived from it. So that, in point of fact, it is clear that the mistaken zeal with which the excise department has sought to benefit the revenue, has incurred a loss of a great annual amount on the article of malt alone. stationary state of the glass manufacture, for a number of years, and of other trades subject to the excise laws, is to be accounted for, in a great degree, by ignorant and harassing regulations. Excise legislation, in consequence of having been under the direction of inferior officers, has been grounded on the narrow principle of grasping, by force of penalties, at the capital of manufacturers, in direct opposition to enlarged and sound principles. These would have pointed out the way of making laws consistent both with the interests of the trade of the country and those of the revenue.

As no inquiry has been made into this department for a great many years, it is impossible to know whether it be well or ill managed: some circumstances have transpired, particularly with respect to the distilleries, which afford reason to suppose that, if an inquiry were instituted, occasions would be found for making many improvements, and saving a great deal of expense.

Some of the duties of excise yield so little revenue,

that there can be no good reason for continuing them. The net revenue received in 1828 was as follows:—

On starch		£87,348
Stone bottles .		3,405
Sweets and mead	•	2,606
Vinegar		24,500

These duties are liable to the same objections as those just made to the customs' duties, which produce

little revenue, and ought to be repealed.

The repeal in 1825 of those parts of the assessed taxes which were productive of a comparatively trifling profit to the revenue, forms a good precedent for repealing this class both of customs and excise duties.

Post Office.—The twenty-second Report of the Commissioners of Revenue Inquiry, recently presented to Parliament, exposes an immense loss of public money, occasioned by the Post-office becoming builders and managers of steam-packets for the home packet service, instead of accepting offers made to them by private companies, which, in the opinion of the commissioners, ought to have been accepted. With respect to the consequences to the public as to expense, of the Post-office not having adopted this course, the commissioners say,—'The annual excess above stated, amounting in a period of nine years to about 300,000l., must be considered a total loss*.'

With regard to the station at Holyhead, the commissioners recommend, 'that as soon as the vessels now employed to carry the correspondence can be

satisfactorily disposed of, the whole packet establishment, the property, or maintained at the charge, of the crown, in all its branches, should be dispensed with.' They add,—'The vast increase in the number of steam vessels, the offers formerly made at this station, and the present competition for employment, leave, we think, no doubt of the practicability of providing satisfactorily for the conveyance of correspondence between Holyhead and Dublin by hired vessels of this description*.

With respect to the Liverpool station, the commissioners say,—'The arrangement which still subsists should be no longer permitted; and his Majesty's Postmaster-General should be instructed to provide for the transmission of the correspondence between Liverpool and Dublin in the manner prescribed in the Report of the Committee of Finance of 1798, already quoted:' namely, 'to contract publicly for the conveyance of mails by packets, with such individuals or companies as would undertake it at the lowest prices, for a term of years, upon different stations, so as to open this lucrative department to public competition†.'

The Commission of Inquiry have recommended in other reports very extensive reforms in the Post-office establishments in England and Scotland, and the abolition of the separate department in Ireland. The reasons on which these reforms are founded leave no doubt that, if they were adopted, a great improvement would be made in the mode of carrying on the business of this branch of the public service and that a considerable saving of expense would take

place.

Stamp Duties.—Although the raising of revenue by stamp duties is perhaps a less objectionable mode than any other, many of the present duties are exceedingly vexatious, and some of those which are the most vexatious produce so little revenue, that they should be at once repealed. Such, for instance, as the duty on pamphlets, soda-water bottles, quack medicines, and horses let to hire by the day. The plan of charging some of the duties on horses as assessed taxes, and others as stamp duties, should be changed. The tax on horses let to hire by the day should be repealed, in consequence of there being, perhaps, no tax which so much interferes with the comfort and amusement of the public.

The net revenue from hackney coaches and pedlars paid, in 1828, into the Exchequer, was only 55,000l., and the charge for collecting the gross revenue of 77,437l. was at the rate of 13l. 18s. 7d. per cent. The small amount of revenue, and large expense of collection, are good reasons for repealing these duties. There is no justice in selecting the inhabitants of London as the only part of the public to be taxed for hackney coaches. The tax on hawkers and pedlars has its origin in an ancient notion, that the public interest is benefited by protecting shopkeepers against the competition of itinerant dealers. As the trade carried on by them is particularly convenient and beneficial to the public, this penalty on industry should be removed.

CHAPTER X.

BOUNTIES AND DRAWBACKS.

Among the numerous instances of waste of public money, there is none more flagrant than that which consists in giving bounties on linen and sail-cloth exported, amounting, for a number of years, to 300,000l. a year. What makes the continuing of these bounties the more to be condemned, is, that the chief part of the linen-manufacturers themselves called on the Treasury some years ago to abolish them. manufacturers in Scotland have derived all the advantage of them, and their influence has been allowed to put the country to this great loss. When a saving of the public money could have been effected to the amount of 300,000l. so easily as this saving might have been, it is quite clear, that whatever may have been the professions of Government to economize, they have not been influenced by any strong or sincere desire to do so, but have always been ready to keep up useless expense, rather than come into collision with any of those private interests which benefit by it. In this case, they have at length been driven to abolish these bounties; but by fixing for the period of their extinction the 1st of January, 1832, at least 200,000l. more will be lost.

It is no longer necessary to continue the remarks contained in the last edition of this work, on the bounties on the fisheries. It certainly was the intention of Government to renew them in the last session; and it may therefore be possible that those remarks had some influence in saving the public from this most useless expenditure. There is still, however, an annual expense incurred on the fisheries, of 15,600l., in maintaining establishments of Irish and Scotch Commissioners. The meddling of these commissioners with the trade of fishing, cannot fail to be a vexatious and mischievous impediment in the way of private enterprise, and the natural extension of the trade.

In consequence of the reduction in the duty on sugar of 3s. a cwt., the bounty on refined sugar, exported since the 5th of October last, is fixed as follows:—

	£.	s.	d.
On bastard sugar, per cwt	1	4	0
Single-refined ditto	2	6	0
Double-refined ditto, and single, equal in			
quality to double	2	14	0

with a deduction of one-fifth on the total amount.— This makes the rate of bounty exactly what it was before the reduction of the duty.

It is forcibly insisted upon by many persons conversant with this subject, that this rate of bounty exceeds the duty paid, and that it is a gratuitous bounty to the exporter of refined sugar to the amount of 5s. 3d. a cwt. To support this conclusion, a speech is referred to of the then Secretary of the Treasury, in which it is said he distinctly admitted that the act of 1826, for lowering the bounty, left half of it remaining. A letter is also quoted of Mr. Hibbert, written in 1824, in which he says, 'the drawback upon the export of refined sugar is little, if at all short of a gratuitous bounty of six shillings per

hundred weight.' The persons above referred to contend, that at that time the drawback was a gratuitous bounty of 8s. 4d., and that since the act of 1826, if the estimated quantity of refined sugar obtained from a cwt. of raw be taken at 74lb. to 75lb., there is now a gratuitous bounty of 5s. 3d. a cwt. These persons say, in answer to those who assert that there is no such bounty, 'How does it happen that though the West Indians are now at liberty to export their surplus directly from the plantations to the continent, they prefer sending it first to England, and then from England to the continent, though it thus becomes loaded with double freight, insurance, commission, and shipping and landing charges? The fact is, that the drawback on the refined sugar exported from this country is so regulated, as not only to compensate to the West Indian planter the heavy extra charges just mentioned, but to afford him a considerable profit besides, all which must obviously come out of the pockets of the people of this country *." If it be true that there is a bounty of 5s. 3d. over and above the duty paid, this on 456,000 cwts. of refined sugar annually exported, will make a charge on the public of 120,000l. a year.

In contradiction to these arguments, it is said that the bounty should not be considered more than equal to the duty paid on the raw sugar, and that it is only

a drawback.

The author of the pamphlet on the Customs' Revenue states, that, many years ago, a large quantity of Muscovado sugar, selected as of average qualities, to the satisfaction of government, was refined in a par-

^{*} See Nos. 24 and 57 of the Anti-Slavery Reporter.

ticular refinery, under inspection, which was also satisfactory to government, in order to ascertain the produce; and that all subsequent calculations of drawback have been founded upon this trial *.' The fact here mentioned of this trial having been made several years ago, suggests some degree of suspicion of the bounty being now more than equivalent to the duty. For, supposing even that those persons who acted for Government in making this trial, sufficiently understood the trade of refining as not to have been in any way deceived by the refiners, the long period which has elapsed has afforded an opportunity to introduce improvements in the manner of conducting the process of refining, which the refiners no doubt have taken advantage of. It cannot be imagined, that in such a trade as this is, no improvements have been practicable in converting raw into refined sugar. The uniform success of the Scotch distillers in increasing the power of working off their stills faster and faster by many degrees than the Government increased the charges on them, shows that the greatest precautions on the part of Government cannot in all cases counteract the ingenuity of the traders they have to deal with.

In the same way, all drawbacks of duty, so numerous in our present system of taxation, must necessarily become bounties, in consequence of its being quite certain, that notwithstanding the calculations on which they were fixed in the first instance may have been made with greatest care, the introduction of improvements, or the practising of fraud, will be employed successfully in favour of the trades to which they apply.

The drawbacks also occupy much of the labour and time of the officers, and add to expense of management in recording a number of particulars and checking accounts. The abolishing, therefore, of all drawbacks, is a most desirable object. It seems to be one that might be attained without any very great difficulty, partly by the total repeal of some duties, partly by the facilities afforded by the warehousing system, and in allowing the fullest opportunity of exportation from the warehouses free from all restraint, and at a small expense.

The sum paid annually for various drawbacks

amounts to 3,300,000/.*

^{*} Paper Com. Fin. No. 43.

CHAPTER XI.

THE MANAGEMENT OF THE PUBLIC EXPENDITURE.

The following is a list of the several departments that are intrusted with the business of expending the public money pursuant to the general appropriation of it by Parliament. The sums which are paid for their establishments are here stated as accurately as the documents respecting them will admit.

						£.
ı.	The Treasury, including th	ıе	Commi	issaria	t De-7	80,542*
	partment, in 1827			•	ر .	00,542
2.	The Exchequer .	•	•	•	•	48,000†
3.	The Audit Office, in 1828		•		•	32,977‡
4.	The Bank of England, do.		•	•		267,597§
5.	The Commissioners of the	Si	nking I	Fund, e	lo.	10,350
6.	The Civil Departments of t	thε	Army,	do.	•	108,837
	Ditto of the Navy, do.		•			179,647**
8.	Ditto of the Ordnance (the	To	wer and	l Pall-1	nall),	} 57,961 + †
	do. , , .	•	•	•	• .	, 0,,001
					4	2779,911

This account shows only the expense of the civil establishments in London, and therefore falls very far short of the total expense of the civil establishments of the military departments.

^{*} Paper of Com. of Fin. No. 102. Acc. No. 27, and Par. Pap. 1822, No. 110.
\$\frac{1}{2}\$ An. Fin. \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Ib. 1828, p. 137.
\$\frac{1}{2}\$ Ib. p. 134.

** Navy Estimates, 1828.

† Ordnance Estimates, 1828.

The Treasury.—It appears, by a paper laid before the Committee of Finance, that the expense of this department, which is now 80,542l., was, in 1797, 44,066l.—so that it has nearly doubled since that time: although the income and expenditure (the superintending of which constitutes the chief business of the Treasury) of 1797 was as great as the income and expenditure of 1827.

As the Treasury exercises the same powers, and discharges nearly the same duties now as it did in 1797, this immense increase of expense in the establishment of a department whose duty it is to control the other departments, is alone sufficient evidence of the profusion with which salaries must have been increased and officers multiplied. There are no fewer than fifteen clerks in the Treasury, who receive salaries amounting to 1000l.; five of these fifteen

receive 1500l. a year each, and upwards*.

Nothing can more fully prove the want of system and uniformity on the part of those persons by whom public business was originally regulated, and the necessity of revision and reform, than the mode by which the Treasury establishment is paid-for intance, some of the salaries are paid out of the Civil List; some from the consolidated fund; some out of a fee-fund; some out of the Customs' revenue, and some by annual grants of Parliament. Such kind of complication must lead to great perplexity and confusion of accounts, and to frustrate all efforts to keep down the expense of official establishments.

The Exchequer.—It is so generally acknowledged that the forms by which business is carried on in this

[†] Paper, Committee of Finance, No. 102.

office are antiquated and absurd, that it would be wasting time to give any description of them; and since there seems to be but one opinion with respect to the expediency of abolishing this office, as an expensive and inconvenient mode of doing business, what deserves most to be attended to, is the consideration of the sort of office which should be substituted in its stead. As the chief duty of the Exchequer, so far as the public money is concerned, is to take care that no issues of it are made by the Treasury without their being in conformity with the authority specially enacted by Parliament, this duty ought to be easily and effectually performed by a small department, consisting of a few officers, and occupying only a few rooms.

The Audit Office.—Little need be said here concerning this office; for if a proper system be adopted for controlling and keeping the public accounts, it will be necessary to make a total change with respect to its present functions.

Management of the Debt.—The Bank of England annually receives about 270,000l. for its trouble in paying the dividends. This is a very extravagant misapplication of the public money; for had the Government made a proper bargain with the Bank, on granting or renewing the charter, they would not have allowed it the benefit of exclusive privileges in carrying on the trade of banking, and also of holding several millions of balances of public money free of interest, without at least having required, as a condition of these advantages, the paying of the dividends without any charge. In the numerous dis-

cussions which have taken place on this subject in the House of Commons, the extravagance of the arrangement has been but little disputed; but it has been suffered to continue on the ill-supported plea, that it was binding on the public so long as the present charter had to run. As this is now so near its close, the advantage the Bank derives from holding the balances of the public money should be taken into account, in making any new arrangement with it respecting the dividends, so that the whole of the sum now paid for the management of the debt may be saved.

THE CIVIL DEPARTMENTS OF THE ARMY.

Paymaster of the Forces.—The office of the Paymaster of the Forces may be considered as being almost a sinecure, in consequence of nearly the whole of the business of it being performed by a deputy and three cashiers. As each of these persons has a power of drawing money out of the Bank of England on his own order, the effect of this office being a sinecure is to diminish considerably the security of the public. It is also attended with this further inconvenience, that it multiplies the number of imprest accountants, and thus adds to the difficulty of establishing a proper system of keeping the public accounts.

The true remedy for these evils is, to introduce an entirely new principle for the management of all payments of public money. How this should be carried into effect will be explained after examining the offices of the treasurers of the navy and ordnance, and of other pay offices.

Comptrollers of the Army Accounts.—Strange as it may seem, the office of Comptrollers of Army Accounts has nothing to do with the accounts arising out of the money voted in the army estimates; these are under the War Office. The Comptrollers' office ought to be called that of Auditors of a portion of the Accounts of the Army Extraordinaries.

Army Extraordinaries.—Nothing can be more opposed to every principle of simplification and consolidation in conducting public business than the account which is called the army extraordinaries. The best informed official men admit that it leads to a great confusion of accounts, and can only be intelligible to persons who are in office, or to those who

bestow a great deal of time in unravelling it.

The vote of parliament for the army extraordinaries is explained, as 'not being matter of previous estimate or specific grant,' such as is contained in the army estimates*. It includes, among other items, the pay, clothing, allowances, recruiting, &c., for the forces serving in India; and, although the sum annually voted is no more than 800,000l. or 900,000l., the payments that are made and stated in the annual account, which the paymaster of the forces lays before parliament, commonly amount to 3,000,000l†. arises, in part, from payments made nominally for army extraordinaries during the year, comprehending a considerable number which do not belong to that head of service, but which are of the nature of temporary advances for other services provided for by parliament, and which it is convenient to pay, in the

^{*} Report of Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz on Public Accounts, p. 55.

Ib. 53.

first instance, under the name of army extraordinaries, and afterwards to adjust, by repayments from specific grants*. The consequence of thus making the paymaster of the forces pay for those services that do not belong to the army, is the producing annually to parliament of an account with a false This scheme of army extraordinaries serves to conceal from parliament and the public a great deal of wasteful and illegal expenditure: for instance, the sums paid at home to colonial agents, and the sums drawn from abroad for colonial expenses, although they are wholly for civil colonial purposes, are paid as army extraordinaries, and without any previous vote of parliament: in point of fact, as what constitutes, in reality, the vote of the army extraordinaries, is the balance of the account of every expense called by this name, and as any expense may be so called, there is no kind of expense that may not be covered by this sort of parliamentary sanction.

There is no reason for not putting into the army estimates the expenses to be incurred for the forces serving in India: these and all other military expenses that can be specified, which are now voted in the mass, and without being named in the army extraordinaries, should be put into the army estimates; and also such a sum as might be wanted for other military expenses which could not be specified, but the heads of which may be stated. In this way the vote for the army extraordinaries might be got rid of, and the whole of the army expenditure brought into the War Office, under a uniform and systematic control with the rest of the military expenses. A further advantage

^{*} Report of Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz, p. 3.

would be gained by this arrangement,—namely, the getting rid of all pretext for continuing to keep up

the office of comptrollers of army accounts.

The payments for other services, under the name of army extraordinaries, should be made in some new way, so that the use of the military chests under the care of the commissaries abroad may be continued, and so that the several branches of the public services may be furnished with the same kind of banking facilities and conveniences which they now have.

The Commissariat.—There are some circumstances belonging to the Commissariat which call for observation. The first is, that of the business of providing bread, meat, forage, fuel, and candles for the army and artillery in the United Kingdom, and fuel and candles for the troops on foreign stations, being under the management of the Treasury; for so it is, in consequence of the Commissariat department being a part of the Treasury. Government wished to transfer this business to the Ordnance in 1822, when the providing of other military stores was transferred to it; but the then master-general objected to it. The peculiar unfitness of the Treasury to transact this kind of business, and the fitness of the Ordnance, require that the transfer of it should not be longer delayed.

The next circumstance to be noticed relates to the accounts of the commissaries, who are both cash and store accountants. Those accounts which relate to pecuniary expenditure are audited by the Audit Board, while the store and provision accounts are committed to the exclusive investigation of the comptrollers of army accounts. This course of examining and auditing these accounts shows with what negli-

gence the modes of doing public business have ori-

ginally been arranged.

So many obvious considerations lead to the conclusion, that the entire accounts, whether cash or stores, of a public accountant ought to be simultaneously examined by one and the same department, that an alteration in the present system should be immediately made*.

The employing of Commissaries of Accounts abroad was suggested in consequence of the great accumulation of accounts during the war; but since the conclusion of it, the motives which originated the plan have gradually ceased to have any force, and therefore the public may be saved the expense of keeping up any of these officers †.

Army Agents..—It would appear that there is no necessity for incurring the expense of Army Agents. The accounts of the paymasters of regiments are examined at the War Office, and not by the agents; all that the agents do for the public, is to receive money from the Paymaster of the Forces, and to pay with it the drafts of the regimental paymasters: their other duties are private, and for the benefit of the officers of the army‡.

The measure which would the most contribute to diminish useless expense, and secure an efficient performance of duty in the civil departments of the army and Ordnance, is the constituting of an Army Board for both services, to be composed of the heads of each

^{*} Report of Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz, p. 107. + Ibid. p. 107.

[†] Evidence of Lord Palmerston, before Committee of Finance, p. 234 and 219.

chief branch, on the plan of the Ordnance Board. By doing this, a more concentrated direction would be established, and the whole control of the army and Ordnance expenditure would be rendered more effectual. A precedent for such an arrangement conducting military affairs, is afforded by the Army Board which presides over and manages the East India Company's army. This Board is composed of the Commander-in-Chief, as President; the General Officer commanding the Presidency Division of the army, as Vice-President; the Chief Engineer, the Commandant of the Artillery, the Quarter-Master-General, the Adjutant-General, the Commissary-General, and the Military Auditor-General. In this board, as in the English Ordnance Board, there is an individual responsibility and duty, superintended by the general control of the individuals themselves, in their capacities as members of the board*.

Army Accounts†.—It would appear from the Report of Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz on the Public Accounts, that the mode of examining the regimental accounts answers every purpose; and that but some slight improvements are wanted, to make the mode

* Paper, Committee of Finance, No. CLI. Answers of Mr.

Brownrigg.

[†] The Committee of Finance, soon after they were appointed, suggested to government the expediency of having an inquiry made into the methods employed in keeping accounts in the public offices. Accordingly, Messrs. Brooksbank, Beltz, and Abbott were appointed commissioners for this purpose. It appears that the two first-named gentlemen have not acted with Mr. Abbott; in consequence of which, they have presented one Report on the Accounts to the Treasury, and Mr. Abbott has presented another. Both have been laid before parliament, and printed.

of keeping the accounts of the Pay-Office efficient. They say, 'That the books neither of the Navy-Office, of the Victualling, nor of the Ordnance, will enable those departments to furnish an account of receipt and expenditure, according to the heads of the estimates, with the like facility as the books of the Pay-Office.' Mr. Abbott, the Third Commissioner of Accounts, did not make a Report on the Army Accounts. There are persons who have some acquaint-ance with them, who say, that they are as imperfect as the accounts of the other departments.

THE CIVIL DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY.

Treasurer of the Navy.—Some reformation has of late been made in this department, but on much too narrow a principle to put the business of paying the expenses of the Navy and of keeping the accounts on a perfect system.

Nothing can more conclusively shew the stubbornness with which public offices cling to antiquated and absurd practices, and how far they will go in shutting out improvements, than the circumstance of continuing to send money in waggons, under officers called conductors, and with military escorts, from the Navy Pay-Office in London to the sub-cashiers at the ports*.

The Navy Board.—According to the evidence given before the Committee of Finance, by Six George Cockburn, Sir George Clerk, Mr. Douglas, and Mr. Barrow, it appears, that of late years the Admiralty have made various efforts to reduce the

^{*} Evidence Com. Fin. p. 63.

civil expenditure of the navy. It seems, however, that they were so much opposed, as to be able to accomplish in this department only some trifling reductions; but that, with respect to the Victualling Office, in consequence of the Commissioners having zealously co-operated with them, a very great reformation and saving of expense has been effected.

In the session of 1829, the old Navy Board was abolished, and a new one formed, according to the suggestion of the Committee of Finance, on the model of the Ordnance Board. As the evidence just referred to explains the practicability of making some considerable reductions in this department, this change should lead to a large saving of the public money.

Dock-yards at Home.—The evidence given before the Committee of Finance makes it quite clear that a considerable reduction of expense might be secured, if a proper change of system were introduced in this branch.

The following is an abstract of the evidence referred to:—

Mr. Douglas says, 'It has occurred very strongly to myself, and to other persons who have visited the yards, that the returns which are made from them daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, and annually, are much more voluminous than are necessary for any practical use.*.'

Sir George Cockburn says, 'In the present good state of our Navy, a still further reduction of work-

men in our yards might be permitted †.'

Mr. Barrow says, as to the dock-yards, 'With * Evidence Com. Fin. p. 100. † p. 15.

respect to superintending officers, perhaps we might be able to reduce some of the principal officers. The inferior officers may certainly be reduced considerably, mostly among those, I should say, below the situation of foreman of the yard; such, for instance, as the masters of trades. There is not a single trade, I believe, carried on in the dock-yard which has not a master. There is a master smith, bricklayer, sail-maker, rigger, rope-maker, painter, and others. They have each 250l. a year, and many of them have not above four or five men under their superintendence *.'

In Sheerness the master bricklayer is receiving 250l. a year for superintending five common brick-

layers.'

Mr. Barrow, in further speaking of these masters, says, he prefers giving pay to giving salaries, on many accounts; and adds, 'It is one of the greatest evils of our dock-yards, that the Commissioners of Naval Revision thought it necessary to bring forward so many working people, as the inferior officers all were, and to make them at once salaried officers; the consequence of which is, that they have not only large salaries, but are all of them entitled to large superannuations.' 'Of this,' he adds, 'there is a striking instance in the estimates: a measurer, originally taken from the working shipwrights, where he had only about 60l. or 70l. a year as his day pay, the moment he became a measurer, had a salary of 1801. a-year: he now stands upon the superannuated list with a retirement of 165l. a year; and if his place is filled up, the public is paying 345l. a year for the labour of one measurer.' Mr. Barrow,

^{*} Evidence Com. Fin. p. 116.

after repeating, 'It is in the number of inferior officers that very considerable reductions can be expected to be made,' says, 'of the measurers I find we have seventy-four; their employment is that of ascertaining the earnings of the workmen; and they are attended by twenty-five clerks, who calculate those earnings. The measurers merely give in a certain number of figures, which the clerks understand, and from them they calculate the earnings. The amount of the salaries of these measurers and

clerks is about 17,000l. a year.'

The excuse that is made for employing so many measurers and clerks is, the quantity of detail of measurement which is required in consequence of the Commissioners of Naval Revision having substituted a new plan of building by task-work in place of the old one. Mr. Barrow says, 'The Commissioners of Naval Revision altered the old plan greatly for the worse. The practice was, to divide a ship into a certain number of sections, which I believe was twenty-five; each of these sections had a price affixed to it, according to the size of the ship; to each section was a gang or more of shipwrights, as might be necessary, according to their numbers, to complete the work of those separate sections.' place of dividing a ship in that manner into sections, we have upwards of 1000 separate articles, into which our measurers must go, and some of which, ridiculous as it may appear, are not valued at more than three-farthings.' Mr. Barrow stated the following comparison between the management of a private yard and one of our dock-yards. an account of the establishment of a private builder; he has two hundred and fifty shipwrights. In Woolwich yard, which comes the nearest, we have two hundred and forty-eight shipwrights, eighteen clerks, six masters of trades, eight foremen, eight measurers, eleven cabin-keepers; besides surgeon, boatswain, and warders, and other people.

'In the private yard, where I said there are two hundred and fifty shipwrights, there are one foreman,

one measurer, two clerks, and ten labourers.'

Mr. Barrow says, 'If I wanted a ship built of 500 tons, a private builder would estimate the workmanship at 48s. per ton, though he might charge me more.' On Mr. Barrow being asked, 'At how much per ton is a ship of 500 tons in the King's yards built?' he answered, 'A great deal more than that; for it takes in the whole expense of superintendence as well as labour. I must observe, that it is not quite fair to compare the two yards together, because we have all the trades working in our yards; the private proprietor goes to others. I mention this to show the simplicity of one proceeding, and the complexity of the other. The Actæon sloop of war, 455 tons, is estimated to cost 5l. a ton in our yard; but then there is no doubt that our ships of war are much better built than those in private yards.'

Mr. Barrow further states, that the building of thirty-two ships in Pembroke yard cost 25l. a ton, including workmanship and materials, and every ex-

pense of the establishment.

On the general subject of the great cost of our establishments for providing the superintendence of labour in the dock-yards, Mr. Barrow gives the following evidence:—

'To give the Committee some idea of the quantity of superintendence exercised by those officers, and of the quantity of work performed by the artificers, I have drawn out a few of the proportions from the last The whole establishment of the vear's estimate. officers and clerks, and other salaried persons, at the dock-yards at home, amounts to about 155,000l.; and the amount of wages paid for work done by artificers, labourers, &c., was 502,000l. These sums will give the price paid for superintendence, &c., to that for labour, or unproductive to productive labour, as 1 to about 3 and $\frac{1}{4}$; that is to say, for every three pounds and a quarter paid to the men, there is one pound paid for superintendence and other expenses of the yard, which is certainly enormous. mean to give this as a strictly accurate statement, but it will come pretty near to the truth. In Deptford dock-yard, the proportion thus taken was as 1 to 1 and $\frac{8}{10}$; in Woolwich, as 1 to 2 and $\frac{3}{10}$; in Sheerness, as 1 to 1 and $\frac{3}{4}$; in Chatham, as 1 to 3 and $\frac{5}{10}$; in Portsmouth, as 1 to 3 and $\frac{7}{10}$; in Plymouth, 1 to 4 and $\frac{2}{10}$; and Pembroke, 1 to 4 and $\frac{2}{10}$. It is fair to say, that in the amount of each establishment are included watchmen, warders, and those inferior persons whose salaries amount to a considerable sum—I believe to somewhat about 15,000l. a year in the whole; but that will not make much difference in the proportions: but, deducting the expense of the duties performed by the clerks, and some other contingencies, the superintendence to the earnings will be generally about 1 to 6. I believe there is no private establishment, and certainly no public one, in any foreign country, in which the superintendence and the labour bear anything like that proportion. In one of the principal dock-yards of France, the superintendence bears a proportion to the labour of 1 to $22\frac{1}{2}$;

but there I think it also right to observe, their mere labourers are all convicts, which will make a considerable difference; they are superintended by a military guard, placed round the naval yard.'

In 1830, the sum voted for the dock-yards at home was 458,720l., so that it would appear that no great

change in the system has yet taken place.

Navy Accounts.—According to Mr. Abbott's report on the Accounts of the Navy and Navy Pay Offices, there is a want of a clear distinction between the duties of the account branches in the Navy Office and those of the Treasurer of the Navv; the operations of one run perpetually into the other*; and great labour is unnecessarily expended in filling up, on printed forms, cash orders to be attached to original bills and other documents, as warrants for payments by the treasurer t.

The present system of Navy accounts is described by Mr. Abbott as altogether disjointed, made up of many elaborate branches, but without a trunk to which to unite them. The abstracts, which are formed at the expense of much labour, do not carry with them any such criteria for the proving of their correctness as would satisfy any professional mercantile ac-

countant 1.

Mr. Abbott shows, in the clearest and most satisfactory manner, that if the accounts of the Navy Office and Navy Pay Office were kept according to

† p. 5.

The observations on the public accounts in this edition, refer to what the practice was of keeping them in 1828. No such change has since been introduced as to require that they should be omitted.

the Italian or common mercantile method of book-keeping, great savings of expense in officers, clerks, and stationery, would be the result.

In the Navy Pay Office, where the payments made by the treasurer now employ three cashiers and about thirty clerks, three sub-cashiers and three sub-

ordinate clerks would be sufficient *.

When a claim is made for a payment, after it has been examined in the branch of the department to which it belongs, it is sent to the office of bills and treasurer's accounts, and then to the board, where a bill is made out on the treasurer: he keeps this bill, and gives in its place a check on the Bank. Mr. Abbott proposes to avoid this multifarious course of useless operations, by having the claim for a payment made by a bill drawn on the board by the person who makes the claim: by the board accepting it on the certificate of the first examiner's office, and then by the treasurer countersigning it, so that the bill shall be the order on the Bank for payment. Abbott says, that in the wages branch of the Navy Office, twelve books, which are now kept to check the treasurer's payments, might be discontinued. proposes the consolidation of the wages branch with the ticket and allotment branches; and says, that the advantages to be derived from it would be the simplification of the accounts, and the reduction of labour, by keeping one copy of many accounts instead of two ‡.

Mr. Abbott proposes that the officers' and seamen's wages should be paid by the pursers; and says, if

^{*} Page 7. Since Mr. Abbott made his report, the duties of Greenwich Hospital, in paying prize-money and out-pensioners, have been transferred to the Navy Pay Office.

this plan was adopted, more than one-third of sixtyone books in the wages office, and a yet larger proportion of about ninety books in the ticket office, would

no longer be required*.

Mr. Abbott recommends that the office for foreign and home accounts should take charge of the promiscuous and imprest registers, now kept in the office for bills and treasurer's accounts; that the contract and store departments should be consolidated; and that the business of condensing accounts relating to cash payments, now done in the office of bills and treasurer's accounts, should be conducted in an accountant's department. He says, that the bulky and unsatisfactory abstracts now prepared in this department would be superseded by the more accurate and comprehensive balance-sheet to be obtained from the ledger and journal, when properly kept in the accountant's office †.

Mr. Abbott observes generally on the present system of navy accounts, that there is a great variation of record, without a distinction of purpose; that the whole of the business of the accountant's department is so entirely devoid of system, that no sound repairs can be made of it; and he adds, the annual payments are at present so limited in number and amount, that there would be no difficulty in uniting them all in one cash-book, and transferring every item in detail to the journal, preparatory to forming the ledger.

Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz, in their joint report on the public accounts, agree in several of the statements made by Mr. Abbott upon the navy accounts. They say, 'Upon our examination of the accounts of the Navy Office, it appeared to us that they had been modelled more for the purpose of checking the accounts of the treasurer of the navy, than for affording any explanatory detail of the naval expenditure*; that the expenditure is scattered and distributed in different books, and the process of preparing any detailed account from them has been done by means of a large and broad sheet of paper, divided into partitions and squares, in which the sums are entered from the registers, according to the items of expenditure required. This is the substitute for a regular ledger; and the great defect of the old system of account is the want of a good general cash-book and a proper ledger †.'

Paymaster of Marines.—The duties which are performed by this office have such a close connexion with those of the Navy Office, that it would appear to be advisable to abolish their separate character. The Treasury minute of 1822, for putting the Army Stores and Barracks under the Ordnance Department, lays down so strongly the policy of consolidating offices, that it will be the height of inconsistency, on the part of government, not to transfer the business of the office in question to the Navy Board.

Mr. Abbott says, in his report on this office, the accounts partake of the fault common to all the government offices, in having a great variety of books, an evil arising from the want of a well-arranged plan of account. Each description of expenditure has its distinct set of books, making thirty-three in all; but in a department of such limited extent this cannot be necessary. Books are also made up for each separate branch of expenditure at a great expense in clerks, all of which would be super-

seded by as many separate heads of accounts in a general ledger*. Besides the books just mentioned, a great number of unnecessary books are kept of the accounts of the sub-accountants.

The Victualling Office.—It has already been stated, that of late a great reform has been made in the Victualling Office. What is still wanted is to abolish the separate Board of Commissioners, and instead of it to add one or two Commissioners to the Navy Board.

In this department, as well as in that of the Navy Board, it is the practice to manufacture a variety of articles, instead of providing them by contract.

With respect to the method of keeping the accounts of the Victualling Office, Mr. Abbott says, 'Considerable attention has been paid by the heads of the department to the plan now in operation; and it has been purified by much labour from a far more objectionable state: still so differently is it constructed from any systematic plan of account, that, at first sight, it is unintelligible, even to one intimate with accounts; and no man, not officially educated in the existing plan, would know how to set about the detection in it of an error or fraud §.' After describing the great number of books that are kept, he says, 'I feel quite confident that the introduction of a connected and well-arranged plan of account would, in the end, tend greatly to diminish the quan-

* p.83. † p.86.

† The following articles are manufactured by the Navy Board, viz. sheet lead, lead pipes, solder, paint, cables and cordage; copper sheathing, boltstaves, rings and spikes; brass mixed metal and cast iron articles; blocks and blockmakers wanes. (Papers, Com. Fin., Nos.114, 222, and 223.) The following are manufactured by the Victualling Board, viz. bread, beer, and flour; and cattle are bought and salted.

tum of labour (consequently of expense) required for all purposes of account *.'

Civil Departments of the Ordnance.—The Committee of Finance, in their Second Report, in noticing some of the civil services of the Ordnance, say, 'that, notwithstanding so much has been done in diminishing the expense of the Ordnance establishment below what it has been of late, it still is a much greater charge on the public than it was some years ago, and that government ought to institute an inquiry to ascertain whether it might not be further reduced †.' They recommend, that the office of Lieutenant-general of the Ordnance should be abolished; and say, that if the duties of the board and of mastergeneral could be brought more together, the charge for clerks in the office of the master-general might be considerably reduced t. They also recommend, that the whole establishment of the Tower should be removed to Pall Mall, except that part of it which is concerned with the stores §; that the medical department of the Ordnance should be united with that of the Army; and that the medical stores for the Army and Ordnance should be bought by contract, as is the case in the Navy ||. They strongly condemn the practice of providing residences for public officers ¶; and they express a very decided opinion in favour of constructing all buildings by one contract for a whole work, instead of by several contracts for the several parts of it **.

The following articles are manufactured by the

Ordnance, viz., charcoal, gunpowder, gun-carriages,

gun-sights, and swords.

It is attempted to defend the practice of carrying on manufactures by the Naval and Ordnance departments, on the ground that the articles which they make are provided cheaper and better than they could be provided by contract; but such a defence rests upon what is morally impossible; because private manufacturers can buy materials cheaper, and take better care of them; and they can get labour cheaper, make it go further, and superintend it better, and at a less expense than any public office. The success of a public office in manufacturing depends on what it is impossible it can accomplish, namely, to find numbers of officers willing to work with the same zeal and integrity for the public as they would work for themselves.

It is obvious that the slightest deficiency in skill, activity, and integrity, on the part of the public officers, in performing the various operations, from their going to market to buy a stock of raw materials, to the storing of the goods made with them, will be taken advantage of by numbers of persons in numberless ways. Materials will be bought in too dear; they will be wasted in working them up; they will be liable to be stolen or damaged; and the finished articles will be more exposed to be wasted and stolen than when purchased by contract, from the difficulty of keeping equally exact accounts of the quantities received and delivered.

Although the Ordnance, Victualling, and other offices that carry on manufactures, produce accounts with the view of making it appear that they have them cheaper in this way than they can be bought

by contract, this does nothing towards supporting their case, because these accounts are all kept in so imperfect a manner, that they cannot be relied on.

With respect to contracts, it may be confidently maintained, that everything may be had by them, with proper management, of the best possible quality, and at the lowest possible price. The contract prices of the best articles, under a system of free competition, cannot exceed, on an average, what is just sufficient to pay, first, the cost of materials, when purchased at their lowest price; secondly, the labour of working them up, managed with the greatest skill and economy; thirdly, the ordinary rate of profit on capital employed in trade. To suppose that contractors can, by any means, force public offices to pay prices beyond what can be justified by these three component parts of the prices of commodities, is to show a great want of acquaintance with the principles which govern prices—a fault, however, very common with many official men; many of whom, however, have great weight with higher authorities, under a wrong impression of their possessing superior means, derived from official practice, of forming correct opinions on The fact, therefore, of contract prices these matters. being always kept by competition as low as the combination of manufacturing skill, perfect economy, and a very low ordinary rate of profit can keep them, it follows that it is impossible that public offices can themselves manufacture any article at as low a price as it can be bought by a contract. With respect to the quality of contract goods, this may be secured without the slightest risk of fraud or disappointment, by having proper specifications and deeds of contract, and by enforcing a strict inspection. The Committee of

Finance say, on this subject, in their Second Report, 'The Committee are not disposed to place implicit reliance on the arguments which have been urged by some public departments against contracts by competition, and in favour of the superintendence and execution of work by themselves. The latter plan occasions the employment of a great many officers, clerks, artificers, and workmen, and not only adds to the patronage, but to the appearance of the importance of a department. Nor can the Committee suffer themselves to feel any prejudice against the contract system, by references to some instances of failure. They believe that most cases of failure may be attributed to negligence or ignorance in the management of contracts, rather than to the system itself *.'

Mr. Burke's opinion on contracts is given in the following terms in his speech on Economical Reform: 'The principles of trade have so pervaded every species of dealing, from the highest to the lowest objects; all transactions are got so much into system, that we may, at a moment's warning, and to a farthing value, be informed at what rate any service may be supplied. No dealing is exempt from the possibility of fraud. But by a contract on a matter certain, you have this advantage: you are sure to know the utmost extent of the fraud to which you are subject. By a contract with a person in his own trade, you are sure you shall not suffer by want of skill†.'

In the calculations of the prices of the articles which are manufactured by the public departments, the

^{*} Second Report, p. 23. † Burke's Works, vol. iii. p. 305.

charges for residences, superannuation allowances, and other items, to the advantage of master millers, brewers, bakers, butchers, and a great many other officers, are never taken into account. If they were, and they certainly ought to be, the case would be so clearly against all office manufactures, as to justify

the most decided prohibition of them.

In the evidence given by Sir Henry Torrens and Mr. Sarjent, before the Committee of Finance, it appears, that the Commissariat Department do not find the least difficulty in providing bread, and other articles of food, of the best quality, and on reasonable and proper prices, by contract: so that there is not the slightest reason for the Victualling Office continuing to be manufacturers of flour, bread, &c.; and it is equally clear, that the great sums of money which have been expended of late in building flourmills have been completely thrown away*.

The foregoing observations having, as it was to be expected, produced several attempts to show they are incorrect, it is necessary to examine, in this edition, how far this charge is made out. The question,—whether it is for the public advantage than government should be manufacturers, is of such a nature that none can be qualified to discuss it without being acquainted with the elements, at least, of that part of the science of political economy which explain cost of production, prices, and profit. The first remark, therefore, which every argument which has been

^{*} Evidence of Sir H. Torrens, p. 205.—Q. The bread and meat are supplied by contract?—A. Yes. Q. Is it found by experience that good provisions are obtained in that way?—A. They are so watched, that, generally speaking, very good provisions are received; and it is quite impossible that any attempt to give inferior provisions can be persevered in,

advanced against the contract system suggests, is that those who use them are very imperfectly informed on these subjects. Instead of meeting directly the explanation of the proposition which traces the question by a series of reasons founded on selfevident truths, to the conclusion that it is morally impossible that goods can be provided cheaper and better by government manufacturers than they can be provided by well managed contracts, they go on continually referring to failures of contracts, although these failures have wholly arisen from ignorance, or negligence, or fraud, in managing them; and also referring to accounts that do not carry with them a single circumstance that exhibits anything like a proof of the conclusion they are produced to establish. Samuel Bentham expresses surprise that the late Committee of Finance doubted the reiterated assertions of Sir Henry Hardinge of savings having arisen from the ordnance manufactures*. The doubts of the committee arose from their having compared these assertions with the reasons advanced by Sir Henry Hardinge, and from their seeing nothing in them with relation to general principles, facts, or accounts, which satisfied their judgment that the conclusion he sought to establish, namely, that a government department could do work cheaper than a private manufacturer, was well founded.

The defect which runs through all the argument in favour of Government manufactures, is that it is not conducted on any established rule of sound reasoning. Sir Samuel Bentham says, 'It can be proved

^{* &#}x27;Financial Reform Scrutinized,' by Sir Samuel Bentham, K.S.G., p. 82.

that a variety of articles have been manufactured in his Majesty's naval arsenals both cheaper and better than articles provided about the same time by contract*'. But although proof may be brought of this fact, this proof does not show that a conclusion can be logically drawn from it against the contract system, because at that time every kind of negligence, ignorance, and fraud, was to be found in the management of contracts, as Sir Samuel Bentham himself admits to have been the case. Sir Samuel also says, 'Long experience has shown that manufacturing contractors find means of evading specifications †.' But this again proves nothing against the principle of contracts: all it proves is ignorance, negligence, and fraud, in managing them. Sir Samuel mentions many instances of ships built by contract in private yards being badly built, but he exposes the incorrectness of the conclusion he draws from these instances, and at the same time proves the correctness of all that has been said in favour of contracts by what he further says about the building of the Bellerophon[‡]. Sir Samuel says, that this ship was built by contract, and commissioned in 1786; that 'she is still (1830) lying at Portsmouth, after an existence of forty-four years; that up to 1790, although always in commission, she had no works whatever done to her but common fittings; that she had still required no repairs, nor had she, as I have been since informed, had any considerable repairs from the first building to the time, when, being twenty-nine years old, she carried Napoleon to St. Helena.' 'In this instance,' continues Sir Samuel, 'I have little doubt that the goodness of that ship depended on the uncommon degree of firmness and

^{*} p. 51. + p. 71. + p. 71

steady incorruptibility of a single government ship-wright officer, of an inferior rank and low pay.' Now this statement is in itself everything that can be desired to illustrate the superiority of the contract system. The Bellerophon is probably the best built ship that was ever in the public service. And the reason of it is that she was built under a contract superintended by a firm and incorruptible officer. As there would be no difficulty in finding hundreds of such officers in every department of the public service, even of low rank and low pay, there really is nothing wanted but good management in order to apply the principle of contracts universally in providing everything, as in the case of the Bellerophon, to the best advantage for the public service.

But Sir Samuel Bentham goes still farther in furnishing the advocates of the contract system with the means of defending it; for he shows, by his own candid statements, that there has been, at least for the last thirty years, every kind of defect in the management of them. What he says is so valuable, as confirming the accuracy of the opinion, that the failures of contracts are owing to mismanagement, and not to anything in the system itself, that the whole of his

statement is well worthy of being quoted *.

'It is true that the present mode in which purchases are made by contract are in many respects ill suited to the obtainment of any article at a just price. For these thirty years past I have, on various occasions, called the attention of the superior authority to these defects, and suggested such measures as appeared applicable to the obviating them. I have proposed, for example: First,—A mode of advertising

for contracts, and receiving tenders, less repugnant to competition than the present one. Secondly,— Simplicity in the mode of expressing the price of articles, instead of the present additions and subtractions of per centages on former prices, and other complications, which render the real price offered or paid scarcely intelligible to many who are thus deterred from becoming competitors. The obscurity as to the real sum contracted for is further increased by delays in making out bills, by payment by bills at a future period instead of ready money, by fees of office, and other circumstances, which can only be fairly taken into account by the few who are long accustomed to this mode of contracting. Thirdly,-Taking off all unnecessary restraints on contractors. which, without ensuring either quality or timeliness of delivery, require to be compensated by an increase of price. Fourthly,-Requiring the fixing the delivery of the articles at such a period as may enable contractors, who have not the advantage of previous intimation, to enter into competition for the supply. Fifthly,—The fixing the time of delivery of certain portions of the whole quantity by certain days, instead of, as under the present system of contracting, for the delivery of the whole by a certain day, by which the contractor, when the article happens to be at a low price, loads the public with an anticipated supply, for which he obtains immediate payment, thus entailing a considerable loss in useless interest; and on the contrary, when the articles are dear, delays the supply, so as either to cause works to be retarded for want of the requisite materials, or to make it necessary to substitute less appropriate materials. Sixthly,— The not contracting at prices at which the article

evidently cannot possibly be supplied; for the consequence of this practice is a need for allowing a subsequent advance upon the contract price, which is often so great as to far exceed the value of the article.'

If it had been a premeditated scheme in the public departments to deprive the public of the benefit of the contract system, in order to increase their patronage and importance, it is scarcely possible that human ingenuity could have invented modes of managing contracts so well calculated to secure this object. What is here stated about advertising and complicated forms, is quite repugnant to all competition. delays in making out and paying bills, and the numerous restraints and inconvenient regulations, must lead to the necessity of giving high prices to compensate the contractors; and the modes of management, as to tenders, must have driven away all respectable tradesmen, and kept the contracts in the hands of a few persons accustomed to the vexatious intricacies of bad official management.

In consequence of this system of management, the public has been deprived of the benefits of a sound contract system, and millions of money have been squandered on bad and high-priced contract goods, or on wasteful schemes of government manufactures.

To have a proper system of contracts, they should be made on the following principles:—

1. To have all specifications drawn in the fullest and clearest manner.

2. To have all minor conditions arranged for the convenience of contractors, so as to put them to the least possible expense, and to take up the smallest possible portion of their time.

3. To covenant to pay such prices as will be

sufficient to enable contractors to furnish goods of the best quality, and do works in the best manner,

with a fair rate of profit.

4. To pay monthly for what is furnished or executed, according to the conditions of the contract; reserving 10 per cent. to be paid on the fulfilment of it.

- 5. To select with the most scrupulous care, firm, honest, and sober inspectors, and to have the inspection constantly going on over the various operations of the contractors.
- 6. To make the contracts, when practicable, to continue from time to time for providing each article till notice be given of their discontinuance.

7. To advertise very generally every contract.

Papers were laid before the House of Commons, in the last session, under the title of 'Accounts showing the profit or loss on Manufactures in the Dock-yards and Ordnance Departments.' These may serve to satisfy those members who are incompetent to understand them, but no others; because, from the method in which these accounts are kept and made up, they do not contain anything like proofs of profit or loss: they really prove nothing else but the total want of everything like correct notions upon the subjects of manufactures and of accounts in the departments which furnished them.

Royal Woolwich Academy.—In 1828 the sum of 4,046l. was voted for the Royal Woolwich Academy. The clerk of the Ordnance, in giving his evidence before the Committee of Finance, defended this institution by showing how good an education the

cadets received at it. But the utility of it, however great, is not a sufficient justification for continuing it; the necessity of it should be established by proving that good artillery and engineer officers could not be had without it. But this cannot be done, because what is taught at the Academy is quite elementary, and what can be learned just as well at private military schools. If instruction were made to begin at the Academy just where it stops at present, that is, when the cadets are seventeen or eighteen years old, then there might be some reason for keeping it up; because the instruction afforded to officers might be of such a description in the higher branches of military knowledge as could not be attained elsewhere *. The whole of that elementary knowledge which a cadet now acquires at Woolwich at the public expense, might be completely secured by a proper examination of each individual, wishing to be an artillery officer, prior to his being appointed to a commission.

Ordnance Accounts.—Mr. Abbott begins his report on the Ordnance Accounts with the following paragraph:—'It appears from the acknowledgment of all parties in this department, that the accounts were, some years back, truly complex and unsatisfactory. They have since been pruned with an unsparing hand, prompted no doubt by an anxious desire to simplify; but, like most attempts to repair an ancient establishment, these efforts have tended more to disjoint the fabric than connect and strengthen it. The projectors of the alterations in the accounts, to which

^{*} See Evidence of Sir H. Hardinge on the Senior branch of the Academy of Sandhurst.

alone this observation applies (for a man must be more than blind who does not admire the beneficial arrangements introduced by his Grace the Duke of Wellington and Sir Henry Hardinge), do not appear to have been men of account; they seem, so to speak, to have been altogether unconscious of the following established principles in book-keeping:—

'1st. To record nothing but facts.

'2dly. To record them in the manner they occur.

'3dly. To record them under the precise date of occurrence.

'Indeed, the very names given to the different books prove a total absence of all acquaintance with book-keeping. The terms ledger, journal, cash-book, are not applied to books of any similarity to those which accountants have so designated. In all their alterations the projectors have lost sight of that continued connexion between one book and another, until the whole centre in one condensing book; an arrangement so essential in every good system, it being the very end and object of accounts*.'

Mr. Abbott proceeds to say, the Store branches will admit of considerable simplification, at the same time that they require a fundamental improvement, by the introduction of the value of stores, in addition to the present records, which are confined to quality and quantity†. He says the business transacted between the offices of the Clerk of the Ordnance and the Surveyor-General is circuitous and dilatory, and consequently expensive; that there is unnecessary labour in making the Clerk of the Ordnance dependent on the Surveyor-General; that the Clerk of the Ordnance, who is the accountant of the department,

is never able to show its liabilities or engagements, from the control of the accounts for purchases not being under his authority*; and that the Surveyor-General keeps fifty-seven books, of which the greater part could be dispensed with, if the examination of accounts was attached to the office of the Clerk of the Ordnance+.

It appears from Mr. Abbott's report, that the Treasurer of the Ordnance has a power over the public money, which ought not to be vested in any public officer. If, for instance, he wishes to have the use of money for a few days, he may draw a check for any sum he pleases. He may draw the amount of debentures in his hands, for which the payees have not demanded payment, without the Clerk of the Ordnance being cognizant of the fact; and as the Treasurer gets credit in the books of the Clerk of the Ordnance for the total amount of a quarter-book the instant it is sent forward to him, and as the demands for payments often leave a large balance in his hands, he can use this balance as he pleases.

Mr. Abbott shows in what manner all personal power over the public money might be taken from the Treasurer; and that if he kept proper books, two would serve the purpose of twenty-five now kept §.

Mr. Abbott states that the books of the Ordnance Department, kept by the Clerk of the Ordnance, are not founded on the Treasurer's half-monthly statements, which are the only current cash account of the Ordnance; but in the imprest and cash journals, which are fallacious as to dates, and liable to great variations from fact ||.

In the Barrack Branch of the Ordnance Department, an originally perfect system of accounts has been changed into an imperfect one: which proves, Mr. Abbott says, that 'men of account' are not frequently to be found in the government offices*. Yet still enough of the good system is left to make the accounts superior to those of the Ordnance. 'There is the power and habit of balancing the books annually, to prove their correctness.' 'The books themselves are, generally speaking, connected with each other, and not disjointed masses of accounts, like those of the Ordnance†.'

Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz, in their report on the Ordnance Accounts, find little or no fault with them. They notice the changes which have been made, but do not follow Mr. Abbott's example in explaining their imperfections.

Public Accounts.—It is of so much importance that a proper system of accounts should be generally established, not only for the security of the public money, but as a means of making a great retrenchment in official establishments, that it may be of use to examine this question more at length.

With respect to the present system, it appears by the report of Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz to be various, complicated, and expensive; without fixed rules clearly defined, and not generally applicable; a system framed in accommodation to the transactions of times remote from the present, and under circumstances which have since undergone considerable alteration. Mr. Abbott says, every govern-

ment office has its peculiar system; and that if he were employed professionally to test the accuracy of any of the accounts, he would put aside every book in use, and, taking up the original documents, throw them into a totally new shape*. He adds, 'As to the construction of a balance sheet, for the purpose of proving the correctness of the books, nothing of the kind has been attempted; neither could the most diligent exertions accomplish that desirable object while the general system remains as at present[†].'

It further appears from these reports, that there is no uniformity in the constitution and organization of the great departments that conduct the public expenditure, nor any uniformity of classification of business in the several branches of these departments. The Crown, even in exercising its prerogative in regard to the issues of money by the Exchequer does so according to no uniform rule—sometimes an issue is made by privy seal, sometimes by warrants; and these have effect,—some for issuing at once the whole sum voted by Parliament, some for a part of it, some for the period of a year, and some for a whole reign.

For want of a proper system of accounts, a great redundancy of unnecessary books are kept; forms and checks are so multiplied as to occasion an infinity of useless copying, repetition, perplexity, and confusion; business which ought to be done in one branch of a department is divided among several; so that the result of the whole is the failure of accomplishing what are the only objects of public accounts, in order that they may be of any use: namely, first, the providing of security against the negligence or dishonesty of accountants; and secondly, the affording of

the means of giving, with correctness, facility, and promptness, information upon the several parts of the

receipt and expenditure of the public money.

The public accounts, under a proper system, might be made available to much financial and commercial instruction. As a merchant's books show the result of every speculation, so the public accounts ought to give full information on every tax with respect to the quantity of the articles made, or imported, or exported, on which it is imposed; the places where it is levied, and the expense attending the collection of it. With the aid of this information, the Minister of Finance would be able to estimate the comparative burthensomeness of different imposts, and to introduce improvement in those cases where taxes are found to be at variance with the four maxims laid down by Dr. Adam Smith, with regard to taxes in general*.

The public accounts ought also to show the quantities of the principal articles imported and exported; and more particularly the quantities of the principal articles of foreign raw materials imported. Quar-

* The following are Dr. Smith's maxims:-

I. The subjects of every state ought to contribute towards the support of the Government, as nearly as possible in proportion to their respective abilities.

II. The tax which each individual ought to pay ought to be

certain and not arbitrary.

III. Every tax ought to be levied at the time, or in the manner in which it is most likely to be convenient for the con-

tributor to pay it.

IV. Every tax ought to be so contrived as both to take out and to keep out of the pockets of the people as little as possible, over and above what it brings into the public treasury of the state.—Wealth of Nations, vol. iii., p. 368. Mr. M. Culloch's edition.

terly returns of these transactions should be made up and published in the Gazette, to afford the means to all classes of persons in trade to conduct their speculations on correct information.

In selecting the principle on which a reform of the present system of accounts should be grounded, it is necessary to take a much more extended view of the subject than the commissioners, whose reports have been referred to, were empowered to take; for unless the constitution and organization of the great departments, and the classification of business in the several branches of them, are, in the first instance, revised and new modelled, according to some uniform and simple principle, no attempt can succeed that may be made to establish an uniform, accurate, and perspicuous system of public accounts.

In observing upon the office of the Treasurer of the Ordnance, the Committee of Finance say, they are induced to think the public has not that complete security against possible loss which it ought to have; and that they postpone recommending any alteration until they can have an opportunity of proposing some general system for regulating the payment of money in other departments—alluding to a similar want of complete security in the offices of Treasurer of the Navy, Paymaster of the Forces, and several other pay offices which had come under their notice.

It appears, from a statement laid before the Committee of Finance*, that besides the pay offices just mentioned, there are a number of paymasters who receive money from the Exchequer, and have the power of drawing money out of the Bank of England

^{*} Paper, No. 252.

by their own drafts. The evidence given by Mr. Sarjent before the Committee, shows that besides being a paymaster to a very large amount, as Agent of Commissariat Supplies, he is also Paymaster for the Civil Contingencies, for the repairs of Windsor Castle, for emigration to Canada, and for the Ecclesiastical Establishment in the West Indies, although nominally an Officer of the Treasury. The several sums of money which he receives for these services are placed to his account at the Bank of England, as Agent of Commissariat Supplies. In 1827, the sum which was at the disposal of Mr. Sarjent amounted to 2,000,000l.: he has sometimes a balance of 250,000l. at the Bank. He can draw, by his own draft, for any sum he pleases: his clerk has the same power; and they do not give security*.

According to the evidence of Mr. Spearman, it appears that he and three other officers of the Treasury are paymasters of the public money to a considerable amount; that they keep the money they receive from the Exchequer at their private bankers', and that

they do not give security.

These statements make it evident, that some great change is necessary in the whole system of paymasters of public money, as a preparatory measure for settling a proper system of public accounts. But to make such a change effectual, it should not be confined to reforming the defects in each office, but should be founded on a general principle of uniformity and security, to be applied to all kinds of payments of public money.

The facts which have just been stated with respect to the several Paymasters and Treasurers, and those

^{*} Evidence Com. Fin., April 21, 1828.

which have before been noticed with respect to the Exchequer and Audit Offices, and also with respect to the various modes of keeping accounts in the public departments, establish a case which proves the necessity of making a general reform of the whole system of managing the business of making payments, and of keeping the accounts of them.

There is also another material circumstance connected with the public expenditure, which should be changed, namely, the practice of voting the estimates, that is, granting the money wanted for the expenses of a year some months after the commencement of

the year.

The following is an outline of the kind of plan that seems to be required to correct the defects now

pointed out.

1. To have, instead of the present estimates of a part of the expenses, the whole of the public expenses stated in a printed budget for the year beginning on the following 1st of January. The Civil List, charges of management, and everything else of the nature of public expenditure, including what is granted permanently as well as what is voted annually, to be comprised in the budget; and also to have attached to the general statement of the expenditure in the budget full explanations of the particulars of each head of expense.

2. As the chief cause of the defects in the present system of managing and making payments is the combining together, in each of the principal departments, of the business of the administration of the public expense, that is, of contracting debts with the business of paying debts, these functions should be separated; and no department engaged in the ad-

ministration of the public expenditure should receive any money, and become in any way an accountant department. Each department should have only a credit to enable it to incur expense according to the votes in the annual budget; and its business should be, with respect to payments, to fix what is due to the individuals who have claims upon it, and to give orders for payment upon a distinct department, to be established as the general pay office of all payments for the public service. This department should also be the general office for managing the receiving of all the revenue, so as to form one controlling account department, prescribing forms to all other departments, and bringing all matters belonging to the receiving and the paying of money under one system of harmony, simplicity, clearness, and accuracy. By providing proper regulations, so that every order shall have on the face of it proper proofs of correctness, and so that a due examination shall be made of it before the discharging of it, the business of paying the public money will be rendered, to a considerable extent, an efficient control over that of spending it.

If such a plan as this were adopted, everything about the payment of the public money would be so much simplified, that the controlling and auditing of the public expenditure, and the keeping of the accounts of it, would be matters of no great difficulty. There would also be a great saving of expense by getting rid of the offices of the Paymaster of the Forces, Treasurer of the Navy, Treasurer of the Ordnance, Paymaster of Marines, and twenty or thirty other paymasters, with their deputies, cashiers, sub-

cashiers, and clerks.

After determining to revise and new-model the constitution and organization of the several departments in the manner suggested in the preceding pages, it will not be difficult to determine what plan of accounts

ought to be established.

Mr. Abbott's proposal to establish the Italian or mercantile system in all the public offices, deserves to have great weight with Government and Parliament. As a professional mercantile accountant, he holds the highest rank; and he has acquired a full knowledge of official accounts by diligently making use of the powers vested in him for ascertaining the nature, description, and purpose of the several books used in each office. He has stated in a memorandum submitted by him to the Treasury, of the 28th of February, 1829, that for every hour passed by his colleagues Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz in the offices in examining the books of accounts, he had passed twenty; and this statement was not contradicted in the observations of these gentlemen on this memorandum. To those persons who are practically acquainted with the mercantile system of accounts, the reasoning on which Mr. Abbott founds his opinion of its being applicable to all official accounts cannot fail to be completely satisfactory. The contrary opinion of Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz, however respectable it be, is connected with circumstances which justify some suspicion of its soundness. In the first place, they evidently have had to form a plan of accounts for a most defective official system of transacting business; and having this defective system constantly before them, they were led to conceive a notion of an official system of accounts as contradistinguished from the mercantile system. This

is a fundamental error that pervades all their views and all their plans; for if the official system of doing business were new-modelled, and all the payments of money vested in a distinct department, there could be nothing necessarily in an office system of accounts that should differ, in any respect, from the common mercantile system.

Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz cannot be blamed for not having proposed the new-modelling of offices as a necessary element of a proper system of accounts. Their commission did not require them to do so; and no one could expect that they would take upon themselves to propose to abolish sinecure treasurerships, and to recommend other great official changes.

There are no grounds for the objection of Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz to that part of the Italian or mercantile system which requires the entries in the waste and cash books to be transcribed into a journal, and afterwards into a ledger, wherein nothing more is shown than the titles to the accounts and references to details in the journal *. The objects of a perfect plan of accounts are correctness, and security that those who are entrusted with money shall not be able to misapply it without a facility of detection. The Italian system provides for the attaining of these objects in so complete a manner, that it has been adopted universally in all commercial countries. But Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz seek to do more than secure their objects; they want, not only to provide this security, but, by one and the same operation, to obtain the means of making out returns to orders of Parliament for special accounts, merely by taking copies of the ledger. They say, 'a public office, which is continually called upon for information upon a variety of subjects, should possess the readiest possible means of furnishing that information; and to this end, the ledger should be made to contain a condensed, but more circumstantial detailed account of proceedings than is usually effected under the mercantile system*,' and they, therefore, propose to dispense with keeping a journal. But a ledger so formed from the cash book, without a journal, would bear no substantial similitude to a mercantile ledger, because the whole principle of utility and security belonging to the mercantile system, consists in the manner in which the journal is kept; so that Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz propose a plan which sacrifices the security of the pure Italian system to the minor object of saving the trouble of referring to a regularly kept journal in making out accounts for Parliament. As to these accounts, if the public annual accounts were laid before Parliament in a proper form, they would alone furnish all the information that could be wanted; and the practice of calling for special accounts would be got rid of.

What makes the journal of such great importance, is the guarantee it affords against errors. Two main objects should be constantly kept in view in a good plan of accounts, one of them extreme correctness in making the first entry of each transaction; the other the placing of each transaction, or each part of each transaction, under a proper heading, in order that branches of business, or articles of the same kind, may be collected together in distinct

accounts.

In the mercantile system of accounts, the use of the day-book is to secure the first object, and the use of the ledger is to secure the second. But as errors might be made in consequence of its not being always practicable to take sufficient time for carefully writing the first entries in the day-book, and also as errors might be made in classifying these general entries under the proper headings in the ledger, directly from the day-book, the journal is employed as an intermediate book, to prevent both these kinds of errors.

By transferring without much delay the entries from the first book of record, whether that book be called a Day-book, Bill-book, a Register, or by any other name, into the journal, an opportunity is given of quickly correcting any error that may have been made in the day-book; and by transferring the entries from the journal into the ledger, at stated periods, an opportunity is given of correcting any error that may have been made in classifying the entries in the journal: so that the use of the journal is essentially necessary in order to secure correctness in keeping accounts of extensive and complicated transactions.

Although the keeping of the journal may, in appearance, consist merely in copying the entries in the day-book, and of putting them into a technical form, it is accompanied with such great advantages, that all persons, thoroughly conversant with accounts, have at all times, and in all countries, considered the journal indispensable in a perfect system of keeping accounts.

The mercantile system of accounts has been objected to, because it employs unintelligible locutions; and is not, therefore, intelligible to all alike*. But if accounts were stated in the language employed in ordinary discourse, the matter would swell to such a bulk, that before the result could be obtained, the minds of writers and readers would be bewildered and put to a stand—' the conceptive faculty not being able to grasp at once the whole quantity necessary to the attainment of the result.' Hence arises the necessity of compression; and this compression can only be obtained by employing technical language and forms, as is the case in everything which is not altogether a common simple matter, but an art or science, and, therefore, more or less, ex necessitate rei, under the government of rules of science. technical modes employed in book-keeping are very few and very simple. Perhaps the terms 'Debit' and 'Sundries' are the only two requiring any particular explanation.

The mercantile system of accounts is nothing more than a reduction to practice of the algebraical simple equation. Quantities equal to each other are placed on the Dr. and Cr. sides of the ledger, and the balance is merely the result, after having reduced those quantities to the lowest number of terms. By this application of geometry, the science of book-keeping may be said to be founded. The terms Dr. and Cr. are the signs of equality, combined with the positive or negative; and as to the term 'Sundries,' it is merely a 'vinculum.' Thus, if so much wine or corn be exchanged for so much cloth, the 'Sundries' forms the vinculum; and the term 'Creditor' shows that

^{*} Constitutional Code. By Jeremy Bentham, Esq., c. ix, p. 340.

the quantity of wine and corn is equal in value to the corresponding quantity of cloth, which is 'Debtor.'

The terms Dr. and Cr. are neither useless nor misrepresentative; they are strictly applicable to accounts of property, as well as of persons, and equally so to the third class of accounts, namely, 'nominal accounts.' That these terms are appropriate to 'personal accounts,' is acknowledged; and an accountant may correctly say, 'If a person be a debtor to me for property which I have transferred to him, why should not the account of that property be said to have credit for the debt become due to me by the Cloth, wine, and corn transfer of that property? are so many component parts of my property: if I sell these, my property, in debts due to me, is increased, and in merchandise diminished. If it be not irrational to charge these articles, at the moment of their acquisition, for their cost, as absorbents of so much of my property, in cash or otherwise, ought I not to diminish that cost by stating what sum I receive for any portion of them? And the words Dr. and Cr. are the most applicable and brief which language supplies, as signs to indicate whether the property to which they are applied has been acquired or parted with. These two actions—of acquisition and disbursement—are, in fact, the only first causes of record in accounts.'

The technicalities of book-keeping are used as indices to point out heads, under which the arrangement of receipt and expenditure is to be placed; but the narration of each transaction is given in ordinary language, for which there can be no need of abbreviation.

The opinion of Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz,

that the office system of doing business requires an office system of accounts, different from the mercantile system of double entry, is proved to be altogether untenable by the practice of the East-India Company, the governments of France, Holland, Prussia, the Hanse Towns, and, in fact, of every country where the public business is conducted with a view to the public good. In France, the mercantile system is acted upon, in all the public departments, and all persons who are acquainted with the government accounts are ready to attest the great advantages of it.

By the Ordonnance of 1822, title 4, section 18, the different departments are required to keep their accounts on one uniform principle, and to adopt the same regulations and forms; and for this purpose it is ordered that each department shall keep a general journal, and a ledger, in which books they are to record immediately, and according to their date, all operations concerning credits, incurring expenses, orders for payment, and payments. These operations they are further to record in auxiliary books, the number and forms of them to be determined upon by the nature of the different public services*.

* 'Titre IV. Des Comptes.—Nos ministres établiront leur comptabilité respective d'après les mêmes principes, les mêmes procédés, et les mêmes formes.

'A cet effet, il sera tenu dans chaque ministère un journal général et un grand livre en parties doubles, dans lesquels seront consignées sommairement et à leur date toutes les opérations concernant la fixation des crédits, la liquidation des dépenses, l'ordonnance et le paiement.

'Ces mêmes opérations seront décrites en outre et avec détail sur des livres auxiliaires, dont le nombre et la forme seront déterminés suivant la nature des services.' In a former chapter it has been said that the Exchequer ought to be newly modelled, and also that the Audit Office required extensive reformation. As the object of these offices is to establish a control over the crown and its ministers, and other public servants, perhaps the best course to pursue would be to form one board of commissioners to hold their offices for life, to do the duties of guarding against the issuing of money from the Exchequer, but with the authority of Parliament, and of auditing the public accounts.

If such a board were established, it should be provided by law, that an annual account of the money received, and money paid in each year by the Government, should be closed within a few days after the end of the year; that this account should be examined and reported upon, as to its general correctness in form and substance, by the board, and presented to Parliament in each session, before the voting of the budgets. If a proper system of accounts were established in all the departments, the board would find no difficulty in making such a report.

These several reforms, as well as others which have been proposed in the preceding pages of this work—namely, first, the consolidating of the boards of revenue; secondly, the separating the business of collecting taxes from that of the general administration of the revenue; thirdly, the separating of the business of making payments from that of the general administration of the expenditure fourthly, the laying of regular accounts before Parliament; and, fifthly, the voting of all the taxes and of all the public expenses in annual budgets—cannot be objected to on account of their being speculative and impracticable projects,

as some persons attached to ancient customs may possibly be disposed to say they are, because all that is here recommended under the five foregoing heads is in full operation in France, where the amount of the annual revenue and expenditure is no less than eighty millions, with great ease and satisfaction to all the public departments, and with great advantage to the public*.

* The following is a statement of the public income and expenditure of France for 1828:—

(In 1829 the public income and expenditure amounted to 80,000,000%)

• • •						
	£.					
Enregistrement, Stamps,		7,612,000				
Woods and Forests .				904,000		
Customs and Salt Duty				5,920,000		
Land Tax, Personal Tax	, Windows.	Patents		11,578,000		
Excise, Wine, Brandy, T	obacco, &c			8,526,000		
Post Office	•			1,244,000		
Lottery				620,000		
Miscellaneous	•			759,680		
Gross total of ordinary R Deficiency to be supplied		Royaux	•	37,163,680 1,340,000		
Total of the Receipts		•	•	£38,503,680		
Expenditure.						
Consolidated Debt.				£.		
Annual interest on 5 per	cont	£6,612,	በበበ	٤.		
on 41			000			
on 2 "		1,400,				
он э	, •	. 1,100,				
Total		. 8,052,	000	8,052,000		
Annual grant of Sinking Besides the annual inter		• '• '		1,600,000		

I. The whole management of the collection of the taxes in France is immediately under the authority of the Minister of Finance. M. Villèle, in his Report of 1826, as Minister of Finance, 'Sur le Controle des Comptes des Ministres,' expresses himself as follows on this subject:—'The public revenues were under

5 per cent. and 3 per cent. redeemed and in-							
cluded in the 8,052,000l. above mentioned, and							
paid annually by the Treasury to the Board of							
Commissioners for the Sinking Fund.							
Civil List for the Kin	g and	l Roya	ıl Fam	iily	•	1,280,000	
Justice Department	•	•		•	•	784,000	
Foreign Affairs .	•	•	•	•	•	360,000	
Home Department	•	•	•	•	٠	3,708,000	
Catholic Clergy .		•	•	•	•	1,324,000	
Public Education	•	•	•	•	•	72,000	
War Department			•	•	•	7,840,000	
Navy	•	•	•	•	•	2,280,000	
Treasury.							
Annuities .	•			£308.	000		
Pensions .				2,320,	000		
Miscellaneous .				808	000		
			-			3,436,000	
House of Peers .	•		•	•		80,000	
House of Deputies				•		32,000	
Miscellaneous .	٠	•	•	•	•	815,680	
Total of the various heads of expenditure for							
Total of the various	nea	as or	expen	ature	ior	01 000 000	
ordinary services	•			1 . D	•	31,663,680	
Extraordinary expenses according to the Report							
of the Minister of Finance, 22d March, 1828, being chiefly for the War and Navy Depart-							
	ne vi	ar an	a may	y Del	art-	7 0 40 000	
ment				•	÷	1,340,000	
Charges of Managen	nent	and E	xpens	es tor	col-		
lecting the various	nead	soi K	evenu	e inclu	ided		
in the gross total o	1 the	receip	t.	•	•	5,500,000	
Total public Expendi	ture	•	•	•	•	£38,503,680	

the management, before the restoration, of departments not belonging to the department of the Minister of Finance. The independence of their position was not at all reconcileable with the duties which the responsibility of the minister made to devolve upon him. The necessity was soon perceived of bringing together, under one common moving principle, all the scattered parts of this branch of the public service*.' There are bureaux in the department of the Minister of Finance, for the several branches of taxes, each consisting of a Director-General and two or three Administrateurs. These several bureaux perform, under the general and immediate government of the Minister, all the business belonging to the administration of the taxes: that is, what belongs to bringing them to charge against the individuals who have to pay them; but they do not collect, or in any wise receive or pay money.

II. The business of the collection of the taxes, and of the paying of all the expenses attending it, is performed by another department of the Minister of Finance, called the Trésor Royal. The expenses of collection are charged in the public accounts like any other expenses, and are not paid, as in England, out of the revenue, in what is called anticipation. There is, in every territorial department of France, a Receivergeneral belonging to the Trésor Royal, who receives from other receivers and collectors the produce of all the taxes collected in the department. The Receivergeneral applies the money he receives according to the orders given to him by the Trésor Royal; and he transmits to the Trésor Royal an account every month of all his receipts and payments.

^{*} Report, p. 9.

III. The expenditure of France is administered by nine departments or ministères. Their business is to do all that is necessary in the way of the administration, or applying of the public money in providing for the public services; but it is not in any respect their business to receive or pay money. The business of making payments is performed in the following manner: - First, there are officers in each department called ordonnateurs, who draw up, according to fixed regulations and forms, orders for the payment of all sums of money due for debts incurred by the department. Secondly, other officers, called payeurs, receive and pay these orders. These officers belong to the Tresor Royal; for, by the French ordonnance of the 14th Sept. 1822, it is declared that 'Les fonctions d'ordonnateur et d'administrateur sont incompatibles avec celles de comptables.'

The forms according to which the orders for payments are drawn up and attested, and the examination they undergo by the payeurs before they are paid, establish an audit in the first instance, and are perfectly effective in preventing frauds. Monthly accounts are transmitted to the Trésor Royal of all orders issued, and of all payments made pursuant to them; so that all payments of public money, and all receipts of revenue, come under this department. The several monthly accounts that are transmitted to this office of the taxes, and of the payments, serve as the elements for making up in each year a general journal and a general ledger on the mercantile system of

book-keeping.

IV. The law of the 25th of March, 1817, requires that, in every session, before voting the budgets, the following accounts be laid before the chambers:—

- 1. An account of each of the nine departments, or ministères, employed in managing the public expenditure.
 - 2. An account of the public debt.
 - 3. A general account of the budgets.
 - 4. An account of the Trésor Royal.
 - 5. An account of the gross produce of all the taxes.

Each account of the nine *ministères* contains very detailed explanations of all the particulars relating to each item in the account.

The general account of the Minister of Finance for the year 1829, consists of 394 closely printed quarto pages, and contains—

1. A report of this minister on the state of the

finances in 1829.

- 2. A general statement of the financial operations in 1829.
- 3. An account of the public revenue in each of the years 1828 and 1829.

4. An account of the public expenditure in each of the years 1828 and 1829.

5. An account of the Trésor Royal.

6. An account of the budgets, and of extraordinary funds, and of arrears.

7. A summary account of the situation of the finances on the 1st of Jan. 1830.

8. Documents and vouchers in support of the

several parts of the preceding accounts.

9. The declarations of the Cour des Comptes with respect to the accuracy of the accounts of the Minister of Finance in the years 1827 and 1828.

10. Details of receipts and payments in each

territorial department of France.

11. Details of the produce of the taxes in each department.

12. Accounts of divers public services.

Pursuant to the ordonnance of the 10th of Dec. 1820, the king appoints, at the end of each year, a commission, composed of one Conseiller d'état, two Maîtres des requêtes, one Maître des comptes, and three Référendaires, whose duty it is to examine the general journal and ledger of the 'comptabilité générale des finances' for that year. The certificate of the commission for 1829, relating to the accounts of that year, is given in the general account of the Minister of Finance, and is dated 15th March, 1830*. The following is a copy of it:—' La commission nommée à procéder aux vérifications prescrites s'était fait représenter les livres de la comptabilité générale des finances, les élémens des écritures, le compte rendu pour l'année 1829, et les trois documens justicatifs dont il est appuyé.'

'Certifie, 1. Qu'il y a concordance entre le grand livre et le journal général des finances; que chacun de ces deux regîstres présente les mêmes détails, et le même total, et que la balance imprimée, qui en reproduit les articles munis par ordre de matières, est le résumé exact des faits consignés dans les dits

registres.

- '2. Que le développement que présente par classe de comptables, les recettes et les paiemens effectivés pendant l'année 1829, est établi d'après les écritures et les pièces justificatives que ces préposés ont adressées au ministère, et dont les résultats sont reproduits dans les comptes d'année parvenus à la comptabilité générale, et qui vont être soumis à la Cour des Comptes.
 - ' 3. Que le bilan de l'administration des finances

^{*} The certificate has commonly been signed much earlier in former years.

est le résumé complet des comptes ouverts au grand livre, et qu'il concorde avec les résultats du compte général de cette administration.

'4. Que le compte de l'administration des finances pour l'année 1829, offre des résultats fidèlement extraits des différens livres officiels qui ont servi de

base à ce compte. Ce 15 Mars. 1830*.'

By the ordonnance of the 9th July, 1826, the Cour des Comptes is required to make two declarations at the beginning of each year, upon the accounts of the two years preceding the last year. These declarations must be printed and presented to the Chambers before

voting the budgets †.

In the declaration made on the 9th of March, 1830, on the accounts of the year 1828, the Cour des Comptes 'Declare, que les recettes, dépenses, valeurs en caisse et en portefeuille, comprises au compte général des finances publié pour l'année 1828, et qui forment les élémens des comptes des budgets, et du service de trésorerie, sont d'accord avec les arrêts rendus sur les comptes présentés par les

^{*} Compte Général de l'Administration des Finances pour l'année 1829, p. 192.

^{† &#}x27;A chaque session législative, une première Déclaration générale de la Cour de Comptes, prononcée en séance solennelle, établira la conformité de ses arrêts avec les comptes ministériels publiés pour l'année précédente; et par une seconde Déclaration, cette cour certifiera, sous la foi des mêmes garanties, la concordance des résultats de ses jugemens avec ceux du règlement légal du dernier exercice expiré. Les Chambres pourront ainsi procéder, avec une entière confiance, à la discussion de comptes généraux dont l'exactitude leur sera si authentiquement démontrée, et faire reposer les bases définitives de chaque loi de finances sur des résultats irrécusables et à l'abri de toute critique.'—Report of M. Villèle, p. 7.

agens comptables pour la même année, ainsi qu'il résulte des deux états ci-annexés*.'

In the second declaration of the same date, on the 'Situation définitive de l'exercice 1827,' the Cour des Comptes 'Declare, que la recette et la dépense comprises dans les comptes des ministères pour l'exercice 1827, et définitivement arrêtées par la loi du 26 Juillet 1829, sont conformes aux résultats des arrêts rendus sur les opérations dudit exercice portées dans les comptes des années 1826, 1827, et 1828, des receveurs et payeurs des finances, et appuyées des pièces justicatives qui leur servent de preuves, ainsi qu'il résulte de l'état ci-annexé†.'

V. Two laws are passed at the commencement of each session of the French Chambers, one entitled 'Laloi relative à la fixation du budget des dépenses;' the other, 'La loi relative à la fixation du budget des récettes.' To these laws are attached schedules containing estimates of all the expenses, and of the pro-

bable produce of all the taxes.

Since the year 1823, the vote of the budgets of money to be paid for the public services, and to be received from taxes, is, for services to be performed, and for taxes to be collected, in the year commencing

on the following 1st of January.

Each of the departments, or ministères, is required by law to make up two annual accounts immediately after the close of the year: the first, an account called 'la situation provisoire' for the year ending 31st of December just passed; secondly, a definitive account for the year preceding the last year.

The law of the 16th September, 1807, established a

^{*} Compte générale pour l'année 1829, p. 196. † Ibid. p. 202.

Cour des Comptes, composed of a first president, three presidents, eighteen maîtres de comptes, and eighty référendaires, who hold their offices for life. duty of this court is to examine and pronounce judgment upon the accounts of the receipts and expenses of the state, and of all public accountants. Some opinion may be formed of the efficiency of this institution, from the following extract from the report of M. Villèle already referred to: - 'All the accounts of the persons employed in the receipt and expenditure of the public money are presented to the Cour des Comptes on the 1st of July of the year following the year to which they belong. The Cour des Comptes has been able to pronounce its judgment upon them before the 31st of December,—a remarkable example of a vast system of public accounts constantly in operation, in which everything is proved by written vouchers before the expiration of the second year, and which does not leave the least doubt as to the regularity of the whole of the proceedings, with regard to the acts of a single department, or with regard to the conduct of a single accountant*.'

By an ordonnance of 1st September, 1823, all the expenditure of the money voted for a particular year, in the budget of that year, ought to be applied, and orders given for the payment of it, within the nine months which follow the end of that year, in such a manner that a definitive account may be closed and settled within twelve months, that is, by the 31st of December. If it be found that any part of the money so voted for a year's expenditure has not been applied, and accordingly that orders for the payment of it have not been made before the closing of the account

^{*} Report, p. 11.

within the nine months, this part of the expenditure cannot be discharged but by means of a royal ordonnance, to authorize its being charged in the budget of the current year.

In each session of the Chambers, a law is passed for the definitive settlement of the budget for the last

vear but one.

The following extracts taken from the official reports on the Finances of France, place beyond all doubt the success of the system of 'Compatibilité,' which has now been for some years established.

From the Report of the Commission of Accounts, dated 25th April, 1828.

'One of the numerous advantages of applying the system of double entry to all the accounts of the public money, is the facility which this method affords to obtain quickly a complete guarantee of the correctness of all the results which are shown by the several balances. All these balances grow one from the other and control each other; as they always appear under two opposite statements, their union should give the same sums; so that after this general proof of the correctness of the whole, a slight examination of the details is sufficient to secure complete satisfaction as to the correctness of all the parts *.'

'We cannot but repeat the praises bestowed by former commissions on the system of public ac-

counts †.'

'The Journal General of 1827 contained a complete exposé of the operations of all the finance departments for that year ‡.'

* p. 12. † p. 13. † p. 15.

From the Report of the Commission of Accounts, dated 29th May, 1830.

'This essential regularity of the documents and of the accounts is the natural effect of the strict method of public accounts which has been introduced into the public departments*.'

From the Report of M. Villèle, Minister of Finance, dated 26th July, 1826.

'It is from this first return to better principles of administration that may be dated the introduction of the system of accounts of double entry in the Treasury and its dependent branches,—an important improvement, which exposed a number of frauds, and which has preserved the public money from being subject to them in future †.'

From the Report of M. Chabrol, Minister of Finance, dated 15th March, 1830.

'The ordonnances of the 14th September and 11th December, 1822, laid the foundation of the new system of accounts. They established accounts in the different public departments according to the strict rules of double entry. The Minister of Finance can now control the application of every grant of money made by the Chambers for the public service. Reciprocal communications are established between those public offices which incur expenses (qui liquident les créances) and those which pay the expenses (qui les acquittent). The accounts of the different public departments, as well as those of the persons who hold offices in them, are drawn up at the end of each year

with a clearness and readiness which add considerably to the facility of verifying and auditing them.'

'Six months of examination of the Cour des Comptes is scarcely required to secure a regular

quietus to every public accountant *.'

'The business of keeping public accounts has taken a course the most simple and most rapid; everything has become clear and regular in the results; accounts that were incomplete and in arrear have been replaced by a system of accounts always open for inspection, and calculated to produce its balances at the end of each month; and which system of accounts is controlled at the end of each year by the public declarations of the Cour des Comptest.'

The Minister of War says, in the report on his department, dated 25th February, 1830, 'Finally, I ought not to omit to draw attention to the fact, that of the sum of 8,960,000l., forming the total amount of the expenses of the War Department in 1828, there remains only the trifling sum of 1,070l. not paid. Such a result confers the highest honour to the War Department, and, at the same time, affords the strongest testimony of the excellence of the system

of accounts established in it t.

Notwithstanding, however, the seeming perfection to which the mode of keeping the public accounts of France has been brought, the defects in her financial system are very numerous and very injurious. The taxing of all raw materials of industry, the tobacco monopoly, the octrois duties, and several other taxes, are contrary to every sound principle of taxation, and very great obstructions to the prosperity of France. The charges for collecting the revenue,

^{*} p. 2. † p. 5. † p. 52.

although of late years considerably reduced, are still 12½ per cent.* But the great defect of all, is the want of a sufficient legislative control over the Crown, similar to that which is exercised in this country by the Exchequer. The law now allows the King to incur, by ordonnances, what are called extraordinary and urgent expenses, beyond what are voted in the budget by the Chambers, on the condition that these ordonnances are to be converted into laws at the next session of the Chamber †. What the consequence is of this power in increasing the public expenses may be judged of by the following extract from the speech of M. Barbé Marbois, the first president of the Cour des Comptes, on opening the session of it on the 3d of November, 1830:—'It was after having raised the taxes to 40,000,000l. a year, that these ill advised persons (the ministers of Charles X.) declared their insufficiency; and it was then that they had recourse to provisional and supplementary credits, and such a number of false expedients, that the budget was rendered nothing better than a chimerical and delusive compilation of figures.'

Notwithstanding, therefore, the various legislative regulations for securing a sound system of accounts in France, unless the King and his Ministers be effectually prohibited from spending the smallest sum of money without a previous legislative authority, there can be no real security against irregularity and

extravagance.

The financial accounts which are annually laid before the House of Commons, and everything connected with the keeping of accounts in the offices, and the voting of public money in Parliament, stand * Report of M. Chabrol, p. 117. + Loi, 25th March, 1817.

so much in need of reformation, that it would be a very wise measure to make use of the French system of accounts as a model for substituting an entirely new system in this country, instead of the present one. Some improvement of it could no doubt be introduced, particularly by making use of the assistance of our banking system. Such a measure would not only produce the same advantages here in securing generally public economy and control as it produces in France, but it would likewise lead to the saving of an immense expense in making up and printing Parliamentary papers, because a proper system of annual financial accounts would furnish all the information which is now sought to be obtained by calling for special accounts.

CHAPTER XII.

CIVIL GOVERNMENT:

THE next head of expenditure to be examined, with the view of ascertaining what retrenchment may be made, is that incurred for conducting the Civil Government of the country. It has proved quite impossible, with all the pains that could be taken, to make out what this expenditure amounts to. public account, that could contribute to assist in discovering it, has been examined, and the result is, that no sum can be set down to show the expense of any branch of this expenditure with a certainty of its There are no less than five different being correct. ways of paying the office establishments connected with the civil government of the country, namely, 1st. The Civil List: 2d. The Consolidated Fund; 3d. Fees: 4th. Annual Grants: 5th. Payments out of the gross produce of the Revenue. So that, after labouring through and selecting the sums paid by two, three, or more of these ways, and imagining that the whole of them have been discovered, there is always a risk incurred of having overlooked some payment in the fourth or fifth of them; and, if this occur, then every public office proclaims a triumph over the individual who has thus failed in unravelling the mystification of the system. In the Fourth Report of the Committee of Finance, the total expense of permanent civil services, including the Civil List, is stated

to be 2,103,105l., but no details are given of this

expenditure *.

The following statement of some of the annual charges for the civil government has been prepared from official documents, such errors to be excepted as have just been alluded to:—

				£:
The Civil Lists of England and	Ireland			1,057,000
The Three Secretaries of State				137,000
The Privy Council Office				9,000
The Board of Trade .				11,400
The Mint				32,450
The Civil Government of Scotla	and			132,000
The Judicial Establishment of H		partly	r paid)	,
out of the Civil List	•	•	' · . }	150,000
Ditto of Ireland ditto .				147,000
Ditto of Scotland .				187,000
Annual Grants for Civil Conting	rencies	•		160,000
	,		:4 1.	
The progressive increase			nure i	ias been,
in some of these offices, as	follows	s : 		
Secretary of State for the Home	Departu	nent	1829	£31,916
or state in the include	Dopart.		1796	14,423
			-, -,	
Increase				£17,493
	·	_		
Secretary of State for the Foreign	n Depart	ment	1829	£65,681
5			1796	34,495
				,
Increase				£30,186
	•			
Secretary of State for the Coloni	es .		1829	£39,624
•	•		1796	9,111
Increase				£30,513+
571 6	_		-	, , = ¥

The Committee of Finance, in their second report, point out, as one of the principal objects of econo-

^{*} See note, p. 97. † Papers, Com. Fin., Nos. 103, 104, and 105.

mical reform, the revision of every office, in order to introduce a principle of simplification and consolidation for transacting public business; and there can be no doubt that, if this principle were effectually and universally adopted in every office employed in the civil government of the country, the business of the public might be performed by a much smaller number of officers and clerks, and, at the same time, with lower salaries.

Civil List.—With respect to the Civil List, from the restoration to the accession of George III., the practice was to grant to each King, on his coming to the throne, certain taxes and duties, called the Hereditary or Civil List Revenues for life, as the fund for defraying the whole of the expenses of the civil government. These revenues were obtained from the following imposts:—Hereditary Excise, Subsidy of Tonnage and Poundage, Post Office, Fines of Alienation Office, Post Fines, Wine Licenses, Sheriffs Profers, Compositions in the Exchequer, Seizure of Prohibited and Unaccustomed Goods, Rents of Lands, Fines of Leases, and Sale of Lands*. The revenue received from these sources amounted, on an average of three years to 1815, to 1,300,000l. † When this fund proved insufficient for the whole civil government, sums of money were voted by parliament in aid of it.

On the accession of George III., a new plan was introduced, namely, that of giving the King, for life, a fixed sum in lieu of the Civil List revenues, which were paid with the other revenues of the country into

¹ Geo. I. c. 1. See marginal note of this statute for the acts granting these taxes. † Report Com. Civil List, 1815.

£.

the Exchequer. The annual sum given to George III. was 800,000l. During his reign various additions were made to it, and some millions of Civil List

debt were incurred and paid by the nation.

On the accession of George IV., 255,000l. of annual charge was transferred from the Civil List to other funds, and the annual sum of 850,000l. was given to him as the Civil List for England. 84,000l. of annual charge had been transferred in 1804, so that the allowance to George IV. was at least 400,000l. a year greater than that given to George III.—the confusion of the accounts make it impossible to give the exact sum.

The following copy of the schedule of the act of 1 Geo. IV. c. 1. shows how the sum of 850,000*l*. was appropriated.

	-T
1st Class. His Majesty's Privy Purse	60,000
2d Ditto. Allowances to the Lord Chancellor, Judges, and Speaker of the House of Commons	32,956
3d Ditto. Salaries, &c. of his Majesty's Ambassadors and other Ministers; Salaries to Consuls and Pensions to retired Ambassadors and Ministers	226,950
4th Ditto. Expenses (except Salaries) of his Majesty's	
Household, in the Departments of the Lord Steward, Lord Chamberlain, Master of the Horse, Master of the Robes, and Surveyor-General of Works	209,000
5th Ditto. Salaries in the above Departments .	140,700
6th Ditto. Pensions limited by the act 22 Geo. III. c. 82	95,000
7th Ditto. Salaries to certain Offices of State and various other allowances	41,306
8th Ditto. Salaries to the Commissioners of the Trea- sury and Chancellor of the Exchequer	13,822
Occasional payments not comprised in any of the aforesaid classes	26,000
	2845,727

The sum of 850,000*l*., which was granted to his late Majesty, corresponds with the estimate of a new Civil List, made by the Select Committee of the House of Commons, in the year 1815. This estimate was founded on the actual expenditure of the Civil List in the years 1812, 1813, and 1814; and that expenditure was of the most extravagant description. These circumstances, together with the fact of the sum of 850,000*l*. having been sufficient, without incurring any debt, to defray all the expenses of the Civil List during the last reign, prove, beyond all doubt, that the Civil List of 1820 was a very extravagant arrangement.

With respect to the Civil List of Scotland, it has been the practice, on the accession of each King, to grant him the hereditary revenues of Scotland on the

old plan.

By the Act of the 1 Geo. IV. c. 1., (the Civil List Act) it is declared, that 'the several respective duties and revenues which were payable to his late Majesty King George the Third in Scotland, shall be continued, raised, levied, and paid, during the life of his present Majesty (George the Fourth), in the same manner only, and subject to the same or the like charges thereon, as the same were liable or subject to during the life of his said late Majesty.' In consequence of this clause, the hereditary revenues of Scotland have been levied and applied in the old way. Some special charges have been made on them by Acts of Parliament, but a great part of them has been expended according to the pleasure of the Crown, and without any authority of Parliament*.

^{*} See Sir J. Sinclair's History of the Revenue, vol. iii. p. 119, for a description of the Hereditary Revenues of Scotland.

It is enacted, by the 9th section of the last-mentioned Act, that 'every surplus or balance which may remain after defraying the whole of the charges upon or incident to the said fund, shall go and be carried to the account of the consolidated fund of the United Kingdom.' It appears from a Parliamentary account of the Income and Expenditure of the King's hereditary revenues of Scotland, that during the last reign the sum received was 844,253l. 17s. 6d.; and that of this sum there was paid to the consolidated fund only the small sum of 20,000l.*

There does not appear to be any good reason for not having extended the same rule to Scotland with respect to these revenues as was introduced in England on the accession of George III. In consequence of this not having been done, a very large portion of them has been given in pensions, and no small amount has been applied to local purposes. In 1761, the sum paid for pensions was 5,940*l.*;

in 1829, it was 33,030l.

The following is an account of the Income and Expenditure of the Hereditary Revenues in 1829:—

Year from 5th January 1829, to 5th January 1830:—

RECEIPTS . .

£. s. d. $109,132 \ 12 \ 10_{12}$

DISBURSEMENTS.

PERMANENT CHARGES:

King's Household and Officers on Civil Establishment £10,940 18 7

Carried forward, £10,940 18

* Parliamentary Paper, Sess. 1830-1. No. 18. † Third Report of Committee on Public Expenditure, 1808.

101 017111 00	7 1 11 1 1 1 1			fonsh	. 411.
Brought forward, £1	10 940	18	7		
	33,050		8		
His Majesty's Commissioner	30,000		-		
to General Assembly .	2,085	10	0		
Procurator to Church for	-,		-		
Itinerant Preachers .	2,098	10	0		
Crown Agent for Criminal	-,000				
	11,300	0	0		
Annual Salary to Solicitor of	,	·	Ü		
Tithes	100	0	0		
Agents before the Court of		_	•		
Session relative to Tithes	998	16	$2_{\frac{6}{12}}$		
Agent for Officers of State		7	$2^{\frac{1}{1}^{2}}_{\frac{1}{2}}$		
Alexander Mundell, Esq. for		•	- 12		
preparing Public Bills, and					
for attending to Scotch					
Peerage Business, &c.	648	15	6		
Annual Expense of Botanic	0.10	- 0	•		
Garden	419	3	0		
Ditto of Edinburgh Museum	100	Õ	ŏ		
Annual Salary to Chamber-	• • • •		v		
lain of Ettrick Forest .	300	0	0		
Annual Allowance for Coach-		-	-		
house and Stables to Barons	48	0	0		
King's Plate to be run for at		•	•		
Musselburgh	105	0	0		
Ditto to Royal Company of		-	-		
Archers	20	0	0		
Ditto to Caledonian Hunt	105	0	0		
His Majesty's Almoner for					
Alms and Beedsmen's					
Gowns	108	6	8		
Annual Allowance to Clerks					
in Chancery	35	0	0		
Deputy Keeper of Regalia,					
Balance on his Accounts	120	2	7		
Stipend to Minister of Hall-			-		
yards	5	11	$7_{\frac{8}{12}}$		
Three-fifths of Contingent			12		
Expenses of the Office of					
Works in Scotland, from					
Jan. 1827 to Jan. 1829	92	4	5		
				63,176 11	$5\frac{8}{12}$

		_		£.		d.
Brought	forwa	rd,		63,176	11	$5\frac{8}{12}$
CASUAL AND TEMPORARY CHA Alexander Mundell, Esq. Expenses of Appeal; the Directors of Bible Societies	ARGES:					
againsthis Majesty's Printers	169	6	2			
Repairs on Palace of Holy- rood House	4,010	10	0			
Repayment of Penalty on Bail-bond For restoring & embellishing	50	0	0			
the external Walls of St. Giles' Cathedral, and Fees Expense of Mineralogical	2,010	17	6			
Survey of Scotland . Grant for the benefit of the	3,124	9	$7_{\bar{1}}^{6}$	2		
Daughters of Scottish Clergy	1,000	0	0			
D 137' 1	,					
Repairs on Windows of the Cathedral of Glasgow .	44	8	0	- 10.409	11	3-5-
	44	8	0	- 10,409	11	3,6
	44	8		- 10,409 £73,586		$\frac{3_{\frac{6}{12}}}{9_{\frac{2}{12}}}$
Cathedral of Glasgow Charges in course of Payme	nt in 1	830	:	<u> </u>		
Cathedral of Glasgow Charges in course of Payme Repairs to the exterior Walls Cathedral, in Edinburgh	nt in 18	830 . Gil	: es'	<u> </u>		
Cathedral of Glasgow Charges in course of Payme Repairs to the exterior Walls Cathedral, in Edinburgh Expenses of Building for the demy of Exercises of Edinl	of St. Royal	830 . Gil	: es' :a-	£73,586	2	9,2
Cathedral of Glasgow Charges in course of Payme Repairs to the exterior Walls Cathedral, in Edinburgh Expenses of Building for the demy of Exercises of Edinl Expenses of fitting up the place for Meeting for the sembly of the Church of Sc	nt in 18 of St. Royal ourgh interior Gener	830 Gil Ac	: es' :a- .a	£73,586 6,000	0	9,2/2
Cathedral of Glasgow Charges in course of Payme Repairs to the exterior Walls Cathedral, in Edinburgh Expenses of Building for the demy of Exercises of Edinl Expenses of fitting up the place for Meeting for the sembly of the Church of Sc Sheriffs' Expenses in the sev in Scotland	nt in 18 of St. Royal ourgh interior Gener otland eral Co	930 Gil Ac	es' a As-	£73,586 6,000 5,000	0	$\frac{9_{1^{\frac{2}{2}}}}{0}$
Cathedral of Glasgow Charges in course of Payme Repairs to the exterior Walls Cathedral, in Edinburgh Expenses of Building for the demy of Exercises of Edinl Expenses of fitting up the place for Meeting for the sembly of the Church of Sc Sheriffs' Expenses in the sev	of St. Royal burgh interior Gener otland eral Con for I	830 Gil Ac as al A	es' a a ies	£73,586 6,000 5,000 3,000	0 0 0 0	9 ₁ ² / ₂ 0 0

A Civil List for Ireland was first settled by the Irish Act of 33 Geo. III. c. 34. By this act, 145,000l. a-year was granted to the King in lieu of the hereditary revenues of Ireland; and this sum was appropriated to pay the following charges:—

1. Pensions to the Royal Family, and the salaries of the Lord Lieutenant and his Chief Secretary.

2. The salaries of the Lord Chancellor and the

Judges.

3. The bills of all tradesmen, artificers, and labourers, for every article supplied, or work done in the Castle of Dublin, or in any other houses of the Lord Lieutenant, the Chief Secretary, or the Under Secretary.

5. Pensions.

By the Civil List Act of 1 Geo. IV. c. 1, the sum settled for the Civil List of Ireland was 207,000l.

The accession of his present Majesty to the throne affords an opportunity of making a very valuable reformation in all matters connected with the hereditary revenues, and the charge on the public for the Civil List, or rather Civil Government expenditure. There seems to be no longer any reason for not abolishing the distinction between hereditary and other revenues. Every right of the crown may be effectually secured in a more simple and convenient manner; and now that the Civil List cannot be what it formerly was intended to be—the fund for paying the whole of the expenses of the Civil Government—there is no reason for preserving any part of the public expenditure under a distinct head bearing this denomination. The better way would be to provide for the expenses of the King and Royal Family without mixing them

with any other expenses; and to provide for the expenses of the Civil Government by themselves, so that the whole of them may be paid out of the same

fund, and appear in the same account.

With regard to the expenses to be in future incurred for maintaining the dignity, and providing for the comfort of the King and Royal Family, and for the Civil Government, they ought to be very much reduced below what they were in the last reign. the fifth class of the late Civil List a considerable saving should be made, as 140,700l. is much too large a sum to be paid merely for the salaries of the servants of the household. Each salary should be revised, and settled with reference to the services to be performed, and to the financial circumstances of the country. If the salaries were wholly abolished of the Lord Steward, Lord Chamberlain, Master of the Horse, and Master of the Robes, and if the salaries of the Lords of the Bedchamber, and of the other principal officers, were reduced, there can be no doubt, that well-qualified individuals could be found to fill these offices: the distinction and rank which they confer should be a sufficient remuneration for the duties of them.

In the fourth class it would also appear that there was room for reduction; for if 209,000l. a-year was sufficient to pay the tradesmen's bills in 1815, when this sum was settled, a smaller sum should now be given in consequence of the great fall which has since taken place in prices, and the system of profusion which prevailed at that period.

In the third class, namely, Foreign Ministers, a much larger saving should be made than that proposed

by the late administration: and considering how heavy the charge now is on the public (6,152,702l.*) for pensions, superannuations, and half-pay, the whole of the pensions on the late Civil List should be revised and put on a more economical plan.

Every item in the late Civil List expenditure in each part of the United Kingdom should be strictly examined, and, where practicable, reduced or suppressed; and the whole charge for the civil government should be brought annually under the view of Parliament in the budget of expenses, which has

already been proposed.

The following extracts from Mr. Burke's speech on economical reform, concerning the Duchies of Lancaster, Cornwall, &c., are well worthy of consideration, preparatory to the new settlement of the Civil List. He said—' As in the Saxon times this country was an heptarchy, it is now a strange sort of It is divided into five distinct principentarchy. palities, besides the supreme. . . . In every one of these five principalities, duchies, palatines, there is a regular establishment, of considerable expense and most domineering influence. . . . Thus every one of those principalities has the apparatus of a kingdom, for the jurisdiction over a few private estates; and the formality and charge of the exchequer of Great Britain, for collecting the rents of a country squire. . This revenue exists for the sole purpose of multiplying offices and extending influence. . . This duchy (of Lancaster), which is not worth 4000l. a year at best, to revenue, is worth 40,000l. or 50,000l. to influence. . . . Indeed, the whole of the estates

^{*} See note in page 209, infra.

which support these minor principalities is made up, not of revenues, and rents, and profitable fines, but of claims, of pretensions, of vexations, of litigations. . . . For what plausible reason are these principalities suffered to exist? . . . Do they answer any purpose to the king? . . . I propose, therefore, to unite all the five principalities to the crown and to its ordinary jurisdiction—to abolish all those offices that produce an useless and chargeable separation from the body of the people—to compensate those who do not hold their offices (if any such there are) at the pleasure of the crown—to extinguish vexatious titles by an act of short limitation—to sell those unprofitable estates which support useless jurisdictions; and to turn the tenant right into a fee, on such moderate terms as will be better for the state than its present right, and which it is impossible for any rational tenant to refuse*.'

On the 14th of February, 1780, leave was given, on the motion of Mr. Burke, without opposition, to bring in, 1st, A bill for the sale of the forest and other Crown lands. 2d, A bill for the more perfectly uniting to the Crown the Principality of Wales, and the County Palatine of Chester. 3d, A bill for uniting to the Crown the Duchy and County Palatine of Lancaster. 4th, A bill for uniting the Duchy of Cornwall to the Crown.

With respect to the landed property of the crown, there is the opinion of Mr. Huskisson, as given in his speech of the 18th March, 1830, in the House of Commons, to the following purport:—' I think that the control of Parliament may be most properly exer-

^{*} Burke's Works, vol. iii. pp. 257—270. † Ibid., p. 350.

cised over those revenues which are managed under the Office of Woods and Forests. They might be placed under a system of less laxity, and of more effectual control. With respect to these revenues, they do not form part of the Civil List, but are part of the funds that have been commuted for the Civil List. And although I think that the proportion of the revenue, left for the gratification of the sovereign, is properly left, yet a further check and a further system of control ought to be placed over other branches of receipt and expenditure in the Woods and Forests' department*.'

Lord Lieutenant of Ireland.—The Catholic Question having been settled, there is not the least excuse for keeping up the office of Lord Lieutenant of Ireland. What has been said of other vicerovs is fully applicable to the office in Ireland. 'It seldom happens that viceroys can exercise their charge with advantage to the country over which they are temporary sovereigns. The instability of their power, and, too frequently, the desire to improve their own fortune, tend to withdraw them from any attention to their duties, beyond what is necessary to keep all All measures tending materially to amelioration must be necessarily slow; the unpopularity which attaches to all wholesome innovations falls on the viceroy who introduces them—while the merit which follows from them is given to his successors. On the whole, it is generally fortunate when a viceroy is contented to be merely passive in his office, and is negatively a clog on the improvement of the state. The situation being frequently given to some noble-

^{*} Mirror of Parliament, Sess. 1830, p. 884.

man embarrassed with debts, or overwhelmed with a large family or numerous dependants, it has often happened that he has considered the country over which he is the ruler, less as a country to be fostered and rendered happy, than as a mine from which to extract for himself and his followers, within the shortest possible space of time, the greatest possible

quantity of wealth*.'

The saving of expense from abolishing this office would be the least of the benefits of such a measure; for the laws will never be administered in Ireland in the true spirit of the British Constitution, or the constitution be enjoyed fully as it ought to be in every other respect, until the intermediate authority of a local government be removed, and the King's Cabinet become responsible, personally, to both Houses of Parliament for every act of Government in Ireland. The management of the army, navy, ordnance, revenue, and trade, has been already taken away from the Lord Lieutenant; and in each case many vices of administration have been suppressed. In truth, what is best done in Ireland is that with which the local government have no connexion. The establishing of lords-lieutenant of counties would make the county system of government much more efficient than it is at present; and the few hours now required for communicating between London and Dublin removes all apprehensions with respect to being able to contend with any sudden difficulty or danger, with immediate and full effect.

As a great part of the sums granted for Irish miscellaneous services relate to matters of civil government, this is the proper place to say, that if His

^{*} For. Quart. Rev., vol. iv. p. 358.

Majesty's Ministers carry into execution the recommendations of the Committee of the House of Commons with respect to them, a considerable saving of expense will be the consequence.

There are several items which will admit of still greater reductions than the Committee have proposed; so that if all were done that might be done, at least

150,000l. a year might be saved.

Judges' Salaries.—The present expenditure of so large a sum annually as 484,000l. on the judicial establishments of the United Kingdom, should admit of some considerable reduction. The salaries of the judges, raised, as they professedly were, on account of the high prices of all articles of consumption, should be lowered. The following Parliamentary account shows what these salaries were in England in 1792, and what they now are*:—

King's Bend	CH.	
	1792.	1829.
Chief Justice	£4,000	 £10,000
Puisue Judges, each	2,400	 5,500
COMMON PLE	AS.	
Chief Justice	3,500	 8,000
Puisne Judges, each		
Excheque	R.	
Chief Baron	3,500	 7,000
Barons, each	2,400	

In addition to the above salaries and allowances paid in 1792, the Judges of the several courts were remunerated also by fees, the amount of which, received by each, is not known. The Judges derive no emolument from this source at present.

^{*} Sess. Paper, 1830, No. 532.

The Mint.—The establishment of the Mint costs the public 32,450l. a year *. Mr. Burke proposed, in his speech in 1780 on economical reform, to abolish this department, and to require the Bank of England, in compensation for the use of the balances of the public money, to take charge of the business of itt. He said, 'The mint, Sir, is a manufacture, and it is nothing else; and it ought to be undertaken upon the principles of a manufacture: that is, for the best and cheapest execution, by a contract upon proper securities, and under proper regulations t.

By a recent regulation, which allows bullion to be exchanged at the mint for sovereigns, without waiting, as formerly, for its conversion into coin, a large expense is likely to be incurred to the public; for there can be no doubt that this plan will induce the importers of bullion to convert the whole into coin, and which coin will be exported and melted whenever the bullion trade gives a profit on exportation: so that there will be going on a constant coining and receiving of the same gold, according to the fluctuations in the trade of exporting and importing gold, and consequently there will be a great loss in the expense of coinage. The old plan of giving a higher value to coin than to bullion, not by a seigniorage but by delay in coining the bullion deposited at the mint, should be reverted to, as the best way of securing a sufficient supply of coin at the lowest charge to the public.

By an act passed in Scotland in 1686, duties were imposed for defraying the expenses of a mint in that country. These duties were made perpetual by 9 Geo. III., c. 25; and although every species of the

^{*} Parliamentary Paper, Sess. 1822, No. 64. † Works, vol. iii. p. 301. ‡ Ib. p. 291.

money of Great Britain is now coined in London, the establishment of a mint is retained in Scotland. expense of it in 1804 was 1200l. The pretext for putting the public to this charge for a relict of the ancient independence of Scotland, is a provision in the Act of Union, that a Scotch mint should be maintained*.

Office of King's Printer.—All Acts of Parliament, Proclamations, Orders in Council, Bibles, Testaments, and Prayer Books, and various other works, have been printed for a long period under a patent of the In this way a most extravagant monopoly has been given to a few individuals, who have received immense sums of money from monopoly prices, with great detriment to the trade of printing, and with the absolute loss of so much money to the public. There exists, perhaps, among the numerous cases of government prodigality, no instance of a more wanton waste

of public money.

For the Acts of Parliament, the patentees have been allowed to charge $2\frac{1}{2}d$. a sheet, while, according to the prices of the trade, less than a penny a sheet would have afforded a liberal rate of profit. But this is not all; for, notwithstanding there is not a word in the patent giving the patentees a right to sell what they print, they do sell, at very exorbitant prices, large numbers of Acts of Parliament to lawyers, magistrates, companies, and many other persons all over the country; and, in consequence of this assumption of the authority to sell as well as to print, they put into their pockets a sum which has been calculated to amount to from thirty to forty thousand pounds a year.

^{*} Sir John Sinclair's History of the Revenue, vol. iii., p. 125.

due regard had been paid to the public interests, after incurring the expense of printing, the sale of the Acts of Parliament ought to have been so conducted as, at least, to have produced a sum equivalent to the

expenses.

The patent that was granted in 1799 expired in 1829, and thus gave an opportunity of correcting this abuse. But, notwithstanding the glaring violation of all principles of trade and economy, and notwithstanding the Committee of the House of Commons of 1810, on the Public Expenditure, had condemned the principle of this patent, and had recommended the separation of the two branches of printer and bookseller; and notwithstanding another Committee, which sat in 1829 on the Irish Miscellaneous Services, had recommended the getting rid of a similar patent in Ireland, the Government, in defiance of all these most powerful reasons against renewing the patent, did renew it for thirty years in favour of the old patentees!!! It is difficult to express what must be the extent of injury, in one shape or other, which the public sustains by such deviations from sound principles. Those who are at the head of the administration of affairs, in consequence of not being well acquainted with the subjects which come under their government, and of seldom referring to any general principle to assist their judgment, are too frequently led to do things very injurious to the public interests. There can be no doubt that, if this patent had not been renewed, and if the business had been transferred to the Stationery Office, the difference to the public would have been the profit now made by the patentees to the amount, according to the calculation referred to, of from 30,000l. to 40,000l. a year.

There surely must be some mode of getting rid of this patent; but if there be not, in such a case as this, Parliament should interfere by an express law to abolish it, as no claim can justly be set up of private

loss against so great a public evil.

If it were possible to collect together all that the public pay for printing to the king's printer, for printing for the Houses of Parliament, for printing for the public offices, and for printing in Ireland, it would probably amount to something not far short of 150,000l. a year—possibly to 200,000l.; and, moreover, as the printing work for the Houses of Parliament is on a much more expensive plan than is necessary for any useful purpose, the revising of the whole business, and establishing it on such principles as would secure to the public good and plain work, and, at the same time, a fair profit to the persons employed, would certainly be attended with very great advantages *

Salaries and Superannuations.—Having noticed, in a general way, nearly all the civil official establishments, it is now time to say something concerning the rates of salaries in them. The Committee of Finance, in their Second Report, point out the general principles on which they ought to be regulated. They say the proper question with respect to them is,

^{*} It appears from a Parliamentary Paper ordered to be printed on the 2nd December, 1830 (No. 49), that there was paid to the patentees, Messrs. Reeves, Eyre, and Strahan, for goods delivered and work performed by them, in 1826, 10,706L; in 1827, 11,384L; and in 1828, 11,275L; and that they were paid for each sheet of Public General Acts, in folio, $2\frac{1}{4}d$.; of Public General Acts, in quarto, $4\frac{1}{2}d$.; and of Local and Private Acts, in folio, 3d.

what course will best secure a perfectly efficient performance of the services of the public at the smallest expense?—They lay it down that the principle of competition is as applicable to the remuneration given to clerks in the public offices for their time and trouble, as it is to the price paid for the time and trouble of other persons who live by the wages of labour: and they add, that, as it is by this principle the salaries of clerks in commercial establishments are determined, the salaries of clerks in the public offices should be regulated by the salaries given to the former; and that every exception in favour of a higher rate should be grounded on a clear case being made out of more talent and trust being required.

The present rates of official salaries are stated by the Committee to rank higher than those in commercial houses. Some of the witnesses examined by the Committee seem, according to their evidence, to have attempted to justify this, by assuming that in every public situation more talent and trustworthiness are required than in mercantile situations: but this is not so, as by far the greatest part of the office clerks have nothing but common clerks' work to do. perfectly well known that, in those offices where the salaries are the lowest, namely, in the Commissariat, and the office of the Paymaster of the Marines, the work is best done. The clerks in the Commissariat are real clerks, not the sons of persons of the higher ranks, but of an humble description; they are perfectly satisfied with what they receive, and do their work remarkably well. The Paymaster of Marines says, in his evidence, that the salaries in his office are lower than in any other; that he can place full confidence in his clerks, and has to place a great deal in them.

The more the question of salaries is examined, the more fully it can be shown that high salaries are not only the source of a great burden on the public, but also that they actually contribute to make the clerks less efficient, and, consequently, to the employing of a great number of them. There cannot be a greater mistake than the notion generally entertained, that fitness will follow in proportion as the amount of the salary is high. Those persons who are willing to work for a small remuneration always have the greatest relish for work; and, therefore, giving low salaries will secure the filling of the offices with the most efficient clerks. On the other hand, when a clerk has a high salary, the less is his activity, and he is wholly adverse to anything like the drudgery of office. He possesses a greater facility for enjoying pleasurable and other trivial occupations. He has a greater facility of obtaining accomplices in his transgressions, and in finding supporters to shield him against being displaced, and against having his conduct thought disreputable.

The present rates of salaries of officers and clerks place them in a much better situation than the remuneration given to that part of the clergy who perform the laborious part of the church duties, and to

officers of high rank in the army and navy.

As the pretext for raising salaries to their present rates was the depreciation of money, now that the value of it is restored, the public have a right to require a reduction to be generally made on a large scale. It appears from a Parliamentary 'Return of the number of persons employed, and of the pay or salaries granted to such persons, in all public offices and departments in 1797 and 1827,' that the number and salaries were as follows:—

In 1797 number 16,267...... salaries £1,374,561 In 1827...... number 22,912...... salaries £2,788,907*

The number, it seems, has increased about one-third, and the salaries have doubled. It is not easy to discover any good reason for such an increase; for, in 1797, war was actively going on, and the effective expenditure of that year was much more than double what it was in 1827. If these salaries were brought back to what they were in 1797, this alone would be a saving to the country of 1,400,000*l*. a year.

The account recently presented to Parliament of officers with salaries of 1,000l. a year and upwards †, gives a total of nearly one thousand persons who enjoy among them 2,066,574l. sterling. Of these there are 216 persons whose salaries average 4,429l. It certainly must be possible to make a very large diminution in this enormously extravagant use of the

public money.

The Committee of Finance, in their Third Report, have pointed out the practicability of making a considerable retrenchment by means of a reform in the existing system of superannuation allowances. Since 1810, when the present law was passed, the charge for civil superannuations has increased from 94,550l. to 480,081l.‡ The Committee say this increase is enormous, and represent it as an evil that calls loudly for a remedy. They state that several abuses have arisen under the law as it now is, particularly from the disposition of the superior authorities to favour the retirement of efficient clerks; they say they have been informed, that the cases are not few, in which

^{*} Sess. Paper, 1828, No. 552. † See Appendix, No. VII. for this Parliamentary Account. ‡ Third Report Com. Fin., Appendix, No. 13.

persons superannuated as unfit for public service, have enjoyed health and strength long afterwards, and have discharged active duties in other public offices, and in private business; and they recommend that there should be a per centage reduction of all salaries, to form a fund for paying the superannuation allowances.

Nothing can be more extravagant, and inconsistent with a proper guardianship of the public money, than the system of salaries and superannuations now in operation. The salaries are so much higher than they ought to be, that every officer and clerk has sufficient means of making a provision for infirmity and old age. But notwithstanding this fact, as to the sufficiency of salary, in the true spirit of profusion, a great superannuation allowance has been added. the Committee of Finance had recommended what was most proper to be done in the case, they would have proposed the abolition of all such allowances on future appointments to office: for, although it might be difficult for Government to resist the claims of hardship and real sufferings, which would, in that event, be made upon them, it may be considered as quite certain, that in their hands, the sums which would be granted would never amount to what is now paid under the compulsory plan of giving to every officer a regulated allowance. It is quite impossible to explain why we are to have a privileged class in society, who, because they have once touched public money, are to be supported all their lives at the public expense; why they are to be put into a more fortunate case than clerks in mercantile and banking houses, and than many of our clergy, and of our military and naval officers.

CHAPTER XIII.

MILITARY EXPENDITURE.

THE next head of expenditure, namely, the military part of the service of the Army and Navy, affords the means of retrenchment on a large scale.

In the report of Messrs. Brooksbank and Beltz, on the public accounts, the estimates of the Army, Navy, and Ordnance for 1828 are stated in a manner to show what part of them was for effective, and what for non-effective expenditure. The following account has been made up from their statements.

Army . Navy . Ordnance	Effective Expenditure. £5,067,793 . 4,576,730 . 1,419,975	Non-Effective Expenditure. £2,982,146 1,557,132 365,221
	£11,064,498	£4,904,499

Twelve millions having been about the average annual effective expenditure, no less than 180 millions have been expended on soldiers, sailors, ships, and artillery, since 1815, exclusive of the non-effective expenditure, although we have been all the time in a state of profound peace.

The only ground on which it is attempted to justify this expenditure, so enormously great in comparison with that of any former peace establishment, is the expediency of being at all times prepared for war. But, during the last fifteen years, there has been less likelihood of war than at any former period, in consequence of the exhausted condition of all the

powers of Europe, after the last war, and of the triumphant display of military and naval force which England was able to make at the conclusion of it. If, in 1816, a peace expenditure had been arranged on a principle of sound economy, having relation only to the real wants of the nation, a very large portion of the 180 millions would have been saved.

Although it is right to be prepared for war, it should be borne in mind that several of the most popular and substantial grounds of war have ceased to exist. The barren nature of military trophies, and the substantial advantages of peace, have been fully exhibited in the last forty years. The laws most offensive to foreign trade have been expunged from our statute book; every country now sees the wisdom of seeking commercial prosperity in concurrence with that of its neighbours; the discovery of the real sources of wealth has shown the folly of wasting lives and treasure about colonial possessions; and nothing is now more universally acknowledged than the fallacy of expecting any national advantage from war.

The Committee of Finance, in their Second Report, state it to have been one of the principal objects of their attention to secure 'a strict adjustment of the numbers of the military and naval forces, so as not to exceed what is really necessary for the peace and security of the empire *.' They say, 'this principle ought not, on any account, to be given up to speculative apprehensions;' that, 'as the army and navy are the great sources of expense, it is only by keeping them within proper limits that any great saving can be effected;' and they add, 'it is particularly necessary carefully to examine the reasonings and state-

^{*} Second Report, p. 5.

ments of those individuals, who, being qualified from their official stations to give full information on these subjects, are liable to be led by professional feelings to recommend a higher standard of preparation for war, than a less biassed view of circumstances might

suggest.'

Military and naval officers connected with government have had their own way so much in fixing the amount of the forces to be kept up, that it would be strange if it had not been carried beyond its proper limit. Many motives of a personal and professional kind serve to warp their judgments in forming just conclusions with respect to what that limit ought to be. Every officer feels a natural and just pride in the perfection of his own department, and at the same time he has no great inducement to care much about what it costs; and therefore it is by no means right to consult professional men alone, and leave it to them to decide what the number of the forces should be of our peace establishment.

According to every rational consideration of the subject, it is clear that the preparation for defence should always be kept down to the lowest possible, rather than always raised to the highest possible point. At the highest, it produces a great share of the evils of war. A moderate preparation, strictly proportioned to the occasion, and not allowed to go beyond it, will save more evil than it risks; all beyond this infallibly produces more evil than it prevents; it impoverishes the nation, and renders it more easily injured by a powerful enemy, than if it had been allowed to save expense, and gather strength in peace *. Our large and well-equipped fleets, and numerous well-dressed

^{*} See Supp. En. Br., vol. iii. p. 276.

troops, give, to be sure, an air of magnificence; but those who furnish out this show should remember the claims of the industrious class for a reduction of taxation.

At the same time, the public who pay the taxes which are levied to defray the immense expense which is incurred by maintaining the Army and Navy on a footing much beyond what the necessity of the case requires, are not only to blame for the readiness, but even the eagerness, with which they listen to every pretext for increasing it. To judge from experience, it may be truly said, that nothing seems to have any influence in attracting public attention to measures of economy, but a positive deficiency of the revenue. While, in 1827, and in the beginning of 1828, the revenue was deficient, the necessity of retrenchment was heard on all sides, and a Committee of Finance was appointed. But as soon as the April quarter's account of 1828 showed that the revenue was recovering, not a word more was said on the subject, and the Committee of Finance was got rid of by ministers without any public expression of disapprobation. Seeing, therefore, that such is the result of just having revenue enough to go on with from year to year, and that there is no regard to the consequences of postponing the revision of our financial system, it would, perhaps, in the end, be for the public good, if the revenue should not only be again deficient, but continue so for some time.

The state of the finances just at this time, and also of our foreign and domestic affairs, makes it necessary to introduce some further observations in addition to those in the last edition of this work, on the military establishments and expenditure. The following esti-

mate of what will be the balance of revenue and expenditure at the end of the year 1831, if the taxes be not more productive than they have been, will, it is hoped, make the public see the absolute necessity of reducing these establishments:

REVENUE of 1829, according to the Parliamentary Paper called the Balance-sheet * £50,786,000 Add produce of new Duties on Spirits in 1831 600,000
51,386,000 Deductions to be made in 1831.
Beer Duty repealed £3,000,000 Leather Duty ditto 400,000 Duty on Cider and Perry ditto 25,000 Sugar Duty reduced
Revenue for 1831, $£47,511,000$
EXPENDITURE of 1829, by Balance-sheet $\pounds 49,075,000$
DEDUCTIONS TO BE MADE IN 1831. Reduced vote for the Army in 1830
Expenditure for 1831
Surplus of Revenue on the 5th January, 1832 £397,000

As every item in this estimate is taken from statements made in the House of Commons in the last

^{*} Session 1830, No. 11.

session, and as nothing has been added or omitted by way of making the balance less favourable than it probably will be, the result which is produced shows, that instead of having a surplus of 3,000,000l. as there ought to be according to the calculations of the Committee of Finance in 1828, for a sinking fund, there will be one of only 397,000l., making the state of the balance, on the 5th January, 1832, worse than it ought to be by the sum of 2,603,000l.

As the revenue of Great Britain, arising wholly from the taxes for the year ending the 10th of October 1830, was less than the revenue for the year ending the 10th of October 1829, by the sum of 648,000l., and as the revenue of the United Kingdom for 1829 was less than the revenue of 1828, by the sum of 1,237,000l., there is no want of reasons to establish the necessity of diminishing the military establish-

ments *.

But there is already opposed to this view of the question, some supposed want of even an increase of the army, in consequence of the revolutions in France, Belgium, and Poland, and of the disturbed state of some of the counties in England. This may, and very probably will, appear to most people a good

	duce of the		reat Britai		£47,054,000
Ditto	di	tto d	itto	1830,	46,406,000
		Decreas	e •	• -	648,000
	of the taxes o Jan. 1829 ditto	ditto	Kingdom ditto		51,665,000 50,428,000
	See Balar	Decreas ace Sheets		nd 1830.	£1,237,000

reason for increasing the sum of 15,000,000*l*. voted last year for the military expenses; but if it do so, it will be because most people take very little trouble to examine whether or not pleas of this kind in favour

of increased expense are well founded.

With respect to the revolution of France, the truth is, that the early recognition by the Government and people of this country of the new King of France, and the rule of non-intervention which the new Government of France has determined to act upon, have established so good an understanding between the two countries, that the revolution should be considered as extremely favourable to the continuance of peace; for while France and England are in amity with each other, and act together on the principles of maintaining civil liberty, and of respecting the independence of other states, the other powers of Europe will not venture to make war. But should this conclusion prove to be ill founded as to making war against France, there is no English interest that can be pointed out to show that this country ought to become in any way a party to it.

The revolution of Belgium, by establishing a new independent state, removes one of the principal grounds for apprehending war, namely, the supposed design of France to regain possession of Belgium. The revolution of Poland, by providing employment for the armies of Russia, Austria, and Prussia, connected at the same time with the probability of popular movements in these countries, diminishes also the

chance of war.

With respect to the disturbed state of the interior of England, if proper measures be taken for reforming abuses, if the law be duly administered, and if the

civil constabulary force be improved and rendered more effective, as circumstances may seem to require, there can be little doubt that tranquillity will be restored without the aid of the army.

If, however, it shall be decided by Government and Parliament that the military expenditure shall be increased, then it is clear, from the foregoing estimate of the probable condition of the finances at the end of this year, that the expectation of the public to see a large reduction of taxes cannot be realized without imposing a property-tax; so that it is evident that those who will have to pay this tax can have no chance of preventing its being laid on, but by opposing every increase, and promoting every reduction, of the military expenditure.

But if it should so happen that Parliament should refuse to impose a property-tax, and if, at the same time, the public should insist on a reduction of some millions of taxes, then the means of paying the dividends may be shaken; so that all owners of property in the funds have also the greatest possible interest in opposing the increase and promoting the

reduction of the military expenditure.

The great amount of the non-effective expenditure in the Army, Navy, and Ordnance, shows the profusion and the want of due consideration for the public interests with which everything in the nature of pensions, half-pay, and retired allowances, has been regulated. Those persons who were the authors of these schemes ought to have known that 'liberality, exercised at the expense of the public, is but another name for waste and a vice, and that all praise bestowed upon it is false and hypocritical.'

In order to protect the public, for the future, from

this system of making provision out of the public purse for so many thousand persons who, while in active service, receive full remuneration for their time and trouble, it may be well to consider whether any individual not now in public service, who shall be hereafter appointed to any civil or military employment, should be allowed to receive any pension, half-pay, or superannuation allowance, except in special cases, and under the responsibility of Government*.

Army Expenditure.—It appears, from papers laid before the Committee of Finance, that in 1792 the number of all ranks in the Army was 57,251, and that they were distributed as follows:—

	Officers and Men.
Great Britain	17,007
Ireland	. 11,901
East Indies	. 10,700
Canada, Nova Scotia, and Bermuda	6,061
Gibraltar	4,221
West-India Islands	. 6,886
New South Wales	475
	57,251

* The following account shows to what an extent the principle has been carried of granting pensions and similar allowances.

	Per Annum.
Military Pensioners, Half-pay, &c.	£4970,349
Pensions (a)	772,702
Superannuation allowance (b) .	. 485,990
-	
	£6,229,041

⁽a) Paper, Com. Fin., 234. (b) Paper, Com. Fin., No. 89.

In 1828 the number of all ranks was 116,738, who were distributed as follows:—

Great Britai	n	•	•	•	•	•	29,616	
Ireland	٠	•	•	•	•	•	23,969	
Colonies	•	•	•	•	•	•	37,037	
East Indies		•	•	•	•	•	26,116	
						-	116.738*	

The chief part of the increase was thus accounted for:—

				Rank and File	,
Increase in the New Colonies	•	•	•	17,112	
in the Old Colonies		•	•	849	
in Great Britain		•	•	9,096	
in Ireland .				10,363	
in the East Indies	•	•	•	14,287	
				51,70 7	

The following statement was laid before the Committee of Finance by the Secretary at War, to explain what number of troops are necessary for our foreign possessions:—

'There are in the Colonies (et and supposing the troops to Portugal) 52 battalions, e	clusive o have ach at	of I evace 516	ndia, uated rank	26,829
and file, that make There are in the East Indies	•	•	•)	22,560
Maki	ng toge	ther	•	49,392

'One-tenth of this force would have to go out every year (supposing a regiment to be abroad ten years, and to remain at home four years), that is, 4939 rank and file. There ought to be at home four times 4939, that is 19,756; and there ought to be,

^{*} Pap. Com. Fin., No. 79.

besides, a depôt (say) of 120 men for each regiment of the 52 battalions abroad (52 × 120), making 6240 men*.'

According to this statement of the Secretary at War, the total force required for our foreign possessions is 75,388 rank and file, namely,

Number abroad Depôts at home	•	•	Rank and File. 49,392 25,996
			75,388

This explanation of the number of troops which is said to be requisite for foreign service makes it evident that the colonies are the main source of the great expense incurred on the army; and that no very considerable reduction can be made in it until the whole colonial system of management be altered.

The number of rank and file voted for the army in 1830 was 78,282. The means of diminishing this

number are the following:-

1. The reducing of the number of troops in each foreign garrison and in each colony. In 1828, there were 1100 men in the Mediterranean, 5580 in North America, 3479 in Jamaica, and so on; that is to say, much larger numbers than the public service now

requires.

2. The substituting militia and police for regular soldiers in the West Indies. A witness of the highest authority in these matters stated in his evidence before the Committee of Finance, that the duty of soldiers in the West Indies was that of a police. Surely it must be possible to find a sufficiently fit police force without sacrificing English money, and

^{*} Evidence of Lord Palmerston.

the lives of English soldiers, in this sort of local service. The interior protection of our colonies should be left to a militia, as is the case in Cuba, to be paid by the colonies, while the external defence of them from foreign attack should be provided for

by our naval squadrons.

3. Another mode of reducing the army is by the getting rid of some of our foreign possessions. would be the most effectual way; and there ought to be no hesitation about transferring some of them to other countries, and placing others under the East India Company. If this were done in a complete and perfect manner, and if also what has been before suggested, with respect to reducing the number of troops in most of our foreign possessions, and substituting a local police in the West Indies for soldiers, the number of troops that would be required for foreign service need not exceed 30,000; which number, with half as many more for reliefs and depôts, would make the whole force to be kept up for our foreign possessions 45,000. As the reliefs and depôts would be at home, and amount to 15,000, a further number of 15,000 would make 30,000 for home service, which ought to be fully sufficient: so that the total number of rank and file to be voted annually for the army would on this plan be 60,000, instead of 78,000.

Navy Expenditure.—The following sums were voted for 1830:-

For 29,00	0 Seamen	s wages	•	•	•	£980,200
Ditto	ditto	victuals	•	•	•	603,200
Artificers	and Labo	urers in the	Dock	ι-yards		458,720
Timber, a	nd other r	naterials		•	٠	784,000

In 1828 the number of ships was as follows:—

Building .			41
In Ordinary .		•	151
In Commission	•	•	182
			374

Of these 94 are ships of the line.

It appears from the Report of the Committee of Finance of 1817, that in 1792 it was considered likely that the number of seamen might be reduced to 16,000; and the examination of Sir George Cockburn by the last Committee shows that they considered this fact of so much importance, as to induce them to require him to go into a very minute explanation to account for keeping up more seamen at the present time. As the justification made by Sir George Cockburn rested on the state of affairs in Greece and Turkey, and on the piracy then going on in Greece and in the West Indies, the public have now a right to expect a very large reduction in the number of seamen, and generally in the Navy expenditure.

If the expenditure in the Navy, since the war, had been regulated with reference to the naval forces of other countries, it could never have amounted to what it has; and, therefore, many millions of money have been wholly thrown away. Our efforts to increase our naval power could not have been greater, had other nations continued to keep up as large fleets as they did in former times. According to the evidence of Sir George Cockburn, France had, in 1793, eighty efficient ships of the line, and a large number capable of being made efficient. Now she keeps forty in

^{*} Paper, Com. Fin., No. 68.

good order, and has only twenty more*. In 1792, Holland had so large and efficient a fleet, that she was enabled to fight the battle of Camperdown. George Cockburn says, she has now no navy of any importance †. In 1793, Spain had seventy-six sail of the line !; but her navy was so completely destroyed in the battles of St. Vincent and Trafalgar, and on other occasions during the war, that, according to Sir George Cockburn's evidence, she has a very small one now. On the other hand, Russia and the United States have more ships than they had in 1792; but the increase of their ships is very small in comparison with the numbers lost by France, Spain, and Holland, and in a comparison with the present effective number of the fleet of England.

In alluding to the great success of the British Navy in diminishing the naval power of other nations, Sir T. B. Martin stated, in his evidence before the Committee of Finance, 'the glorious fact, that England seemed to have swept from the face of the ocean the fleets of her enemies, by the capture or destruction of 156 sail of the line, 382 large frigates, 662 corvettes, with other vessels, making, in all, 2,506 sail of vessels of war \(\).' Notwithstanding, however, this fact, no less than 67,000,000l. have been granted by Parliament, since 1815, for the effective naval service, just as if the whole of these 2,506 sail of vessels had never been taken or destroyed, and were all ready to be employed against us.

But this is not all; for, after having spent so many millions, it would appear by the sums voted this year

⁺ Ibid. * p. 12. 1 James's History, vol. i., p. 80. § Evidence, p. 20.

for artificers, timber, &c., that the time for reducing the Navy expenditure is not even yet arrived. Sir T. B. Martin says, 'Respecting the force now employed, it may be said, if contrasted with former periods of peace, that we have a fleet in commission approaching more to a war than a peace establishment*.'

If those persons who, by virtue of their offices, have the ordering of what is proper to be done for maintaining a suitable naval force, could lay aside their prejudices, and reason correctly on the duty they have to perform, they would see that no well-founded necessity exists for burdening the nation, in the present state of its relation to other naval nations, with an annual charge of 4,576,000*l*. for the effective expenditure on the navy. Such a rate of expenditure should be resisted in every possible way, as being demanded on apprehensions and calculations wholly erroneous. A firm Minister of Finance should fix on a much smaller sum, and tell the Naval Departments that they must make it answer.

Ordnance Expenditure.—The Committee of Finance, in their Second Report, observe, that in the estimates there are no less than 92 stations kept up in the Ordnance service; namely, 46 in Great Britain, 17 in Ireland, and 35 abroad; and they say that this number appears very great. They suggest that a strict examination should be made into the necessity of keeping up so many.

The Committee state the numbers and expense of

the Ordnance military corps as follows:-

In 1792, 4846 Officers and Men, £151,606 expense. 1828, 8682 ditto 471,543 ditto. The Committee say, that, in referring to the year 1792 as a year with which to compare the expense of the Ordnance military corps in 1828, they have followed the example of the Select Committee of 1817, who particularly called the attention of the House to the low establishments of that year, by stating, 'That as near an approximation to that low scale of establishment and expense, as might be found consistent with our more extended possessions, would be highly advantageous in relieving the burdens and

supporting the credit of the country*.'

The Committee proceed to say they have examined into the cause of the increase of the numbers and expense of the military corps, but that their inquiries were attended with the difficulty of having to rely on the evidence of those persons who, being qualified by official stations to give information, are liable to be influenced by professional feelings. They further say, that when they see the sum required is no less than 471,543l., it may be a matter of doubt whether this corps be not on a larger scale than is necessary to secure the keeping up a sufficient extent of military knowledge, or consistent with a fit and prudent degree of preparation for war when the country is in a state of peace.

This report of the Committee contains the following important general observation on our military expenditure:—'Towards the conclusion of the late war, circumstances obliged this country to have a larger army in the field, and to maintain establishments, much beyond what can, on any reasonable calculation, be requisite on a future occasion. The Committee, therefore, are of opinion, that the establish-

^{*} Second Rep. Com. Fin., p. 20.

ments of the country should be regulated, not with reference to the unusual circumstances of that war, or to the probability of being again called upon to make a similar exertion, but rather with reference to the policy of depending mainly on our navy for protection against foreign invasion, and for the means of attacking our enemies*.'

* p. 20.

CHAPTER XIV.

SLAVE TRADE.—SINKING FUND.—DIVIDENDS.

The Slave Trade.—The great sum of five millions seven hundred thousand pounds has already been expended in carrying into effect the measures of government for co-operating with other nations in putting down the slave trade; and the annual current expense amounts to near 400,000l.* But the attempt appears to have altogether failed. The governments of France, Spain, and Portugal, according to the Parliamentary Papers, make no efforts whatever to enforce the laws for putting down the traffic; and the persons in authority in Cuba and Brazil not only neglect to execute the laws, but, in some cases, have been engaged in it themselves: so that our treaties and laws, where such parties are concerned, are so much waste paper; and spending money to try to give effect to them is a perfect folly. The African Institution say, in their twentieth Report, 'The slave trade has increased during the last year; and, notwithstanding the number of prizes taken, it continues to rage with unabated fury.' Surely these are sufficient reasons for saving the 400,000l. a year now expended to so little purpose.

^{*} This is the amount expended up to the year 1828.—Pap. Com. Fin., No. 176. In the last session, the bounty on each captured slave was reduced to 51.

The Sinking Fund.—Our present arrangement of the Revenue and Expenditure, by which a revenue of 58,700,000l. was calculated upon as requisite for the public service, is formed on the plan of providing 55,700,000l. for the public expenses, with a surplus of 3,000,000l. a year, to be applied in redeeming debt *; so that, if the Sinking Fund were abandoned, and the revenue continued to be as large as it was in 1828, three millions of taxes might be repealed. is obvious, from what has been said in these pages respecting the injurious effects of many of the taxes, that the public cannot possibly derive any kind of advantage from reducing 800,000,000l. of debt at the rate of 3,000,000l. a year, which can be set against the certain good that will follow from reducing taxes to the amount of 3,000,000l. There should therefore be no hesitation about suspending the Sinking Fund, till funds could be got for it, without doing so much injury to industry.

Four per Cent. Stock.—The measure passed in the last Session for reducing the interest on the 4 per cent. stock, has produced a saving to the public of about 750,000l. a year. But the condition which was attached to the operation of making the new $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. stock, that was given instead of the 4 per cent. stock, irredeemable for ten years, seems to have been quite unnecessary, and it may prove to be one productive of a serious loss; for if the funds should rise before ten years expire, so that the 3 per cents. should be at par, the opportunity may be retarded for a considerable time of making a further reduction of interest to the amount of 750,000l. a year, by paying off the new $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. stock.

* Fourth Report, Committee of Finance.

A practice has very unwisely been allowed to grow up, of suffering the Government to make bargains for loans, reductions of dividends, renewing charters, and other important matters of finance, without previously applying to Parliament for authority to do these things. This practice should be abolished, not only as being inconsistent with every sound principle of Parliamentary control over ministers, but as one which experience has proved on many occasions to be productive of great public injury.

CHAPTER XV.

COLONIES.

THERE is no part of the public expenditure which admits of reformation more than that which is incurred upon the Colonies; and if the business of reducing it were undertaken on proper principles, it ought not to be attended with any very great difficulty.

No parliamentary documents show what the whole expense is that is paid by English taxes on account of the Colonies. It is generally estimated that from two to three millions are paid for the Army, Navy, and various Civil charges*; but, in addition to this, the public pay full two millions more for sugar and timber than they ought to pay, in consequence of the increased prices occasioned by the protection given to the colonists by the higher duties imposed on these articles when imported from foreign countries.

A Letter from the Treasury to the Secretary of State for the Colonies, dated the 24th March, 1827, fully explains the want of order, economy, and control, which has existed with regard to this important branch of administration†. This Letter states, that the collective expenditure of five of our Colonies has exceeded, on an account of ten and more years, the colonial revenues applicable to the discharge of it, so

† Papers Com. Fin.

^{*} In No. 54 of the Anti-Slavery Reporter, there is a statement of the expenses incurred on the West India Colonies alone, making them amount to 1,996,186/.—p. 179.

as to have constituted a deficiency of 2,524,000l.; that of this sum, 2,425,000l. has been paid, partly by bills on the Treasury, by advances to the Agents of the Colonies, and by the discharge of debts to the East India Company; that the greater part of this expenditure has been incurred without any previous communication with the Treasury; and that the Treasury has been uninformed, not only of the measures which, from time to time, have led to extraordinary expenses in these Colonies, but even of the state of the ordinary revenues, and of the permanent charges upon them. The Letter further states the great inconvenience to which such an imperfect administration of so important a branch of the public expenditure is obviously liable, and the decided opinion of the Treasury, that some alteration is urgently required in the system on which the financial arrangements of the Colonies have hitherto been conducted.

If anything were wanted to confirm the accuracy of the statements which have already been made as to the profusion, neglect, and mismanagement, with which the public money has been wasted, this Treasury Letter most completely supplies it. This Letter places beyond all doubt the fact, that our expenditure has reached its present amount, not by providing for what the necessity of the public service has required, but by sheer extravagance, arising from allowing the different departments to have their own way in spending whatever money they pleased.

When we see one department giving a lecture of this kind to another, and making public how matters have been going on amongst them, the inference arising from the argument of the probability that great retrenchment is practicable, is converted into a certain conclusion.

The past extravagance of our expenditure on the Colonies renders it highly probable, that if a wise system of management were introduced, a considerable reduction in the charge on the public purse would be the consequence. With respect to financial arrangements, the system of applying the revenues of the Colonies in paying exorbitant salaries, building Governors' houses, making canals, and roads, and improving in various other ways the estates of the colonial proprietors, should be abolished; for the effect of spending them in this manner is a deficiency of means for paying the expenses of the civil government of the Colonies, and the voting annually by the House of Commons of large sums of money out of the English taxes for virtually defraying these local expenses. In addition to this, the control of the Treasury over the Colonial Department should be insisted upon, and fully established. Monthly accounts should be laid before the Treasury, of the revenue and expenditure of each foreign possession; a Colonial budget should be stated to the House of Commons every session by the Chancellor of the Exchequer, and all Colonial expenses should be voted on a distinct estimate. The official establishments in the Colonies should be revised, and reduced to what is merely necessary; excessive salaries should be diminished, and none but efficient officers should be appointed. All restrictions on colonial trade should be taken off, and then each colony should be made to defray the expense of its defence.

With regard to the commerce of the Colonies, all that is wanted, is to give effect to the principle on which the colonial laws of 1822 and 1825 were enacted. The speeches with which these laws were introduced to Parliament by Lord Goderich and Mr. Huskisson proved and established the policy of free trade, and of putting an end to the old colonial monopoly; and this principle the British legislature adopted in the most complete manner, as the future principle of colonial trade, by unanimously passing these laws.

But the trial that has been made of them shows that, in point of fact, they have had no kind of effect in making the trade of the Colonies more free than it was before. The House of Assembly of Jamaica has recently said, 'By the Colonial system, established by England for her own aggrandisement, British shipping, and British seamen, are exclusively employed in our commerce; no article of European growth or manufacture can be purchased unless imported from the mother country, which obtains the benefit of the carrying trade for our supply, and double freights, the Colonies being burthened with the increase of charge. The whole of our produce is, by the same system, sent in British shipping to the markets of the mother country.' The truth is, that the principle of free trade, on which the act of 1825 was founded, has been completely inoperative in consequence of the clauses for imposing duties on foreign goods, according to the proviso in Mr. Huskisson's speech, on proposing this act, that 'the importation of foreign goods into the Colonies should be made subject to such moderate duties as may be found sufficient for the fair protection of British productions of a like nature.' The duties by this act are so high, that England still enjoys all the advantages of the old

monopoly with respect to supplying the Colonies with her productions. The failure, therefore, which was foretold in 1825, of the attempt to establish a free colonial trade, and at the same time give protection to British manufactures, has come to pass; and it is now clear that these two things are quite incompatible, and that there is only a choice between two alternatives,—a choice between absolute freedom, and absolute prohibition.

What ought now to be done, in order to promote, in a certain and effectual manner, the interests both of the Colonies and the British public, is to amend the law of 1825, so as to make it, by repealing all the restrictions of the old system which that law continued, what it was avowedly intended by the legislature to be, namely, a law to give a perfect freedom of trade to the Colonies, and thus get rid, in toto, of

the colonial monopoly.

The principal restriction arises from the duties just now referred to on foreign goods; these should be reduced, so as no longer to be duties for giving protection to British manufactures, but only for obtaining revenue. As the Colonies form so small a portion of the market for British goods, the admission of foreign competition would scarcely be perceived at home. It would, therefore, be a groundless exaggeration to say, that the British manufacturer would sustain injury from the removal of all restrictions on the intercourse of the Colonies with foreigners.

In the states of North and South America, where trade is free with all nations, the great mass of imports are received from Great Britain, because the British goods are cheaper than others; and the same reason would operate in securing to the British manufacturers

the principal supply of the Colonies when all protection was removed.

By the existing law of navigation, the interests of the West India planters are severely obstructed in several ways. A foreign ship is prevented from importing into the Colonies any goods, except they are the produce of the country to which the ship belongs. This cannot fail to operate as an injurious restriction, and, therefore, it ought to be repealed. The idea of such a regulation being of any advantage to the British navy is absurd. By repealing it, the Colonies would be placed on the same footing as the East India Company's territories. Lord Goderich said, in proposing the Act of 1822 to the House of Commons, If we look to the dominions of England in the Eastern hemisphere, we shall find the restrictive system has been entirely and systematically abandoned. The whole of the East India Company's territories have never been shackled with the peculiar restrictions of the navigation laws; and who will say,' said his Lordship, 'that the interests of commerce or of navigation have suffered? or rather, who will deny that they have been materially benefited by the freedom they have enjoyed *?' Mr. Marryat, speaking on the same occasion, said, 'ships under any flag upon the face of the globe had free access to the ports of our East Indian territories, to bring commodities of every description, and to take away theirs in return. They could buy everything where they could buy cheapest, and sell everything where they could sell dearest +.'

The interests of the planters are further injured by

^{*} Parliamentary Debates, vol. vi., p. 1416. † Ib. vol. vii., p. 604.

the navigation law, by the restrictions imposed upon the exporting of colonial produce in foreign ships. The freight of sugar by British ships from the Colonies to the United Kingdom is five shillings the cwt.; while the freight of sugar from Cuba or the Brazils in foreign vessels, to the Continent of Europe, is

three shillings and ninepence*.

But the foregoing are not the only instances in which the interests of the planters have been interfered with under the pretext of upholding the navy of England, but in reality for the purpose of promoting the interests of the shipowners. For instance, by the act of 1825, the following table of duties was enacted for the express purpose of giving to British ships the trade of supplying the West India Colonies with food and lumber:

Table of Duties, by 6 Geo. IV., c. 114, on certain Articles of Provisions, and of Wood and Lumber, not being of the growth, production, or manufacture of the United Kingdom, nor of any British Possession, imported or brought into the British Possessions on the Continent of South America, or in the West Indies, the Bahama and Bermuda Islands included, viz.

Provisions, viz.		£.	s.	d.
Wheat, the bushel	•	0	1	0
Wheat Flour, the barrel	•	0	5	0
Bread or Biscuit, the cwt		0	1	6
Flour or Meal, not of Wheat, the barrel		0	2	6
Peas, Beans, Rye, Calavances, Oats,	Barley,			
Indian Corn, the bushel	• • •	0	0	7
Rice, the 100lbs, nett weight		0	2	6
Live Stock		l0 pe	r ce	nt.

^{*} These prices of freight, and the several other facts hereinafter stated concerning the Colonial trade and revenue, are taken from documents of unquestionable authority, which will shortly be laid before the public.

Lumber, viz.	£.	8.	d.
Shingles, not being more than twelve inches in length, the 1000	0	7	0
being more than twelve inches in length,	_		
the 1000	0	14	0
Staves and Headings, viz.			
Red Oak, the 1000	0	15	0
White Oak, the 1000	0	12	6
Wood Hoops, the 1000	0	5	3
White, Yellow, and Pitch Pine Lumber, the			
1000 feet of one inch thick	1	1	0
Other Wood and Lumber, the 1000 feet of			
one inch thick	1	8	0
Fish, beef, pork, prohibited.			

The annual revenue collected as the produce of these and the other duties imposed by the Act of 1825 amounts to about 75,000l., and the charges of collection to 68,000l.!!!

The effect of the foregoing duties in raising the prices of the food and lumber which the planters are under the necessity of importing, in order to carry on the cultivation of their estates, is made evident by the following statement, which shows what the prices are in the United States and in Europe, and what they are in Canada and in the United Kingdom:—

	O				
• • •			£.	8.	d.
Herrings (Danish) at the Island of	St. Thoma	s, the			
barrel			1	0	0
Herrings (British) in the Colonies,	the barrel		1	11	0
Mess Beef, in Hamburg	do		3	0	0
in United Kingdom	do.		4	0	0
Pork, in Hamburg · · · · ·	·do .		2	6	0
in United Kingdom .	. do.		3	5	0
Red Oak Staves, in United States,	p er 1000		4	0	0
at Quebec .	do		7	8	4
White Oak Staves, United States	do.		6	10	2
at Quebec	do	•	10	6	2

No law, perhaps, that was ever made is so entirely at variance in its enactments with the principle on which it was proposed and professedly framed as the Colonial Act of 1825; and no more conclusive proof can be produced, than that which this act presents, of the errors which have been committed by those persons who either praise or blame the author of it (the late Mr. Huskisson), for having established free trade. The special provisions of this statute for keeping up the remnant of the navigation laws, for imposing the duties just described, and also duties on foreign manufactures, for giving protection, first, to the shipowners; secondly, to the proprietors of estates in Canada; thirdly, to the herring fisheries; and, fourthly, to the provision trade of Ireland, place it in the rank of the most impolitic and objectionable of the old laws for encouraging trade by legislative interference and restriction.

It is absolutely necessary that these enactments should be repealed, as the only true and direct mode of giving relief to the planters, and as the first step for such a reform of the whole colonial system as shall in the end diminish the burdens of the British public, with respect to the great expense now incurred in the civil government and defence of the Colonies.

The incomes of the owners of estates in the Colonies being derived from profits on their capital, and not from rent, the policy of doing what has just been recommended for giving relief to the planters, must be evident to every one; for it is essentially neces-

sary that the prices of the articles requisite for feeding and clothing the slaves, and of all other articles which are of the nature of materials for cultivating the land, and manufacturing sugar, rum, &c., should be kept down, so that the cost of production may be as low as possible. All the restrictions and duties on food, clothing, lumber, &c., are positive taxes on labour, and cannot be justified by any right of Irish, Scotch, or Canadian landowners, or of British shipowners, to claim protection for their particular interests.

If the planters of our Colonies are ever to carry on a successful competition with foreigners in supplying foreign countries with sugar, it is absolutely necessary that these restrictions on food, lumber, &c., should be done away, or that they should be countervailed by continuing to tax the people of England by high duties on foreign sugar. Our present system is the height of absurdity; first to raise the cost of producing sugar by taxing labour in the Colonies, and then to countervail the consequences of it by giving a bounty on exporting sugar, and imposing protecting duties on East Indian and foreign sugars: that is, by taxing the British public with increased prices of sugar, to repay the taxes levied on food and lumber in the West Indies.

The duty of 8l. Ss. a cwt. on refined sugar imported into England, operates as a prohibition of refining in the Colonies for the supply of the British market; and the bounty on refined sugar, exported from the United Kingdom, prevents any refining in the Colonies for foreign markets. If these impediments were removed, the business of refining sugar in the Colonies might be carried on to great advan-

tage, for sugar could be refined there at one-third of the expense it costs in England. This circumstance, together with the diminished expense of freight for carrying refined sugar, would admit of the price of refined sugar being reduced, so as to increase the consumption of it in England, and to secure to it a market abroad. Mr. Bryan Edwards says, that the great progress which was formerly made in the improvement of the French Colonies was chiefly owing their being allowed to carry on the business of refin-

ing sugar.

In order to extend the market for all the different productions of the Colonies, and at the same time do what would be extremely beneficial to the public at large, particularly to the shipping interest, every article of the growth, produce, or manufacture of the Colonies should be admitted into the United Kingdom free of duty, except sugar, rum, and coffee, in the same way that the productions of Ireland are admitted into Great Britain. As a number of the West Indian productions are articles of raw materials of manufactures and trades, such a measure would be of the greatest advantage to them.

If all these measures were adopted which have just been suggested, then the principle of the law of 1825 would have full operation. There would be no obstruction in the way of the colonists turning their skill, industry, and capital to the best advantage in any manner they pleased. Instead of continually looking to Government and Parliament for relief from their distresses, they would either find out how to realize a fair profit in their present pursuits, or withdraw their capital, and place it where it could be

turned to a better account.

But the benefit of these measures would not be confined to the colonists; it would extend itself in various ways to the public at large. In the first place, as the granting of a really free trade to the Colonies would be an abandonment of that part of the old colonial monopoly which secured to England the supplying of the colonies with her productions, the grounds would be laid for taking away from the Colonies the monopoly which they have of supplying the United Kingdom with sugar, and other produc-So long as England forces the Colonies to resort to her for what they have to buy, they are entitled to demand that they should be allowed exclusively to supply England with colonial products; but when we shall relieve them from all vexatious restraints, and allow them to resort to all the markets of the world, they will no longer have any claim to the monopoly of the British market. When the merchants and manufacturers of England shall be deprived of the monopoly of the Colony market, we should be bound, in justice to the public, to deprive the colonists of the monopoly of the British market. It has always been admitted by the ablest defenders of the monopoly system, that one part of it could not be supported independently of the rest; that the two branches of the monopoly must stand or fall together. Lord Sheffield says, 'The British dominions are as much entitled to the monopoly of the markets of the British West Indies, as the latter are entitled to those of the former; and whenever that monopoly is given up, it will be the highest absurdity not to open all the British ports to foreign raw sugar *.'

* Edinburgh Review, vol. xlii., p. 301.
The member of a city, the third in rank for its trade with the

Another most important benefit that the public at large may derive from a really free colonial trade, is the payment by the Colonies of the expense incurred in supporting armies and fleets to defend them. One of the witnesses examined before the Committee of Finance, whose public situation made the evidence given by him of the highest authority on this point, said, that 'attempts have been made in all the West-India islands to induce them to contribute to the expenses of the establishments; and they have always represented that their means of doing so were crippled by the commercial arrangements of the mother country: they have said, "If you will let us trade as we like, and collect our own custom duties, and so on, we will do it*."

This willingness to contribute towards the defence of the Colonies on these conditions is declared by all who are interested in them. The means, therefore; of effecting a very great retrenchment in our present expenditure is entirely in the hands of the legislature, at no greater trouble than that of now doing what it was the declared intention of the law of 1825 to do, namely, establish, sincerely and thoroughly, a free colonial trade.

If the bill brought in by the late Administration, to increase the duties now payable on food and lumber imported into the West Indies, shall pass into a law,

West Indies, expressed himself on this subject as follows, in the session of 1829:—'Let the trade on the part of foreign countries with the West-India islands be opened upon payment of 5 per cent. duty on all articles imported there, and then, and not till then, would be consent to a reduction of the protecting duties on East-India and foreign sugars.' (May 25, 1829.—Mr. Bright.)

^{*} Evidence of Lord Palmerston, p. 146.

it will add a new obstacle in the way of colonial reform. This bill shows that if the late ministers had continued in office, all the distress suffered by the planters, and all the burdens borne by the public for the Colonies, would have continued just as they now exist. The conduct of the new ministers, with respect to adopting or abandoning this measure, will be a test how far they are more capable than their predecessors of wisely directing the financial and commercial affairs of the Colonies.

Another great benefit that will be gained by wholly getting rid of the old monopoly system, will be the removing of all difficulties in the way of forming a correct judgment upon the advantages of Colonies. The prevailing opinion, that large profits are obtained through the monopoly, has always confused the This opinion has been held to be so completely beyond all doubt, that the great value of Colonies has been considered as not admitting of dispute; and sufficient pains have not been taken to trace by facts in what way they are valuable. such an examination been properly gone into, it would have been found that neither the British public nor the Colonies have ever been benefited by the monopoly; and it would also have appeared that the possession of Colonies affords no advantages which could not be obtained by commercial intercourse with independent States.

There are only three ways that Colonies can be of any advantage, 1st, in furnishing a military force; 2d. in supplying the parent state with a revenue; 3d. in affording commercial advantages.

1. Instead of furnishing a military force, the Colonies are always a great drain upon the military

resources of the country, particularly in war, when they occupy a large portion of the army and fleet in their defence. In the last war, while our own shores were threatened with invasion from Boulogne and Brest, our means of defence were greatly crippled by the number of troops and ships we were obliged to keep in the Colonies.

2. With respect to revenue, we have declared, by the Act of the 18 Geo. III., that we will not levy any taxes or duties in the Colonies except for their

use.

3. As to commercial advantages, if the colonial trade were quite free, our commercial relations with the Colonies would resemble the intercourse we carry on with independent countries; and, therefore, whatever advantages we can derive from them are embraced in two questions—1st. Whether our commerce with them is more beneficial than with independent countries? 2d. Whether the capital employed in them is more beneficially employed than if employed in the United Kingdom?

With respect to the first question, it is one easily solved, because, where the employment of capital is free, the nett profit that may be obtained by the employment of it in commerce with independent countries, will always be as great as if it were employed in the colonial trade. The trade we carry on with

the United States proves this.

With respect to the second question, it is necessary to trace the operations of capital when employed in the Colonies, and when employed at home. In the West India islands it feeds and clothes slaves; it pays British agents, clerks, and managers; it employs ships and sailors; and although the gross profit upon

it seems, in prosperous times, to be very high, when all the charges and risks are considered, and also the effects of competition, the nett profit is not greater than it is on capital employed at home. In point of fact, the free competition of capital makes it impossi-

ble it should be greater.

When capital is employed in the United Kingdom -for instance, on manufactures—it pays wages to English workmen, instead of buying clothes and food for slaves; it employs agents, clerks, and managers; it employs ships and sailors to import raw materials, and to export the finished goods; and the rate of nett profit on it, for the reason just given, is full as high as that on capital employed in the Colonies. incomes derived by West India proprietors from profits on their capital are spent like incomes derived from rent, and add nothing to the national wealth; but the profits made on capital employed in trades at home are added to capital, and thus promote the constant accumulation of it. It is clear, therefore, that, on the whole, the public derives no commercial advantage from the Colonies, which it might not have without them.

They do not even afford any advantage, as some persons suppose, by enlarging the field for the employment of capital. The capital which supplies commodities for the Colonies would still prepare commodities if the Colonies ceased to purchase them; and these commodities would find consumers—for every country contains within itself a market for all it can produce. There is, therefore, no advantage derived, under freedom of competition, from that part of the trade with a Colony which consists in supplying it with goods, since no more is gained by it than such

ordinary profits of stock as would be gained if no such trade existed *.

There are still means enough for employing capital with profit at home; if new means were wanted, they would be more effectually obtained by removing restrictions on trade and revising the taxes, than by

increasing the productions of the Colonies.

This general reasoning, which the free principles of trade suggest, in refutation of the imaginary advantages of Colonies, is completely borne out by the experience of facts. The history of the Colonies for many years is that of a series of loss, and of the destruction of capital; and if to the many millions of private capital which have been thus wasted, were added some hundred millions that have been raised by British taxes, and spent on account of the Colonies, the total loss to the British public of wealth, which the Colonies have occasioned, would appear to be quite enormous.

The only conditions on which it can be wise and politic for us to continue to keep colonial possessions are, that the number of them should be greatly reduced; and that those which we retain should contribute the whole expense incurred in their government and defence. Even with such conditions, no advantage would be gained, now or at any other time, by the public at large, unless the planters should prosper and accumulate wealth, and thus add to the general stock of public wealth. It is in order to secure this object, that the public is particularly interested in giving to the Colonies the full benefit of that perfect system of free trade, which everything connected

^{*} Mill's Principles of Political Economy, p. 70.

with colonial reform and retrenchment shows to be

wise and politic.

Dr. Chalmers, in referring to the peace of 1763, says, 'The true objection to this peace was, not that we had retained too little, but that we had retained too much;' namely, Canada, Louisiana, Florida, Grenada, Tobago, St. Vincent, Dominica, and Senegal. 'Millions,' he adds, 'of productive capital were withdrawn from the agriculture, manufactures, and trade of Great Britain, to cultivate the ceded islands in the other hemisphere: domestic occupations were obstructed, and circulation stopped, in proportion to the stock withdrawn, to the industry enfeebled, and to the ardour turned to less salutary objects*.'

In settling the conditions of the last treaty of peace, it was most unwise to retain so many of the conquered Colonies. Trinidad, Demerara, Essequibo, and Tobago, were but little advanced in cultivation: a large transfer of capital was necessary for their cultivation, and there was little or no local revenue

belonging to them.

At the close of the war, the East India Company was anxious to be allowed to have the Island of Ceylon, and it is not too late to give it up to them; but, as large sums of public money have been expended since the war in adding to its value, the Company should repay a part of them, as the condition of becoming masters of this island.

As the Cape of Good Hope and the Mauritius are of no use, except for the defence of the East India Company's possessions, the Company ought to be called on to defray all the expense of their military

^{*} Estimate, pp. 142, 143.

protection; and it is to be hoped that the opportunity which the expiration of the Charter of the Company will offer, will lead to an arrangement which will secure all these objects.

When peace was made in 1814, the English government wished to let Austria have the Ionian Islands; but France would not agree to this arrangement, and, in consequence, they were placed under the exclusive protection of Great Britain, by a treaty

executed at Paris in November, 1815.

Four thousand troops composed the garrisons of these islands, in 1828, with a very numerous and extravagantly paid staff. Every kind of profusion has been carried on in creating civil employments with excessive salaries. The revenue of the islands. which is raised by a very injudicious system of taxation, amounts to about 140,000l. But instead of its being applied, as it was promised it should be, when these islands were taken under British protection, in paying the troops and the expenses of the Civil government, it has been squandered on salaries, and every kind of local expense that the Lord President and the Secretary of State of the Colonies have thought fit to patronise, without—as the letter of the Secretary of the Treasury before referred to states -any previous communication with the Treasury.

As there is now an established government in Greece, why should this country continue to burden itself with these islands? No reason of public policy can be urged to show they are of any kind of benefit to this country. If the opportunity of relieving the public from the great expense which these islands lead to be not now taken advantage of, it will prove that our financial affairs are still managed by the old

rule of listening to interested individuals and their representations of fallacious notions of what the true interests of the country require, and not by a correct understanding of what those interests really are.

The settlement of Sierra Leone and the military posts on the west coast of Africa should be given up. The public derives no benefit from these possessions, either in a commercial or military point of view; and with respect to the slave trade, the use they are of in contributing to put it down is so questionable, as not to justify the waste of money and of human life

which they occasion.

With respect to Canada, (including our other possessions on the continent of North America,) no case can be made out to show that we should not have every commercial advantage we are supposed now to have, if it were made an independent State. Neither our manufactures, foreign commerce, nor shipping, would be injured by such a measure. On the other hand, what has the nation lost by Canada? Fifty or sixty millions have already been expended; the annual charge on the British treasury is full 600,000l. a year; and we learn from the Second Report of the Committee of Finance, that a plan of fortifying Canada has been for two or three years in progress, which is to cost 3,000,000l.

While the condition of the British West Indian colonies is one of continued distress, and with respect to their Civil government and defence, a most severe burden on the finances of the mother country, the Spanish colony of Cuba, under a more successful management, of which freedom of trade is a main principle, is flourishing, and even a source of revenue to Spain. That this is the case will appear by the

following quotations taken from a recent description of this colony, drawn up from Humboldt's Political and Statistical Account of Cuba:- 'Fortunately the liberalized system of trade in Cuba has furnished means for more effectually aiding the mother country ... Havanna now ranks among the first commercial ports in the world . . . A considerable number of the small proprietors cultivate their properties without the aid of slaves. Men thus habituated to the climatemany of them natives—form an admirable supply of militia, and accordingly we find that they are organized throughout the island into mounted militia, there called monteros; and in the towns they form, as well as the free coloured people, regiments of foot militia.' ... 'Humboldt states a remarkable fact, as to the extraordinary consumption in the island of foreign merchandise, which he determines by the excess of the quantities imported over that which is re-exported ... The increase of agriculture and commerce has been productive of a proportional increase of revenue; this M. Humboldt states as high as one million sterling. 'We know that after meeting all the ordinary and extraordinary disbursements, including the maintenance of very large military and naval forces, which have been successively equipped since 1810, there has been a large surplus revenue applicable to local purposes, or to the more general objects of Spain *.

These facts form a complete illustration founded on experience of the soundness of the reasons for the plan of colonial reform which has been suggested in

this chapter.

^{*} Foreign Quarterly Review, vol. iii., p. 400.

CHAPTER XVI.

IRELAND.

THE principal reductions which may be made in Ireland have already been mentioned. Ireland, hitherto so heavy a burden to England, will now become, if her affairs be not strangely mismanaged, a source of great financial support. Industry, and the accumulation of wealth, must have been greatly obstructed by the continued agitation of all parts of that country previous to the settling of the Catholic Question. Mr. Malthus remarks, with great force, and in terms which render his observation peculiarly applicable to Ireland, that 'among the primary and most important causes which influence the wealth of nations, must be placed those which come under the head of politics and morals. Security of property, without a certain degree of which there will be no encouragement to individual industry, depends mainly upon the political constitution of a country, the excellence of its laws, and the manner in which they are administered; and those habits which are the most favourable to regular exertions, as well as to the general rectitude of character, and are consequently most favourable to the production and maintenance of wealth, depend chiefly upon the same causes, combined with moral and religious [instruction*.' But the law which deprived several millions of Catholics in Ireland of their civil

^{*} Principles of Political Economy, p. 344.

rights, established that hostility to laws of all kinds which occasioned general discontent, and that series of outrages and insurrections which kept the whole country in a state of constant alarm and agitation. It placed society under such circumstances as did not admit of the existence of security of property in that complete degree which is essentially indispensable, in order that industry and capital should have their proper effects in bringing into use the natural resources of the country, and augmenting its annual productions; under such circumstances as did not admit of the forming of those habits among the people which are the most favourable to regular exertions and general rectitude of character, and consequently most favourable to the production and maintenance of wealth.

Ireland is not a poor country, and her people unemployed, because she has not had opportunities of being a rich and industrious country; but because her habits have been such, that these opportunities have been thrown away. During thirteen years, from 1802 to 1816, the demand for all her productions was so great, and the prices of them so high, with relation to the cost of production, that had it not been for the defects in her political and moral condition, she must have become a very rich and flourishing country. Had she possessed the same free and tolerant laws, and the same habits, as England, Scotland, Holland, Switzerland, and the United States, an immense accumulation of wealth would have been secured before the fall of prices which took place subsequent to 1816.

Now, however, that the main evil is removed, security of property will be established by every sect being free from all restraint, and feeling interested in coming forward to promote the due administration of the laws; this will draw forth the capital, which, under all disadvantages, exists in Ireland to a very considerable amount, and lead to a rapid progress in adding to it. As the markets of England are open to all Irish productions, and as, at the same time, the cost of production is low in Ireland, the rate of profit must be high; and therefore accumulation, with improved habits, will be very rapid.

All the duties, commercial restrictions, and vexatious Custom-house regulations, which for several years after the Union continued to fetter the intercourse between Ireland and England, having been removed, the foundations are established for rendering the settling of the Catholic Question as completely successful in promoting the prosperity of Ireland, as the most zealous advocates of it have ever anticipated*.

^{*} In the year 1821, a motion was made in the House of Commons by the Author of this work, for a Committee to inquire into the commercial intercourse between Great Britain and Ireland; when he proposed the following measures: 1. The repeal of the Union protecting duties. 2. The repeal of the countervailing duties. 3. The placing the trade on the footing of a coasting trade. 4. The reduction of port charges. 5. The assimilation of the currency of Ireland to that of Great Britain*. Commissioners were appointed to inquire into these measures, and on their recommendation they have all been adopted. It is worthy of remark, that the great value of giving to Ireland the full benefit of the English markets, which these measures accomplished, is never noticed in the speeches and writings of those persons who, of late, have taken the lead as advocates of the interests of Ireland. This, perhaps, may be, in some degree, attributed to negligence on their part, in not having deeply studied the causes which contribute to the increase of the individual

^{*} See Hansard's Debates, vol. v., p. 459.

Accounts are given in the Appendix which place the fact beyond all doubt, of the great progress that Ireland has made since the Union in agriculture, manufactures, and commerce*.

It may still, however, be a long time before the condition of the labouring class will become much better than it now is, notwithstanding a great increase of capital and of employment may take place. What the Rev. Mr. Howlett says concerning the labouring class of England, in his pamphlet on the poor laws, published in 1788, seems to be applicable to the present state of that class in Ireland. He describes the labourers of England as suffering greatly

comforts and the general wealth of nations. But as the discussing of questions of political economy in Ireland seems to have taken the place of the discussing of the Catholic Question, it is not likely that this defect will be of long continuance. Every speech at public meetings, every pamphlet and every newspaper, abounds with observations on the several matters which belong to the science of political economy; such as the employment of labour, wages, population, the accumulation of capital, profits, demand and supply, production, consumption of articles of luxury, pecuniary remittances, and all things connected with the improvement of agriculture, manufactures, and com-The effect of this change in the habits of the wellinformed portion of society in Ireland, is already visible in the evidence given by the witnesses from Ireland, before the Poor Law Committee of last session. This evidence is very superior to that given by the same class of witnesses before any former Committee, and for the most part in strict accordance with the principles of the most approved writers on political economy. There can, therefore, be no doubt, that the energy and natural ability with which the subjects just mentioned will continue to be discussed, will gradually lead to the more severe studying, in Ireland, of the science of political economy, and, in the end, to the general application of it to all matters of domestic policy in that country.

* See Appendix, No. VIII.

in the last century from wages not keeping pace with the price of food; the country, he observes, was advancing, but the population outstripped the demand. He says, 'there may be a constant and greatly-increasing demand for labour, and yet such may be the increase of people, and such the abridgement and facilitation of labour itself, that the price of it may make very slow, if any advances at all. This, in a great measure, seems to have been the case with us. So great has been the increase of our people, that the price of the workmanship has been little advanced, there being generally hands in abundance to perform it, and the master having, as it were, the choice of whom he should employ.'

whom he should employ.'

The nett revenue now paid by Ireland is 3,700,000l., but to this should be added about 300,000l. for duties paid in England, as foreign articles exported from thence to Ireland, making the actual revenue about 4,000,000l. If the population of Ireland be taken

4,000,000l. If the population of Ireland be taken at 8,000,000l, the amount paid by each individual will, on an average, be 10s. The revenue paid in Great Britain is at the rate of 60s. a head. If the improvement of Ireland shall so far increase its wealth as to make the revenue, received from the same taxes as those which now exist, amount to one-third of what is paid in Great Britain, the contribution of Ireland to the general revenue of the United Kingdom will be greater than it now is by a sum equal to

her whole present revenue.

CHAPTER XVII.

SUMMARY OF RETRENCHMENT.

HAVING now gone through the principal heads of the public expenditure, it remains only to make a summary of the reductions which have been sug-

gested.

As the only object of this publication is to show the expediency of reducing certain taxes, and the practicability of making retrenchment, the manner and time of carrying these measures into operation is left for others to settle. It is by no means intended or expected that all that has been proposed, under the conviction of the benefit which would be conferred on the public, should or could be immediately adopted. What is stated, therefore, as practicable in the way of retrenchment, is not to be taken as the proposing of a plan for carrying into effect any hasty or crude reform, but merely as the result of a careful consideration of all the facts of the case.

The following are the reductions of expense which

have been suggested in the preceding pages.

I. Linen Bounties, 200,000l.* Management of Debt, 270,000l. Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, 100,000l. Irish Miscellaneous Services, 150,000l. Slave Trade, 350,000l.†

* The Bounties on Fisheries ceased last April. The Bounty on Sugar exported is considered, by many very intelligent persons, to cost the country at least 100,000% a year.

+ The Bounty was reduced in the last session to 51. for each

Slave captured.

II. Under the collection of revenue, the greater part of 7 or 800,000*l*. a year, which is expended in attempting to suppress sinuggling, would be saved by the proposed reduction of taxes; other expenses might be considerably reduced, so as further to save at least half a million a year; and if the system of drawbacks were abolished, half a million more would probably be saved.

III. What might be saved under the heads of the Management of the Expenditure and Civil Government, would amount to several hundred thousand pounds a year, if salaries were reduced, and the mode of conducting business revised and methodized.

IV. With respect to the military and naval expenditure, if no greater force were kept up either for the army, ordnance, or navy, than is really necessary; if the whole system of our military management of the Colonies were reformed; and if the half-pay and pensions were examined and put on a proper footing, 12 or 13,000,000l. a year, instead of 16,000,000l., would be sufficient for this branch of the public expenditure. There are no other means but by saving here, or by laying on a property tax, of largely reducing the taxes.

V. If one million a year were applied in converting perpetual annuities into annuities for ninetynine years, as a fixed plan of a Sinking Fund, a considerable redemption of debt would be secured, and two millions might be reduced of the present Sinking Fund—supposing the revenue so productive

as to yield a surplus of 3,000,009l.

VI. If the measures proposed for reforming the Civil Government, finances, and trade of the Colonies, were adopted, the whole of the expense now

incurred upon the Civil Government of them, in consequence of the deficiency of the colonial revenues,

might be saved.

In drawing up the foregoing general estimate of what reductions might be made in the public expenditure, it has not been intended to insist that positive proof has been produced of the practicability of effecting them; all that has been attempted in these pages, is to establish a case of presumptive proof, by reasoning on probabilities founded on those facts which are within the observation of every one—to show that no circumstances exist to justify the present expensive peace establishment, and to show the practicability of making the proposed reductions. Such a case as this has certainly been made out; and few, therefore, should hesitate to believe that the Prime Minister of this country, if, acting in every instance with a pure spirit of public virtue and real economy, he should employ the great powers his office confers on him with competent skill, unceasing perseverance, and determined courage in carrying on in every direction the work of retrenchment, would not be able to accomplish all the reductions of expense and other reformations which have been suggested. It is easy to foresee, that unless he fairly make an attempt to accomplish them on a very large scale indeed, the public will be disappointed and dissatisfied, and value as nothing subordinate schemes for effecting trifling improvements.

CHAPTER XVIII.

NEW TAXES.

Although no retrenchment of expense has been proposed in the foregoing statement, for which reasons of greater or less force have not been given, still as the several reductions could not be brought into operation for some time, it would not be right to depend on them only for the means of making good the revenue that would be lost by repealing taxes. The proper principle on which the proposed reduction of taxation and expenditure should be conducted is, that the securing of a sufficiency of revenue should never be a matter of doubt; and, therefore, it is particularly desirable that whenever a measure be taken for reducing taxes on a large scale, there should, at the same time, not only be other measures for reducing expense, but also a new tax or taxes of such a nature as to make quite certain of receiving full as much revenue as will make good all that is wanted for the public service.

In selecting a new tax of such a kind as will produce sufficient revenue to allow a very large reduction of taxes to take place, there seems to be but one opinion with respect to what tax that ought to be. Persons who hold the most opposite doctrines on the subject of our financial, commercial, and agricultural difficulties, in suggesting remedies, have made an Income Tax a part of them. Such a tax, if fixed at about one and a half or two per cent., would pro-

bably yield 3,000,000*l*. a year; for though ten per cent. in 1814 yielded not quite 14,000,000*l*., it is reasonable to assume that the progress of national wealth in the United Kingdom since that year would render a tax of two per cent. as productive as just stated. Although the rent of land may have been diminished, the rents now received from houses built since the peace, and the dividends from money invested in docks, canals, railways, &c., must have added very considerably to the national income.

If taxes were reduced, and monopolies and protections abolished in the way they ought to be, those who would have to pay an Income Tax would not pay much more out of their incomes than they now pay for high prices arising from existing taxation and

monopoly.

Mr. Huskisson, in the last session (March 18, 1830), made the following observations, when speak-

ing of an income tax.

Now, Sir, I come to another question, and I am well aware that, in arriving at it, I am treading upon tender ground. I am well aware how many prejudices I shall have to encounter, and how many views of interest, erroneous views I shall call them, I shall have to contend with. But I feel so strongly that the situation of the country requires that I should state honestly and fairly, as an independent Member of Parliament, those views and opinions which I have long entertained, that I should be unworthy of the situation which I occupy, if I allowed myself to be deterred from stating, in respect to them, that which I believe to be the truth. I must say that after the best consideration which I have been able to bestow upon the subject, I still entertain strong

doubts, whether, after all this remission of taxation (to the amount of 3,800,000l.), there will not still remain a necessity of affording relief to those now unfructifying capitals which are so immediately and essentially the support of our productive industry. If this shall be found to be hereafter the case, such relief can only be administered by transferring the burden from that class whose capital is employed in promoting the active industry of the country, to that class of incomes which is not derived from manufactures and commerce, and which is not so immediately conducive to the maintenance and promotion of that industry *.'

What has been said in the foregoing pages concerning the means of making good the revenue which would be lost by reducing taxes, namely,-1. by increased consumption; 2. by retrenchment, and 3. by an Income Tax; makes it clear that it is practicable to carry into effect a great part of the reform of taxation which has been proposed. If an Income Tax were imposed, and if, at the same time, government make those retrenchments which it has the power of making without any difficulty, a reduction of some millions of taxes might be attempted in the first year; and if the business of retrenchment were steadily and vigorously persevered in, a farther reduction of one or two millions of taxes might be effected in each subsequent year, till the whole plan of reform would be carried into execution t.

^{*} Mirror of Parliament, Sess. 1830, p. 885.

[†] That there are other ways of imposing new taxes, appears from the following extract taken from the pamphlet of Mr. Humphreys. 'We have seen, that the stamp duties charged on real and personal estates, though about equal in amount,

If, in addition to this reform of the taxes, all monopolies and protections were abolished, the condition of every class of society, with respect to pecuniary impositions for the public service, would be greatly improved. There would be less money, to the amount of at least twenty millions a year, paid for taxes and for protecting prices; the prices of all things would be greatly reduced; the cost of production would be considerably diminished in manufactures; the rate of profit on capital would be raised; the employment of capital and labour would be greatly extended; the interests of agriculture, manufactures, and foreign commerce, would be strength-

affect totally different transactions regarding them. Thus, on the one hand, land is not charged with any duties on the following occurrences:-1. Settlements; 2. Devises; 3. Succession on intestacy, although personalty is charged to the amount of nearly 2,000,000l. under these different articles. On the other hand, personal estate, or at least the most important part of it in this country-namely, the Public Funds, Bank and East India stock,—is not charged with any duties on sale, while these form an item among taxes on land amounting, after making allowances for mortgages, to about 1,800,000%. Let, then, each of the foregoing classes of real and personal property be charged with the stamp duties from which it is at present free, but which are borne by the other of them, and an additional revenue will be produced of about 3,500,000/. collected at the smallest expense with a machinery already established, and either included on the transaction, as on sales, or paid with alacrity by those who at the same instant succeed to the property.

Mr. Humphreys further says, that some of the scales of duties on sales of land and mortgages require a more equitable adjustment; that the duties on probates and wills should be graduated by an equal per centage; and that corporations aggregate need some special provision to subject them to a charge corresponding to a succession tax of whatever description.

—Pp. 12, 13.

ened and advanced; the accumulating force of acquiring new national wealth would be increased, and, if peace should continue, the sources of taxation would be so enlarged, that the practicability would be established of carrying on new wars without adding to the debt, and wholly by war taxes. In this way all financial difficulty would be got rid of.

CHAPTER XIX.

THE NATIONAL DEBT.

THE Fourth Report of the Committee of Finance contains the following account of the amount of the Funded Debt:—

Capital of Debt unredeemed .		£777,476,890
Long Annuities		1,331,458
Imperial and Exchequer Annuities		67,718
Life Annuities		617,537
Annuity payable to the Bank .	•	585,740 *

As the great amount of the debt has produced a very general opinion that England cannot embark in new wars without destroying her trade and manufactures, and without so exhausting the resources of taxation as to incur the calamity of national bankruptcy, it is important to make some remarks on the funding system, by which the debt has been created, and to trace its effects on the industry, wealth, and power of the state.

It is now, while we are at peace, that it is proper to make those investigations which are necessary to enable us to form correct opinions respecting the injury which the debt has already occasioned; and respecting the probable injury which will be the result of making additions to it. This opportunity ought not to be neglected for considering these questions, and for digesting and maturing those measures which, after a full examination of the financial circumstances of the nation, may appear most fit to be adopted.

^{* 4}th Rep. Com. Fin. 1828; App. No. 17, p. 124.

But before going into this subject, a few remarks will be made to explain what the extent of the evil is which

the debt has produced.

After the capital of individuals gets into the hands of Government in the shape of a loan, it is immediately paid away in purchasing stores, provisions, or in providing the instruments and materials of war;—that is, on perishable commodities. So that, at the end of a year, or at most a few months more, 'it is turned away from serving in the function of capital to serve in that of revenue, and is spent and wasted without even the hope of any future reproduction*.'

If the capital which, from time to time, has been contributed to loans, had not been so applied, it would still be in existence, and it would have been employed in carrying on some trade, with the ordinary rate of profit; so that it would, every year, have been augmented. The debt, therefore, has not only diminished the wealth of the nation, by the actual amount of the capital subscribed to it, but by the amount also of the accumulation of new capital, which would have followed from the lost capital being productively employed.

This is the great evil which has arisen from the funding system; and had it not been for the wonderful energies of the country in accumulating new capital to replace the immense amount that has been extinguished, poverty and ruin must have been the

result+.

* Wealth of Nations, vol. iv. p. 30. Mr. M'Culloch's edition. † 'The increased exertion and parsimony which were produced by the taxes during the war, make it extremely doubtful whether the capital of the country would have been materially greater than it is, had the general tranquillity been maintained from 1793 to the present time.'—See Wealth of Nations, vol. ii., p. 120, note of the Editor.

The next circumstance to be noticed, as arising from the debt, is the taxation which becomes indispensable, in order to provide the interest payable

upon it.

Mr. Ricardo, in his work on The Principles of Political Economy, makes the following remarks upon the expediency of maintaining, in this respect, the credit and character of the nation. He says, 'Justice and good faith demand that the interest of the national debt should continue to be paid, and that those who have advanced their capitals for the general benefit, should not be required to forego their equitable claims on the plea of expediency. But, independently of this consideration, it is by no means certain that political utility would gain anything by the sacrifice of political integrity; it does by no means follow, that the party exonerated from the payment of the interest of the national debt, would employ it more productively than those to whom indisputably it is due. cancelling the national debt, one man's income might be raised from 1000l. to 1500l., but another man's would be lowered from 1500l. to 1000l. These two men's income now amount to 2500l.,-they would amount to no more.' Mr. Ricardo further says, 'It is error and delusion to suppose, that a real national difficulty could be removed, by shifting it from the shoulders of one class of the community, who justly ought to bear it, to the shoulders of another class, who, upon every principle of equity, ought to bear no more than their share*.'

The annual charge for the debt is 28,372,142l.† The raising of this sum by taxes has quite a different

^{* 3}d Edition, p. 285. † 4th Rep. Com. Fin., App. No. 17, p. 125.

effect upon the national wealth from that which has been explained to be the effect of loans. In the case of taxes laid on for paying interest, instead of capital being contributed, which is spent and lost, a portion of the revenue or income of one set of persons is taken, and paid over to another set, in the shape of dividends. There is, therefore, no positive diminution of the national wealth occasioned by this operation; and the paying of 28,000,000l. a year in dividends is, in point of fact, a transfer of so much money from the pockets of one part of the public into the pockets of another part of it; so, on the other hand, no public benefit would be derived by a forcible reduction of the dividends. And if the taxes for paying the dividends did not fall on the materials of industry, on manufactures, or on food, the principal injury they would occasion would consist in the expense and vexation attending the collecting of them. As a large amount, however, of the existing taxes is of this description, the debt is justly considered as a heavy burden on the industry of the country.

If the facility with which money may be obtained were the only circumstance to be attended to, there is no question that the borrowing system is the best. But other considerations should not be overlooked. Those who are the advocates of this system seem not to have taken any very comprehensive view of its different bearings and incidents. They ought, however, to extend their observations to the remote effects of it, and trace its permanent and ultimate influence. Our present situation, in consequence of the extent to which taxation has been carried, for providing the interest of the debt, ought to remove all doubts concerning its destructive character; for we certainly are

less able than we ought to be to resist whatever attacks may be made on our freedom and independence, as well as to interfere with decisive effect in defence of the liberties of others*.

On the other hand, the public derives some advantage from the debt, for it serves to promote the accumulation of capital by affording, with very little trouble or expense, the opportunity of investing money in stock with the certainty of receiving the interest upon it on a fixed day, and with the power of getting immediate possession of the principal whenever it may be wanted; but a fourth or a fifth part of our debt would be quite large enough for this purpose.

Although the immense efforts that have been successfully made in the last forty years to extend industry and increase production, particularly by the use of machinery, have in a great measure counteracted the evils of the funding system-in the long run, if the expenses of future wars be provided by loans, and if each war add some hundred of millions to the debt, and some ten or fifteen millions of permanent taxes to those we now have, no new efforts to extend industry and production will be able to counteract the effects of the kind of taxes which will, under such circumstances, be imposed. There must be a limit somewhere to taxation, beyond which, if it be carried, national decay will follow; and surely a debt of nearly 800,000,000l., requiring 28,000,000l. of taxes for interest, must have brought the country a long way in the course of approximating to that limit.

^{*} See note, Wealth of Nations, vol. iv., p. 561, &c.

CHAPTER XX.

FUTURE WAR EXPENDITURE.

SEEING what the amount of the debt is, or rather of the taxes for paying the interest on it, and what the consequences will be of new loans, the only conclusion to be come to on the whole case is, that the security and stability of the British empire depend on so managing the finances, that the whole expense of future wars may be provided for by war taxes.

In order to accomplish this, the principal measure to be adopted is to reduce the peace establishment; for if three, four, or more millions were reduced, these would be so many available millions in the possession of the public as resources for war expenditure.

With respect to the practicability of providing for war expenditure, by war taxes, if the reforms with respect to taxation and retrenchment, which have been proposed, were only in part adopted, the country would certainly be in a state to pay, within the year, all the expenses of a war conducted with proper economy.

The great expense which has been incurred, during the peace, upon the Army, Navy, and Ordnance, and the efficient manner in which the money has been applied in placing these services in a high state of preparation for war, should enable us to go to war without a very great additional expense.

There is now expended about 16,000,000*l*. annually on the Army, Navy, and Ordnance, of which

11,000,000l. is effective expenditure. In looking back to the annual expenditure in the last war, it appears that in 1798, when our fleets and armies were very numerous, and actively employed, the war expenditure may be taken at 23,000,000l. If, then, 30,000,000l. should be the annual expenditure in a new war, 19,000,000l. would be wanting in addi-

tion to the 11,000,000l. now expended.

As the Property Tax, and the half of the Assessed Taxes which have been repealed, yielded a revenue of 18,000,000l., if no more than 19,000,000l. were required in addition to our present expenditure, there ought to be no difficulty in raising it; for a Property-Tax of ten per cent., throughout the United Kingdom, should now yield a much larger revenue than it did in 1815, in consequence of the increased wealth of the nation since that time, notwithstanding the reduction of rents which has taken place.

If an Income Tax of ten per cent. should not produce sufficient revenue for all the war expenditure, it would be much better to make it twelve, or even fifteen per cent., than to raise money by loans, or to re-impose the high assessed taxes which were levied last war; for these would interfere with trade and industry much more than a higher rate of Income Tax.

As an Income Tax would chiefly fall on rent, tithes, interest money, and dividends, the large revenue which it would yield, from the moment it was imposed, would not be accompanied by any shock to industry; it would produce no interruption to the usual operations of manufactures and trade; it would not diminish the amount of capital employed on them, nor of the wages paid to the workmen; nor would it have any influence in raising the prices of goods;

and, consequently, it could not much diminish the market for them. There is not, in point of fact, any foundation for the opinion which some persons are known to maintain, that the laying on of a large amount of war taxes would produce an enormous derangement of industry, and that war taxation would throw the country into more difficulty than loans. The heavy war taxes of 1798 produced no such de-

rangement or difficulty.

But, in order to carry into effect a plan for avoiding the necessity of having recourse to loans in a new war, with any chance of success, some preparation is indispensable while we are in a state of peace. In the first place, a moderate Income Tax should be imposed, as has already been suggested, as a foundation to raise, in the first year of war, a full year's produce at an increased per centage; for, if no preparation be made, when war shall happen everything will be in confusion, and all money matters under great embarrassment. There will be no time for deliberation; everything will be done in a hurry; every kind of expedient will be adopted that promises the means of overcoming the difficulty of the moment; and as the raising of money by loans will appear to divest the war of its chief privations and hardships, this will be, in all probability, under such circumstances, the course adopted.

Another indispensable measure of preparation for conducting the finances during war without loans, is the getting rid of the greater part of the unfunded

debt.

The amount of Exchequer Bills issued and unprovided for is about 25,000,000l.

The only advantage the public derives from having

so much of its debt due upon Exchequer Bills, consists in the interest on them being lower than the interest would be on the same sum, if converted into The annual saving may be estimated at 300,000l. But against this advantage, the following disadvantages are to be set:-

1. Whenever public or commercial credit receives a shock, Exchequer Bills come to a discount, and payments may be made in them to Government for taxes, or other purposes, just at the time when the revenue is most likely to be deficient. In the week ending September 4, 1830, the premium fell from 70s. to 50s., and it is now (Dec. 1830) at from 17s. to 19s.

- 2. The existence of a large unfunded debt at the commencement of a war, would deprive the Government of the advantage of being able to raise money on Exchequer Bills until the war taxes should become productive; or, in case of a loan being unavoidable, it would take from the Government the only resource by which combinations of loan-contractors can be defeated.
- 3. As the Government deal chiefly with the Bank of England in disposing of Exchequer Bills, the capital of the Bank is vested in them to a very large amount, instead of being employed in discounts, and thus kept at the command of the Bank, so as to be available whenever a run may take place for gold. As long as this is the case, the currency is not settled or safe, nor the public free from being exposed to the evil of a renewed suspension of cash payments.

For these reasons, therefore, the loss in interest which would be incurred by funding the greater part of the unfunded debt, would be amply compensated

by doing away with these very great disadvantages, which are the consequences of it, and which expose

the country to suffer much larger losses.

The experience of what took place with respect to loans and war-taxes in the last war, affords the strongest reasons for inducing Parliament to come to a determination to carry the principle of war-taxes to the utmost limit in a new war, sooner than raise

money by loans.

A Treasury Account that was laid before the Committee of Finance, contains the facts on which this policy may be justified*. In this account there is a column, No. 3, which shews what the expenditure was in each year from 1792 to 1816, exclusive of any charge for Sinking Fund on the debt as it existed in 1792; and also exclusive of every charge on any debt contracted subsequent to 1792. So that this expenditure is what would have taken place as the expenditure of the war, if, in 1793, the Sinking Fund had been discontinued, and if no loans had been made subsequent to 1792.

Another column of this account, No. 4, has the nett revenue yielded by taxes that was paid into the

Treasury in each year from 1792 to 1816.

This account shows, by a comparison of the total expenditure during the wars of 1793 and 1803, (exclusive of interest on loans borrowed subsequently to 1792, and of payments on account of the Sinking Fund,) with the total revenue paid into the Exchequer. that the excess of expenditure was no more than 172,000,000l., although 509,000,000l. in money were borrowed—so that the difference between 172

^{*} See Appendix, No. IV. + Dr. Hamilton, p. 246, 3d ed.

and 509 millions was expended in paying the interest on the loans raised subsequently to 1792, and in paying the Sinking Fund; both which drains of treasure would have been prevented if war-taxes had been imposed in 1793.

The great sums of revenue raised by war-taxes during the war, place it almost beyond a doubt, that if an Income Tax of five per cent. had been imposed at the commencement of it, and if its rate per cent. had been increased, and if such other war-taxes had been laid on as were actually laid on, according as the expenses of the war increased, the whole expenditure of the wars of 1793 and 1803 might have been provided in this way without having recourse to loans.

The average war expenses for the first five years of the war was about 20,000,000l. When, however, it appears that the ordinary taxes, with an Income Tax of five per cent., and other war-taxes, produced, in 1799, 19,700,000l. more than the total peace expenditure of 1790*, it is evident that the whole of this excess might have been obtained by war-taxes.

* According to the Report of a Committee of the House of Commons on the Public Income and Expenditure in 1791, the following was the amount of the Expenditure in 1790:—

Interest and Charges of the Debt . £10,317,972
Unfunded Debt
Civil List
Other Charges on the Consolidated Fund 105,385
Navy
Army, including Militia 1,844,153
Ordnance
Miscellaneous Services 168,668
15.000.150
15,969,178
The nett Revenue paid into the Exchequer in 1799 (See Appendix, No. IV.) was 35,602,544
£19,633,36 6

With respect to the war of 1803, it can be made appear by the account in the Appendix, that the revenue actually paid into the Exchequer only fell short by 23,000,000*l*. of the whole expenditure of 808,500,000*l*. in that war*.

The great mistake committed by Mr. Pitt, was postponing, till 1798, the plan of war taxes. The paying of the whole expense of the war by this plan, by that time became a much more difficult task to accomplish than it was in 1793; because, in the interval of five years between 1792 and 1798, 110 millions (in money) had been borrowed, and taxes to the amount of 5,700,000l.† had been laid on and permanently mortgaged for paying the interest on this new debt‡.

What has now been stated with respect to preparing for going to war, shows that, if preparations for war are not to be confined to soldiers and sailors, but extended to matters of finance, and the means of paying for armies and fleets, no time should be lost in adopting the following measures:—

1st. Such a revision and reform of taxes, and of commercial regulations, as shall remove all existing obstructions in the way of the extension of industry, and of the accumulation of national wealth; so that the sources of war taxes may be increased as much as possible.

2ndly. Such a revision and reform of the public expenditure as shall carry a strict and rigid principle of retrenchment into every part of it, and reduce the peace establishment to the lowest point consistent with the public service.

^{*} See Appendix, No. IV. Columns No. 3 and 4. † Dr. Hamilton, 3d ed. p. 320. † Ib. p. 246.

3rdly. The imposing of an Income-tax of $1\frac{1}{2}$ or 2 per cent., in order that one of 10 or 12 per cent. may be brought into full operation the moment a war becomes inevitable.

4thly. The funding of at least two-thirds of the unfunded debt, according as opportunities for doing so, without injury to public credit, present themselves.

These are all measures obviously necessary to allow the natural capacity of the nation to meet, in the most effectual manner, whatever difficulties may occur. The adopting of them cannot but be attended with every kind of advantage in peace, as well as in war; and the postponing of them will be nothing short of exposing the British Empire to the most formidable difficulties, and the greatest disasters.

CHAPTER XXI.

LOANS IN NOMINAL CAPITAL.

The loans raised prior to the war of 1793 were obtained for the most part on stock of the same amount as the sums lent, occasionally with a small bonus arising from profits of lotteries, or of a small terminable annuity*. But, in 1793, Mr. Pitt introduced two alterations in the plan of borrowing: 1st, That of borrowing in a nominal capital. 2dly, That of receiving biddings for loans from loan-contractors. Since that time nearly all loans have been made in 3 per cent. stock. These changes have proved very injurious, in consequence of their having prevented the public from taking advantage of the fall of interest on money in diminishing the charge on the national debt.

If it were the duty of a finance minister to raise loans at the lowest possible interest for which money could be procured, he would be right in borrowing in a 3 per cent. stock, or even in one of a lower price. But other circumstances of serious importance should be attended to; for experience has fully established the fact, that whatever may, on the one hand, be gained by borrowing at the lowest rate of interest at the time of making a loan, will, on the other, fall very far short of compensating the public for the loss which will follow, from not having the power to pay off the principal in peace, when the rate of

* Dr. Hamilton, p. 245, 3d edition.

interest shall fall. It is now evident, that the gain which arose from savings of interest during the war, is but very small in comparison with what the loss has been from not being able to take advantage of the fall in the interest of money since the peace. If the millions of money which were borrowed between 1792 and 1816 had been borrowed in stock bearing 5, 6, or 7 per cent. according to the rate which the circumstances of the time of borrowing made unavoidable, the public would have since been able to reduce the charge 1, 2, or 3 per cent. on the amount of the sums borrowed, in consequence of the reduced rate of interest on money.

It has been usual to suppose that the higher rate of interest which the 5 per cent. stock bore above that of the 3 per cent. stock, during the war, was a justification for raising loans in the latter stock: but a reference to the actual prices of these stocks, from 1792 to 1803, will show that the annual average excess of interest on 5 per cent. stock was no more than 12s. 6d. per cent.*; and as the largeness of the sum

,								0									
	3 per Cent.			per Cent. Interest.			5 per Cent.			Interest.			Difference.				
	-			£	8.	d.		-		£.	ε.	d.			3.	d.	
7	1793		72	3	17	11			106	4	14	0			16	1	
	1794		70	4	5	8			101	4	18	11			13	3	
	1795		63	4	15	2			97	5	2	11			7	9	
	1796		69	4	6	11			100	5	0	0			13	1	
	1797		54	5	11	1			81	6	3	4			12	3	
	1798		48	6	5	0			69	7	4	0			19	0	
	1799		53	5	13	2			81	6	3	4			10	2	
	1800		61	4	18	2			90	5	11	1			12	11	
	1801		60	5	0	0			93	5	7	4			7	4	
	1892		68	4	8	2		· o	98	5	1	10			13	8	
													_				
														10)	125	6	
													-		12	6	

of which any particular stock consists, has a considerable effect in bringing down the value of it, in consequence of the greater quantity of it that will be in the market for sale, had the loans been all made in this stock, this exceeding of interest would certainly have been still less.

The case in which the disadvantage of borrowing in a nominal capital at a low rate of interest is the most striking, is when funds can be provided for paying off the loans so borrowed; and to show this, it is necessary only to refer to the loan made in 1798, when 34,000,000l. of 3 per cent. stock were given for 17,000,000l. in money: for if this loan was now to be redeemed, it would be necessary to pay 82l. for every 50l. which was actually received. The debt created between 1775 and 1816, amounted in money to 417,851,817l. The capital that was funded amounted to 589,086,226l. Supposing, therefore, the 3 per cent. stock were to be at par, and that this debt were then to be redeemed, the public would sustain a loss of 171,234,449l.*

Although the system of borrowing on an advance of capital at a low nominal interest is now universally allowed to be wrong, an opinion prevails that Government could not obtain loans of large amount in any other way; but if the motives which induce persons to lend money are examined, there will appear to be no foundation for this opinion.

The prices of the 3 and 5 per cent. stocks are those in the first week of January in each year, and have been taken from a Table in Sir John Siuclair's History of the Revenue, vol. ii., p. 28. The rates of interest have been taken from Fairman on Stocks.

* Dr. Hamilton, p. 246, 3d edition.

When private individuals are the parties, four things are requisite in order to effect a loan. 1st. A party wishing to borrow. 2d. The solvency of the party. 3d. A readiness to pay the market rate of interest; and, 4th. A party possessed of money, by which he seeks to obtain a revenue by this rate of interest being secured to him. When these four circumstances occur, a loan takes place as a matter of course, because it is for the mutual benefit of both parties that it should take place.

When Government is the borrowing party, as the question of solvency is at once settled, as the security given for the loan can at all times be converted into money, and as the interest is always something more than the market rate, Government, instead of being compelled to borrow in this or that stock, ought to be able to choose its own arrangement for carrying into effect what is the real object of the lenders, though not that of the contractors, namely, the obtaining of good and well-secured interest for their money.

Although this conclusion is so self-evident, it is practically true, that for some reason or other the loan-contractors have had their own way, in having nearly all modern loans made in low 3 per cent. stock. The reason given by persons who have experience in these matters, is, that the taste of the public is for lending in a low-priced stock, and that the loan-contractors are governed by it; but this is not a true statement of the case, for the loan-contractors are governed by what is for their own peculiar benefit in making a loan, and not by the taste or interest of the subscribers to it, whom they represent; and it will be found, upon looking deeper into the matter, that the trammels in which Government has been placed are

owing to the change which was made by Mr. Pitt, in 1793, in the manner of raising loans, by which loan-contractors were first made parties to the business.

The manner of transacting loans prior to 1793 was by open subscription at the Bank of England. Terms were proposed by Government, and these were arranged so as to afford the subscribers a small additional rate of interest above the market rate; and the subscription was generally filled in a short time*.

In 1793, Mr. Pitt introduced the plan which has since been regularly acted upon; namely, that of the Chancellor of the Exchequer fixing the funds in which the loan is to be made, and giving public intimation that he is ready, on a certain day, to receive offers, and assign the loan, to the party who may be willing to propose the lowest terms. The consequence of this course of proceeding has necessarily been, that one individual, or one banking or mercantile firm, has become the representative of a body of subscribers willing to take the loan on certain conditions, arranged among themselves; but the individuals making the offers have had not only the interests of the subscribers to their lists to attend to, but their own special interests as contractors: these have sprung up from their subscribing large sums, with, however, no intention of paying them, but wholly with the view of making a profit by selling their new stock immediately after they are declared to have got the loan.

Under these circumstances, it becomes the interest of the contractors to deal in 3 per cent. stock in preference to any other, because, in consequence of its

^{*} Dr. Hamilton, p. 64, 1st edition.

large amount in the Bank-books, it is always more marketable; and hence it is, that, whatever may be the competition among these contractors, with respect to the rate of interest for which they are willing to make a loan, there is always a combination among them, founded on their mutual interests, to force

Government to make it in a low-priced stock.

This scheme of raising loans by dealing with loancontractors, adopted by Mr. Pitt in 1793, was the result of the ingenious reasoning of great monied men, plausibly impressed upon him to lead him into a plan for securing to themselves great gains; and it is an additional instance of their ingenuity in advancing their own interests at the expense of the public, to say that, in requiring loans to be made in 3 per cent. stock, they are governed by the taste of the public for lending their money in a low-priced stock. The truth is, that those who are willing to lend their money to Government have no other object but to obtain a good rate of interest; and that, if they were allowed to subscribe to loans as formerly, the Government would be able, as formerly, to obtain loans on favourable terms, as to the rate of interest, for stock of the same denomination as that of the money actually lent.

This conclusion is borne out by the experience of the effects of the old mode of transacting loans; for, notwithstanding they were almost all made from 1735 to the end of the American war, in real capital, the rate of interest seldom exceeded that which the price of the funds indicated as the market rate of

money.

The loans raised in the seven years' war amounted to 53,000,000l., and the capital that was funded

amounted to 54,230,000l.* The loans raised in the American war amounted to 91,763,842l., and the capital that was funded amounted to 115,267,993l.

The history of the finances of this country since 1793, presents several instances of enormous loss, occasioned by mismanagement. A great many millions have been wasted in the following ways:-First, by the Sinking Fund of Mr. Pitt; secondly, by raising loans in nominal capital; thirdly, by the Dead Weight Loan; fourthly, by bad bargains for funding Exchequer Bills; and, fifthly, by the Life Annuity scheme. No country has ever paid more dearly for the ignorance of its legislators in those things which are taught by the science of political economy; for it is to pure ignorance, and not to any improper motives, that this immense loss of public property is to be attributed. Fortunately the force of common sense is contributing to the rapid extension of this science, in defiance of all the attempts of interested or prejudiced individuals to decry it; and we may look forward to great practical relief from existing burdens and obstructions in finance and trade as the certain effect of its progress.

^{*} Dr. Hamilton, p. 169, 1st edition. + p. 246, 3d edition.

CHAPTER XXII.

TERMINABLE ANNUITIES.

The making of loans in Terminable Annuities has this great advantage over all other plans, that the extinction of each loan is secured for a very moderate annual charge. By this plan, time does that necessarily for the public, which, if left to Sinking Fund Schemes, might possibly never be done.

Although this plan of borrowing is accompanied with a higher rate of annual charge on the sum borrowed, than is necessary when borrowing in a perpetual annuity, this may be made very light by granting the annuity for a long period. The following extract, from Dr. Price's work on Annuities, fully explains the advantage of borrowing in Terminable Annuities, over the practice he refers to, as commonly adopted: 'It is obvious that accumulating debt so rapidly, and mortgaging posterity for eternity, in order to pay the interest of it, must, in the end, prove destructive. Rather than go on in this way, it is absolutely necessary that no money should be borrowed, except in annuities which are to terminate within a given period. Were this practised, there would be a limit beyond which the national debt could not be increased, and time would do that necessarily for the public, which, if trusted to the conductors of its affairs, would never be done. I am sensible, indeed, that the present burdens of the state would, in this case, be increased, in consequence of the greater present interest which would be necessary to be given for money; but I do not consider this as an objection of any weight: for let an annuity be for one hundred years, such an annuity is, to the present views of man, nearly the same with an annuity for ever; and it is also nearly the same in calculation; its value at four per cent. being twenty-four and a half years' purchase; and therefore, only half a year's purchase less than the value of a perpetual annuity. Supposing, therefore, the public able to borrow money at four per cent. on annuities for ever, it ought not to give above 1s. 7d. per cent. more for money borrowed for one hundred years. But should it be obliged to give a quarter, or a half per cent. more, the additional burden derived from hence would not be such as could be very sensibly felt, and the advantages arising from the necessary annihilation of the public debt by time, would abundantly overbalance them *.'

If all the loans which have been raised since the beginning of the war of 1739, had been borrowed in annuities for ninety-nine years, in eight years from this time the extinction of them would commence, and in eighty-four years the whole debt incurred, up to 1815, would be extinguished; more need not be said to prove the expediency of borrowing in Terminable Annuities.

The objection that is made to raising money by this plan is the same as that made to borrowing in stocks of real capital, namely, a supposed unwillingness on the part of the public to lend money in any but a low-priced perpetual stock. This has been shown to be an objection resting on no solid founda-

^{*} Dr. Price on Annuities, vol. i., p. 273.

tion; and it is quite certain that if Government wished to raise loans on Terminable Annuities, it would be sure of obtaining them (after, perhaps, some difficulty in counteracting the schemes and combinations which old loan-contractors would at first enter into to thwart it) by having an open subscription, and offering a proper rate of interest, and by not being checked by the failure of the first attempts.

The mere statement of the operation of the act of 1829, for enabling the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund to give in exchange Terminable Annuities for money or Stock, proves the correctness of these observations. From the 23d of Nov. 1829 to Dec. 18, 1830, the progress of the Terminable Annuities

has been as follows:—

For Annuities for Terms of Years.

Money actually paid Money value of stock transferred	£. 1,856,435 8,183,589	13	6
	£10,040,025	2	8

For Life Annuities.

ror Lije Ani	nuines.
·	£. s. d
Money taken	. 380,162 10 3
Money value of stock .	. 432,957 10 11
	813,120 1 2
Add as above	. 10,040,025 2 8
Total .	£10,853,145 3 10

It is by making a proper use of Terminable Annuities, that the most easy and, at the same time, the most effectual means can be secured of redeeming a considerable part of the debt; and, therefore, if any

Sinking Fund be kept up, the whole of it should be applied in commuting some of the annuities for ever, of which the debt consists, into Long Annuities*.

With respect to getting rid of the present great amount of unfunded debt, nothing would be more for the public advantage than paying it off gradually by giving Long Annuities for Exchequer Bills, instead of funding them in 3 per cent. stock.

* See the Speeches of the Author in 1823, in the House of Commons, for an explanation of the operation of terminable annuities in redeeming debt.—Hansard's Debates, vol. viii., pp. 536, 548; and see Appendix, No. V.

CHAPTER XXIII.

ACCUMULATION OF CAPITAL.

The power possessed by this country, and at all times in full activity, of annually accumulating several millions of new capital, is that peculiar property which has brought it, in defiance of all kinds of difficulties, to its present state of abundant wealth, and which will probably lead to such a further increase of wealth, as will make what now seem to be circumstances of depression and decline, when looked back upon some years hence, appear to be nothing more than groundless and frivolous apprehensions.

It has been shown in the preceding pages, 1st. That it was this power of accumulation which carried the country successfully through the financial efforts of the last war. 2dly. That since the war it has been greatly extended. 3dly. That, by adding to the national wealth, it has greatly lightened the pressure of taxation. And 4thly. It has been shown, that by taking proper measures for removing all obstructions in the way of its further extension, and for promoting its extension, the country will be in such a condition, as to wealth, that provision may be made by war taxes for the whole expenses of future wars.

Although a great many circumstances have been pointed out in considerable detail, that are great obstructions in the way of the accumulation of capital: such as the taxes on materials, on manufactures, and

on food; the protecting system, and the excessive taxes on some principal articles of consumption; there are, besides, other parts of our commercial system, which are extremely injurious in diminishing the employment of capital and labour, and which ought to be removed: for instance, the protection given to the shipping interest*; the usury laws; the monopoly of the East India Company; the Bank monopoly; and the prohibition of the exportation of machinery.

Each of these measures prevents the capital, labour, and skill of the nation, from being as productive as they would be, if they could be freely employed. But the manner in which this effect is occasioned has been so fully explained in numerous works which have recently been published, that it would be merely to repeat what they contain to say

* The shipping interest is protected in the following ways.

1. By prohibiting the use of foreign-built ships, 6 Geo. IV. c. 110, \S 2 and 25; and by preventing any ship from continuing to enjoy the privilege of a British ship, after the same shall have been repaired in a foreign country, if the repairs exceed the sum of 201. a ton. 6 Geo. IV. c. 189, \S 6.

2. By a duty of 41. 15s. a cwt. on foreign-dressed hemp, and

a duty of only 4s. 8d. on undressed hemp.

3. By preventing more than 50,000 tons of coals, culm, and cinders, from being brought to London by inland navigation. 45 Geo. III. c. 128.

4. By a duty of 21.15s. a load on European timber, and a

duty of 10s. a load on American timber.

5. By a duty of 81. 8s. a cwt. on refined sugar when imported.

6. By duties on food and lumber imported from the United

States into the West Indies.

7. By preventing a considerable number of articles from being imported, called enumerated goods, except in British vessels, and by those provisions in the navigation laws which allow none but British vessels in the Coasting and Colonial trades.

more upon the subject*. The vast importance of getting rid of every kind of impediment in the way of the accumulation of capital, points out the expediency of losing no opportunity of removing all these obstructions.

A review of all that has been suggested to be done, to place the finances and industry of the country on a proper footing, exhibits the consolatory fact, that it may be effected without any difficulty beyond that of having to contend against the importunities of those persons who are interested in the monopoly and regulating system; for there is nothing wrong which may not be set right by the mere repeal of some erroneous legislative enactment, and, therefore, all that is wanted is to undo what has been badly done.

The statements in these pages which show what has been the quantity of foreign manufactures imported since 1825, the supposed era of free trade; what the state is of the colonial trade, and what the existing restrictions are on shipping and navigation, when coupled with the actual state of the banking trade, of the trade with India, and of the usury laws; place beyond all doubt the fact that nothing can be more utterly groundless than the common notion that the changes, in 1825, of our commercial laws, established free trade. The arguments and conclusions, there-

^{*} See the following works—Bentham on Usury, the Edinburgh Review, vol. xxvii., p. 339, and the Report of the Committee of the House of Commons on the Usury Laws.—'Free Trade and Colonization in India.'—'The Chinese Monopoly Examined,' by Mr. Crawford.'—The Reports of the Committee of the House of Commons, Session 1830.—'Observations on Paper Money, &c.,' by Sir Henry Parnell, Bart.—Report of Select Committee of the House of Commons on the Exportation of Machinery, Session 1825.

fore, of those persons who so confidently maintain that the nation has been ruined by free trade, are quite worthless, and the evils which exist must be traced to Those individuals who so much some other cause. fear a system of free trade, instead of assuming it to be wrong, should attempt to refute the arguments which are advanced to show that free trade affords the most extensive employment of capital and labour, and thus contributes to the greatest possible amount of annual productions, and to the most rapid accumulation of national wealth. The advocates of it desire to have its merits decided by the practical utility of the principle, as illustrated by experience; and they fearlessly refer to the facts belonging to every branch of trade, and to all experience, for the confirmation of the soundness of their doctrines.

If once men were allowed to take their own way, they would very soon, to the great advantage of society, undeceive the world of the error of restricting trade, and show that the passage of merchandise from one state to another ought to be as free as air and Every country should be as a general and common fair for the sale of goods, and the individual or nation which makes the best commodity should find the greatest advantage. The distance and expense of carriage are sufficient reasons for any nation's preferring its own goods to those of others, and when these obstacles cease, the stranger is preferable to our own countryman, otherwise domestic trade injured instead of being favoured. For these reasons, trade claims liberty instead of those protections by which it has been discouraged.

Happily, the time, if not yet arrived, is rapidly approaching, when the desire to reduce the principles

of trade to a system of legislative superintendence, will be placed in the rank of other gone-by illusions. The removal of obstacles is all that is required of the legislature for the success of trade. It asks nothing from Government but equal protection to all subjects, the discouragement of monopoly, and a fixed standard of money. Restraints, regulation, inspection, have no success. All that is wanted is to let loose from commercial restriction, protection, and monopoly, the means the country has within itself by force of individual exertion of protecting and promoting its interests, to secure its future career in all kinds of public prosperity.

APPENDIX.

APPENDIX.

No. I.

ARTICLES,

Being Materials of Manufactures, Buildings, Ship-Building, &c. &c.

An Account of the Net Produce of the CUSTOMS DUTIES in the United Kingdom, as stated in the Finance Accounts for the Year 1827, on each of the following Articles; and showing the Rate of Duty on each Article:—

ARTICLES.	Net Prodi in the United Kir		RATES OF DUTY.
Year 1827: Annotto		d. 10	Flag Annotto, 2d. per lb. Other sorts, 1s. per lb.
Antimony, crude	697 15 1,123 2	9 6	Other sorts, 13. per 10. 15s. per cwt. Of British Possessions, 1s. per cwt. Of other places, 2s. per cwt.
Ashes, Pearl and Pot	5,777 13	2	Of British Possessions, free.
Barilla and Alkali	79,419 9	11	Of other places, 8s. per cwt. If not containing a greater proportion of mineral alkali than 20 per centum, Until the 6th Jan. 1829, . 8l. 10s. per ton. From 5th Jan. 1829, . 10s. per ton. From and after 5th Jan. 18305l. per ton. If containing a greater proportion of alkali than 20 per cent., higher rates of duty, in proportion to the amount of excess.
Bark, Oak, and Corktree	29,138 4 5,243 8	4	8d. per cwt. Rough, 6d. per cwt. Refined, 6s. per cwt.
Borax	2,737 2	8	In flour, 9s. 9d. per cwt. Refined, 6d. per 1b.
Bristles	25,868 19	9	Unrefined, 3d. per lb. Dressed, is. per lb. Rough and in the tufts, and not sorted, 24d. per lb. Sorted, or arranged in colours, and not en- tirely rough and in tufts, 34d. per lb.
Cochineal	4,162 13	11	Of British Possessions, 2d. per lb. Of other places, 6d. per lb.
Cork	20,390 5	8	8s. per cwt.

ARTICLES.	Net Produ in the United Kin		RATES OF DUTY.
Dye and Hardwoods, viz: Boxwood	1. s. 2,179 1 634 17 100 14 479 18 4,498 6 169 19 821 14 648 8 1,441 8	d. 9 7 5 8 2 9	Of British Possessions, 1l. per ton. Of other places, 5l. per ton. Brazil Wood, 5l. per ton. Zebra Wood, 5l. per ton. Zebra Wood, 5l. per ton. 15s, 8d. per ton. 15s, 9d. per ton. 15s, per ton. Of British Possessions, 10s. per ton. Of any British Possession, and imported directly from thence, 15s. per ton. Otherwise imported, 10l. per ton. Green Ebony, of and from any British Possession, 3s. per ton. From any British Possession, 1s. per ton. Of British Possession, 1s. per ton. Of British Possession, 1s. per ton. Of other places, 4s. 6d. per ton. Of other places, 4s. 6d. per ton. Of other places, 4s. 6d. per ton. Of Bermuda or the Bahama Islands, and imported direct from thence, or imported direct from the
Nicaragua Wood	8 1 74 3 97 9 7,276 5 3,257 12 5,523 17	2475493	cleared out from the port of Belize, 2l. 10s. From Jamaica, 4l. per ton. Otherwise imported, 7l. 10s. per ton. 15s. per ton. 7s. per ton. 12s. per ton. 11. per cwt. 2l. 4s. per cwt. 1d. per cwt.
Hemp and FlaxFurs	, 81, 27 6 9	Ö	Badger, Js. 6d. each. Bear, from any British Possession in America 2s. 6d. each. — from other places, 4s. 6d. each. Beaver, from any British Possession in America, 4d. each. Cat, from sup British Possession in America, 3d. each. Cat, from sup British Possession in America, 3d. each. Coney, 1s. per 100. Ermine, 8d. each. Coney, 1s. per 100. Ermine, 8d. each. — from any British Possession in America, 6d. each. — from ther places, 1s. each. Flot, from any British Possession in America, 4d. each. — from other places, 8d. each. Lion, 6s. each. Lion, 6s. each. Lion, 6s. each. Mink, from any British Possession in America, 3d. each. — from other places, 6d. each. Mink, from any British Possession in America, 3d. each. — from other places, 6d. each. Mink, from any British Possession in America, 2d. each. — from other places, 4d. each. — from other places, 4d. each.

Furs,—(continued).	s.	d.
Galls		9
Arabie		2
Guaiacum		3
Lac, of all sorts 5,102		1
Senegal 4.601	6	8
Tragacanth	0	0
— Human 2,749 — of all other sorts 922	8	5 3
Hemp 104,473	. 2	9
Hides, not tenned or dressed 25,104	18	5

RATES OF DUTY.

ARTICLES.	Net Produce in the United Kingd	RATES OF DUTY.
	.— .	
Furs,—(continued).	ł. s. d.	Mole, 6d. per doz. Musquash, 1s. per 100. Nutria, 12z, 6d. per 100. Otter, from any British Possession in America, 1s. each. — from other places, 1s. 6d. each, Ounce, 7s. 6d. each, Panther, 9s. 6d. each, Raccoon, from any British Possession in America, 1d. each, — from other places, 2d. each, Sable, 8s. 4d. each.
		Squirrel, undressed, 11s. 6d. per 100. — tawed, 17s. 6d. per 100. Swan, 1s. each. Tiger, 9s. 6d. Weasel, 4s. 9d. per 100. Wolf, from any British Possession in America, 1s. each. — from other places, 2s. each. — tawed, 17s. 6d. Wolvarine, from any British Possession in America, 6d. each.
		Unenumerated, undressed, 20l. per cent. ad valorem.
Galls		5s. per cwt.
Animi and Copal		Rough, and in no way cleaned, 5d. per lb. Scraped, or in any way cleaned, 6d. per lh.
Arabie	9,075 1 8	From any British Possession, 6s. per cwt. From other places, 12s. per cwt.
Guaiacum	673 17 3	
Lac, of all sorts	5,102 2 1	Cake-ha and Lac-lake, 10t. per cent. ad val. Lac-dye, Seed-he, and Stick-lac, 5t. per cent. ad valorem. Shell-lac, 20t. per cent. ad valorem.
Senegal	4,601 6 8	From any British Possession, 6s. per cwt. From other places, 12s. per cwt.
Tragacanth	1,055 12 0	ls. per lb.
Hair, Horse	3,199 () 6	6d. per ewt. after 10 August 1828.
— Human of all other sorts	2,749 8 5	1s. per lh. Camels, of any British Possession, free.
		— of other places, 1d, per lb. Cow, Ox, or Elk, 2s. 8d, per cwt. Goats, of any British Possession, free. — of any other place, 1d, per lb. Unenumerated, 5d, per cent, ad valorem.
Hemp	104,473 2 9	Dressed, 4l. 15s. per cwt. Undressed, of any British Possession, or of New Zealand, free.
Hides, not tanned or dressed	26,104 18 5	of any other place, 4s. 8d. per cwt. Dry, of any British Possession, 2s. 4d. per cwt. of other places, 4s. 8d. per cwt. Wet, of any British Possession, 1s. 2d. per cwt. of other places, 2s. 4d. per cwt. Of the West Coast of Africa, not exceeding
tanned	2,219 8 0	14lbs. each, 2s. 4d. per cwt. Horse, Ox, or Cow, tanned, and not otherwise dressed, of any British Possession, 3d. per lb.

ARTICLES.	Net Produ in the United Kin		RATES OF DUTY.
Hides, tanned,—(continued).	l, s.	d.	Horse, of other places, 6d, per lb. out or trimmed, of any British Possession, 44 per lb. of other places, 9d. per lb. Losh Hides, 1s. 8d. per lb. Muscovy or Russia, 15s. each,
Horns, Horn-tips, and pieces or Horns. Indigo. Iron, in bars, or unwrought of other sorts	814 10 31,378 12 21,431 0	8	23. 4d. per cwt. Of any British Possession, 3d. per lb. Of other places, 4d. per lb. Of other places, 4d. per lb. Of other places, 1l. 10s. per ton. Of other places, 1l. 10s. per ton. In rods, or drawn or hammered, less than 4 inch square, 5s. per cwt. Cast, 10l. per ceatum, ad valorem. Hoops, 1l. 3s. 9d. per cwt. Old, 12s. per ton. Ore, 5s. per ton. Pig, of any British Possession, 1s. 3d. per ton. — of other places, 10s. per ton. Wire, 1l. per cwt. Wrought, unenumerated, 20l. per centum ad
Juniper Berries. Lead, Pig. — Black Madder and Madder-root. Mother-of-Pearl Shells. Oil, Olive. — Palm. — Train, Spermaceti & Blubl — Oker. Orchal and Orchelia Paper Pitch. Platting of Chip and Straw. Quicksilver. Rags, &c. for making Paper. Saltpetre. Seeds of all sorts (including Buck Wheat).		3 6 3 9 5 3 9 9 10 1 0 8 7 6 6 9 9	Of other places, 2l. 7s. 6d. per cwt. 1ls. 1d. per cwt. 2l. per ton. 4s. per cwt. Madder, 6s. per cwt. Madder, 6s. per cwt. 5l. per centum, ad valorem. 2l. 6d. per cwt. 5l. per centum, ad valorem. 2s. 6d. per cwt. Of British fishing, 1s. per ton. Of Foreign fishing, 2sl. 12s, per ton. 6s. 9d. per cwt. 3s. per cwt. 3s. per cwt. Brown Paper, 3d. per lb. Unenumerated Paper, 9d. per lb. Of any British Possession, 9d. per cwt. Of Bast Cane, Chip, or Horsehair, 1l. per lb. Of Straw, 17s. per lb. 6d. per lb. 5s. per ton. 6d. per cwt. Accorns, 1s. per bushel. Aniseed, 3l. per cwt. Buck Wheat, 14s. per quarter until 15th July, 1828, from which date this article became subject to the Scale of Duties fixed by Act 9 Geo, 1V. c. 66, as Corn. Burnet, 1l. per cwt. Canary, 3l. 1 per cwt. Carary, 9l. 10s. per cwt. Carrot, 9d. per lb.
			Chover, Lucerne, Trefoil, and Grass Seeds of all sorts, it, per cwt. Coriander, 15s, per cwt. Cummin, 1L per cwt. Fennel, 9d, per th, Fenngreek, 9s. 6d. per cwt. Flux and Linseed, it, per quarter.

ARTICLES.

Net Produce in the United Kingd

..... 3,515 17

l. s. d.

Seeds of all sorts,-(continued).

RATES OF DUTY.

Forest and Garden, unenumerated, 6d. per lb Hemp, of any British Possession, 1s. per qr.
of other places, 2l. per quarter.
Leek and Onion, 1s. 6d. per 1b. Millet, 11s. 6d. per cwt. Mustard, 8s. per bushel. Parsley, 1d. per lb.
Peas for seed, 7s. 6d. per bushel.
Piony, 6d. per lb. Quince, 3s. per 1b. Rape, 10s. per last. Tares, 10s. per quarter. Worm, 1s. 6d. per 1b. Unenumerated, 30l. per centum, ad valorem. ls. per cwt. 18, per en.,
1d. per lb.
Not dyed, viz. Organzine and Crape, 5s. per lb.
Not dyed, viz. Tram, 3s. per lb.
Sindes, 9s. per lb. Singles, 2s. per lb.
Dyed, viz. Organzine and Crape, 6s. 8d. per lb. Singles or Tram, 4s. per lb.
Calf and Kid, undressed, of any British Possession: Dry, 2s. 4d. per ewt. Wet, 1s. 2d. per cwt. Of other places:
Dry, 4s. 8d. per cwt.
Wet, 2s. 4d. per cwt. Calf, tanned, and not otherwise dressed, 9d. per lb. , cut or trimmed, 1s. 2d. per lb. -, tawed, curried, or in any way dressed, 1s. per 1b. Deer, of any British Possession in America,

Skins, not being Furs 21,034 16 6

Silk, raw, waste, knubs, & husks 15,608 2 - thrown 112,768 2

> -, undressed or shaved, 4d. each. Dog, 10d. per dozen. Dogfish, 5s. 2d. per dozen. Elk, 1s. each. Elk, 13. each.
> —, tanned, 2l. per dozen.
> —, tanned, 2l. per dozen.
> Kid, 1s. 6d. per 100.
> —, dressed, 10s. per 100.
> —, dressed and dyed, or coloured, 15s. per

-, of other Places, 2d. each. -, Indian, half dressed, 8d. each.

100.

Lamb, 1s. 6d. per 100.
______, tanned or tawed, 10s. per 100. —, tanned or tawed, and dyed or co-

loured, 15s. per 100.

dressed in oil, 4l. per 100.

Seal, of British taking, and direct from Newfoundland, 1d. each.

-, of Foreign taking, 1s. each. , otherwise imported, 3d. each.

Sheep, 1s. per dozen. -, tanned or tawed, 2l. per 100.

-, dressed in oil, 4l. per 100. Unenumerated, undressed, 201. per cent., ad valorem. -, tanned, &c. 75l, per cent., ad

valorem.

1s. per 100.

ARTICLES.		Produce in the ed Kingd,	RATES OF D	UT	γ.			
_								
Smalts	12,5	l, s. d. 617 15 2 606 11 5	6d, per lb. Of any British Possession 11ard, tl. 8s. per ev Soft, tl. 3s. per ewt Of other places: 11ard, 4l. 10s. per c	vt. · wt.		st fi	ıdie	s:
Spelter Stones, viz. Marble blocks Tallow	8	345 2 7	Soft, 3l. 11s. 3d. pe 10s. per cwt, 1s. per solid foot. From any British Possessi			, Fi	ror	ır.
Tar,			1s. per cwt. From other places, 3s. 2d. 1 Of any British Possession,	erc	wt.			.,
	,		Of other places, 15s. per la					
Timber, viz.			Of th Posse Ame impor ly froi	ssion rica, ted d	is in and irect	-	ther vise im- orte	
Balks and Ufers 1,09	3 4 0	Under 5	inches square, and under 24	t.	s. d.	l.	s.	d.
			ng, per 120	3	5 0	1	2	7
Battens and Batten Ends 111,01	3 6 7	Five ins, Battens,	osquare, or upwards, as Fir T 6 and not exceeding 16 feet		7 6 r:—	27	0	0
		per 120	and not above 23 inches thick, 0 exceeding 16, and not ex- g 2t feet long, and not above	1	0 0	10	0	0
		2¾ incl	e exceeding 21 and not ex- g 45 feet long, or if exceed-	1	3 0	11	10	0
		ing 23	inches thick, per 120 exceeding 45 fect long, or	2	0 0	20	0	0
		2¾ incl	nes thick, per 120,	2	0 6	8:	0 2l. 1 r loa	0s.
		not ex	Ends, under 6 feet long, and ceeding 2 ³ ins. thick, per 120	0	7 6	3	0	0
			and exceeding 24 in. thick,	0		6	0	0
Boards, Clap and Pipe 83	4 4 3	Clap Roa Pipe Boa	ards, per 120 ards, above 5½ and not above long, and under 8 inches	0	12 4	6	2	0
		square	e, per 120exceeding 8 feet long, and	0			3	0
Boards, Paling, not		under	8 inches square, per 120	0	ί9 €	18	6	0
above 1½ in thick38	2 8 2	Exceeding	reding 7 feet long, per 120 ng 7 and not exceeding 12	0		1	0	0
Deals634,73	7 18 7	feet lo	ng, per 120 not above 16 feet long, and	0	10 €	1 4	0	0
2000 1111111111100000	,	Above to	sceeding 3\frac{1}{2} in, thick, per 120 6 and not above 21 feet long, of exceeding 3\frac{1}{2} inches thick,	2	0 (19	0	0
		per 120	0 1 and not above 45 feet long,	2	10 (22	0	0
		and no	ot above 31 in. thick, per t20 5 feet long, or above 31 inches	0	0 (44	0	0
			per 120	0	0 0	X	0 2l. 1 r loa	

	RATES OF DUTY.	
Net Produce	Of the British	Other
ARTICLES. in the	Possessions in	Other- wise
United Kingd.	America, and imported direct-	im-
	ly from thence.	ported.
l. s. d.	Given denoted by the land and the d	l. s. d.
Timber, Deals,—(continued).	Six and not above 21 feet long, and l. s. d. exceeding 3½ inches thick, per 120 4 0 0	
	Exceeding 21 feet long, and not ex-	İ
	ceeding 4 inches thick, per 120 5 0 0 Exceeding 21 feet long, and not ex-	-
	ceeding 4 inches thick, per 120 10 0 0	_
	For the mines; 8 and not above 10	1
Deal Ends, under	feet long, and not exceeding 1½ inches thick, per 120 0 0 0	8 2 6
6 feet long 32,820 18 4	Under 6 feet long, and not exceeding	
	3\frac{1}{4} inches thick, per 120 0 15 0 and exceeding 3\frac{1}{4} inches thick,	600
	per 120 1 10 0	12 0 0
Firewood2,913 5 5	The fathom, 6 ft. wide and 6 ft. high 0 0 10	0 16 0
Fir Quarters3,520 14 2	Under 5 inches square, and under 24 feet long, per 120	18 2 7
	and 24 feet long, or upwards,	
	per 120	27 0 0
Handspikes 660 15 0	Under 7 feet long, per 120 0 2 6	200
Knees of Oak 1,262 16 4	7 feet long, or upwards, per 120 0 5 0 Under 5 inches square, per 120 0 2 0	0 10 0
Ruces of Cartiffication 1	5 and under 8 inches square, per 120 0 15 0	4 0 0
Lathwood 35,821 8 7	8 in. square, or upwards, per load 0 5 0 Under 5 feet long, per fathom 0 15 0	1 6 0
Latinwood	Under 5 feet long, per fathom 0 15 0 5 and under 8 feet long, ditto 1 5 0	6 16 U
	8 and under 12 feet long, ditto 1 5 0	10 4 0
Masts and Spars 21,464 16 7	12 fect long, or upwards, ditto 1 5 0 Masts, Yards, and Bowsprits, viz.:	13 12 0
	6 and under 8 in. in diameter, each 0 1 6	0 8 0
	8 and under 12 in. in diameter, each 0 4 0 12 in. in diam., or upwards, per load 0 10 0	1 2 0 2 15 Q
	Spars under 4 in, in diameter, and	
	under 22 feet long, per 120 0 9 0	2 8 0
	4 & under 6 in. in diam., pr 120 1 15 0	900
Oak Plank	2 inches thick, or upwards, per load 0 15 0 Per t20 0 19 6	4 0 0 14 19 3
Staves 50,139 5 11	Per 120	1 3 0
	Above 36, and not exceeding 50 in.	2 0
	long, per 120 0 4 0 Above 50, and not exceeding 60 in.	2 0
	long, per 120 0 6 0	3 0 0
	Above 60, and not exceeding 72 in. long, per 120 0 8 0	4 4 0
	Above 72 inches long, per 120 0 10 0	4 16 0
	N. B Staves of the British Pos-	
	sessions in America, not exceeding	
Fig Timber 0 inches	1½ in. thick, are chargeable with one- third part only of the above rates.	
Fir Timber, 8 inches square or upwards 575,452 4 4	Per load 0 10 0	2 15 0
Oak Timber, ditto 30,102 9 11	Per load 0 10 0	2 15 0
Unenumerated Tim- ber, ditto	Per load 0 5 0	180
Wainscot Logs, do. 13,270 14 1	Per load 0 12 0	
Teakwood 8,690 17 4	Of any British Possession in Africa, 10s. per los For ship-building—of the East Indies, New	
	Wales and Dependencies, or New Zealand-	iree.
	Otherwise imported, 1l. 10s. per load.	

ARTICLES.	Net Produce in the United Kingd	RATES OF DUTY.
	l. s. d.	
Tortoiseshell, unmanufacture Turmeric		From any British Possession, 6d. per lb. From other places, 2s. per lb. From any British Possession, 2s. 4d. per cwt.
Turpentine, common		From other places, 10s. per cwt. Not of greater value than 12s. per cwt. 4s. 4d.
•		per cwt.
Valonia Verdigris Vermilion Wax, Bees	4,414 6 0	1s. 6d. per cwt. 2s. per lb. 1s. per lb. From any British Possession, unbleached, 10s. per cwt.
		per cwt. From other places, unmanufactured, 3l. 6s. 6d.
Whalefins	769 14 8	per cwt. White or manufactured, 6l. 3s 6d. per cwt. Of British fishing, 1l. per ton.
Wool, Cotton	332,355 11 11	Of Foreign fishing, 95l. per ton. From any British Possession, 4d. per cwt.
Wool, Sheep and Lambs		From other places, 6l. per cent, ad valorem. Of any British Possession, free.
Woon, Encep and Zamos We		Of other places, not of the value of 1s. per lb., \[\frac{1}{2}d. \text{ per lb.} \] of the value of 1s. per lb.
		and upwards, 1d. per 1b. Red wool, 6d. per 1b.
Yarn, Linen, raw	1,668 13 6	1s. per cwt, 1d. per lb.
Zaffre	990 2 9	1d. per lb. 4d. per lb.
Alum	9 9 6	17s. 6d. per cwt.
Amber, rough	35 7 1	11s. 8d. per cwt. 1s. 8d. per 1b.
Aquafortis	156 12 9	14s. 3d. per cwt. 14s. 3d. per cwt.
Ashes, Soap, Weed, or Wood	281 3 8	18s. 0d. per cwt. 1s. 8d. per cwt.
Asphaltum	496 5 3	Of any British Possession, 5d. per 1b.
Bark, Extract of, for tanning	0 10 9	Of other places, 10d. per lb. 3s. per cwt.
or dycing		Of any British Possession, 10l. per cent., ad valorem.
Basket Rods	3 16 1	Of other places, 20l. per cent. ad valorem. 3s. 2d. per bundle.
Bell-metal	8 10 8	1l. per cwt.
Bulrushes	960 19 9	11. 2s. 6d, per 1000, 12s. per load of 63 bundles,
Chalk, unmanufactured	1 11 0 0 6 11	20l. per cent, ad valorem. 2l. per ton.
Cohalt	287 8 4	3d. per lb. Of the British Possessions within the limits of the East India Company's Charter, 1s. per
old, for remanufactur	e 389 15 2	cwt. Of other places, 12s. per cwt. Of the British Possessions within the said limits, 9s. 2d. per cwt.
nnwrought	0 18 9	Of other places, 15s. per cwt. Of the British Possessions within the said limits, 9s. 2d. per cwt. Of other places, 1l. 7s. per cwt.
Copperas, blue or green		5s. per ewt.

Net Produce

ARTICLES. in the RATES OF DUTY. United Kingd. l. s. d. Coral, in fragments 8 1s. per lb. 5s. 6d. per lb. 6 20l. per cent. ad valorem. 1s. 3d. per lb. Of any British Possession, 3s. per ton. Of any British Possession, 12s. 4d. per ton. Of other places, 8l. 9s. 6d. per ton. 15s. per ton. Sapan-wood 14 5 Emery stones 1,096 19 Enamel 0 12 Glue 174 0 Gypsum 7 6 2s. per cwt. 7s. 2d. per lb. 12s. per cwt. From any British Possession, 1s. 3d. per ton. Of other places, 1l. 11s. 8d. per ton. Heath for Brushes 9s. 2d. per cwt. 2s. per lb. 3l, 6s. 6d. per cwt. Jet..... 0 2 0 Lamp-black 3 14 10 Latten, black 8s, per cwt. 12s. per cwt. 2s. per lb. 1l. 5s. per ton. 6s. per cwt. 7s. per cwt. 3s. per 100 leaves. 3d. per packet of 250 leaves. 3l. 8s. 2d. per cwt. 15s. per ton. 6d, per cwt. From any British Possession, 6d. per cwt. From other places, 2d, per lb. Oakum 490 19 9 Oil of Cocoa Nut 497 9 10 — of Rapeseed, Linseed, & Hempseed 16 10 2 4s. 9d. per cwt. 2s. 6d. per cwt. 39l. 18s. per ton. 8d. per lb. — of Turpentine 0 8 — of Vitrol 6d. per lb. 20l. per centum ad valorem. 1l. 8s. 6d. per cwt. Ore, not otherwise enumerated . . . 593 12 5 Orpiment 6d. per lb. 10s. per dozen sheets. 3l. 8s. 2d. per cwt. 1s. per cwt. is, per oz. 5l. per centum, ad valorem. 20. per centum, ad valorem. 20. per centum, ad valorem. 11. 13s. 4d. per ton. Of the British Possessions, 3s. 2d. per cwt. Rosin..... 8 2 Of other places, 4s. 9d. per cwt. 10d. per lb. Saccharum Saturni Sal Ammoniac.... 3d. per lb. Scaleboards 3l. 8s. 2d. per cwt. 31. 4s. per cwt. 2s. 6d. per ton. 3s. per cwt. 1s. 3d. per bushel. 1s. per 1000. 3s. per cwt. 1l. 11s. 8d. per cwt. 12s. per cwt. Dutch tiles, 15l. per centum, ad valorem. Other sorts, 50l. per ditto ditto. Of the British Possessions in America, 10d. Timber, Anchor Stocks , ..., 38 12

each.

Timber, Anchor Stocks,— Tin		gd. d. 8 8	RATES OF DUTY. Of other paris, 8s. 4d. each. 2l. 10s. per cwt. 30l. per centum ad valorem. 7s. 2d. per skin. 1s. per cwt. 1s. 7d. per 1b. Cut and combed, 4s. 9d. per 1b. 2d. per 1b. 2d. per 1b. 10s. 9d. per cwt. 6d. per 1b. Note.—The rates of duty affixed to the various articles in this Return are those to which they are subject in Great Britain. The same rates are chargeable in Ireland in every instance, except in the case of certain descriptions of Wood; namely, Deals and Battens, the duties on which are, in Ireland, regulated by a different scale from that established in Great Britain.
Coals and Culm, coastways	39,271 1		Coals brought coastways into any port in England per ton 44. — into any port in Wales, per ton, 1r. 8d. — into any port in Treland, per ton 1s. 74d. If delivered by tale, various rates, from 6s. to 3s. 6d. per 1600, in proportion to the size thereof. If delivered by weight, viz.: Queen, or size rag and slab slates, 18s. per ton. Imperial or milled slates, 15s. 6d. per ton. Block slates and Westmorland rag slates, 14s. 6d. per ton. Slates not otherwise enumerated, 25l. per cent. ad valorem. Scotch slates, 7s. 6d. per 1000, or at the option of the importer, the duties above mentioned.
-	1,153,070 5	9	

Inspector General's Office, Custom House, London, 14 April, 1829.

WILLIAM IRVING,

Inspector General of Imports and Exports.

ARTICLES,

Being Materials of Manufactures, Building, &c.

An Account of the Net Produce of the EXCISE DUTIES in the United Kingdom, as stated in the Finance Accounts for the year 1827, on each of the following Articles, being Materials of Manufactures, Building, &c., showing the Rate of Duty on each Article.

ARTICLES.	Net Produ	ice.	RATES OF DUTY.		
Bricks and Tiles	l. s. . 368,533 14	$\frac{d}{4\frac{1}{2}}$	Bricks, commonper 1000 ———————————————————,	5 10	ď. 10 0 10
			ditto, exceeding 10 inches long and 5 wide, as paving tiles. Tiles, plain	5	8
Hides and Skins*	. 386 039 19	7 1		2	5 10 10
Soap			Hard Soap per 1b.		8
Starch	84,897 4	6	Soft Soap,	0	8
	2,038,885 16	61			

Abstract of the Net Produce of the CUSTOMS and EXCISE DUTIES in the United Kingdom, in the year 1827, upon various Articles specified in the two preceding Accounts, being Materials of Manufactures, Buildings, Ship-Building, &c.

Customs		5	9
Excise 2,038,8			
	385 L	6	$6\frac{1}{2}$
6,191,8	956	2	31

Excise-Office, London, 17th February, 1829.

J. EWBANK, General Accountant.

^{*} Duty repealed Session 1830.

APPENDIX.-No. II.

An Account of the Quantities of the following Articles which have paid the DUTIES of CUSTOMS and EXCISE, for Home Consumption; and the total of both; also, the total Net Amount of Revenue received on each Article, in each Year, from the Year 1789 to the Year 1830:—

TOBACCO in Great Britain and Ireland;
FOREIGN WINES in Great Britain and Ireland;
FOREIGN SPIRITS in Great Britain;
SUGAR in Great Britain;
SUGAR in Ireland;
TEA in Great Britain;
COFFEE in Great Britain; and
GLASS in Great Britain.

Also,

Similar Accounts of SPIRITS made in Ireland and Scotland, since the Year 1820.

		TOBACCO	о.	
	GREAT BE	RITAIN.	1R	ELAND.
Years.	Quantities retained for Home Consumption.	Net Revenue of Customs and Excise.	Quantities entered for Home Consumption.	Net Revenue of Customs and Excise.
1789 1790 1791 1792 1793 1794 1795 1796 1797 1798 1799 1800 1801 1801 1802 1803 1804 1805 1806 1807 1808 1809 1811 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1821 1819 1821 1822 1824 1825 1826	1bs. 8,159,185 8,960,324 9,340,875 8,979,221 8,677,967 9,723,536 10,972,368 10,047,643 9,822,439 10,286,741 10,995,113 11,796,415 10,514,998 12,121,278 12,589,570 12,254,494 12,636,471 12,432,994 12,636,471 13,207,192 14,108,193 14,923,243 13,648,245 10,503,917 14,108,193 14,923,243 13,648,245 10,503,917 13,207,192 12,815,908 13,688,437 12,911,285 13,016,562 12,983,198 12,970,566 13,418,554 13,016,565 12,983,198 12,970,566 13,418,554 13,016,565 13,784,370 14,501,555 13,784,370	1, 8, d, 408,037 4 1 512,333 7 1 552,363 7 1 552,966 9 1 1 592,986 7 7 7 547,217 14 4 866,262 13 10 659,989 3 1 6 59,989 13 1 735,451 15 1 813,027 16 2 867,302 14 0 799,369 14 2 997,110 8 8 923,635 3 5 923,678 9 1 1,023,563 16 1 1,060,319 18 0 1,068,821 4 5 1,135,365,512 17 9 1,438,926 3 7 1,325,154 5 7 1,599,376 18 9 2,776,474 7 7 10 2,035,169 2 8 2,158,500 3 11 2,173,866 19 2 2,285,045 2 10 2,610,972 7 9 2,600,415 7 8 2,599,155 15 1 2,627,955 12 6 2,509,155 15 1 2,627,955 12 6 2,236,340 18 4 2,198,142 18	1be. 2,765,441 2,900,437 2,549,043 1,767,561 5,668,457 9,426,211 7,674,409 6,045,790 8,445,595 8,4894,121 5,876,172 6,382,754 6,382,754 6,382,754 5,278,511 5,783,467 4,158,794 5,982,166 6,453,094 4,748,205 4,778,469 4,194,041 3,466,352 2,582,498 2,614,954 3,090,072 3,544,617 4,604,94 3,696,792 5,982,498 2,614,954 3,309,072 3,546,196 3,749,732 4,160,049 3,898,647 4,041,172 4,013,915 4,1735,146	1. 5. d. 120,704 8 4 133,195 18 10 117,420 8 2 80,693 4 5 123,644 17 7 215,719 9 0 166,759 19 0 267,721 16 4 215,317 12 7 283,023 4 9 327,916 9 0 205,442 6 4 309,73 8 9 2 265,944 3 4 315,417 4 3 403,973 3 8 451,278 19 11 444,198 5 0 552,082 9 9 667,897 9 11 746,006 5 2 653,708 12 11 746,006 5 2 653,708 12 11 746,006 5 2 653,708 12 11 746,006 7 6 75,7316 8 3 664,183 9 1 613,496 5 7 516,446 2 6 522,168 6 9 664,016 7 4 730,507 12 8 750,589 5 4 782,888 13 11 560,893 11 0 663,037 18 9 559,683 4 3 663,340 15

	WINE.—GREAT BRITAIN.							
Years,	QUANTI	TIES retaine Consumptio		NET RE	VENUE of CUS EXCISE.	TOMS and		
	French.	Other sorts.	Total.	French.	Other sorts.	Total.		
1789 1790 1791 1792	Wine Gall, 234,299 264,334 250,639 303,727	5,580,366 6,245,983 7,407,437 7,778,522	Wine Galls, 5,814,665 6,492,317 7,658,276 8,082,249	36,549 10 8 41,352 16 8 43,417 0 8 59,693 16 5	l. s. d. 684,969 8 7 779,209 10 10 873,351 19 9 959,951 6 7	l. s. d. 721,518 19 3 820,562 7 4 916,769 0 5 1,019,645 3 0		
1793 1794 1795 1796	256,160 99,118 118,587 50,881 (Excess)	6,634,750 6,700,102 6,808,534 5,681,502	8,890,910 6,799,220 6,927,121 5,732,383	30,308 6 8 14,487 13 6 55,579 4 11 25,253 13 5	660,377 18 6 780,536 5 6 1,375,143 10 2 1,134,270 6 2	690,686 5 2 795,023 19 0 1,430,722 15 1 1,159,523 19 7		
1797	ef Expts. 4,874 galls.	3,975,775	3,970,901	36,282 16 4	1,347,432 16 4	1,383,665 12 8		
1798 1799	45,367 51,126	4,715,290 4,726,505	4,760,657 4,777,631	33,247 0 11 31,316 6 4	1,339,414 5 8 1,661,510 5 8	1,372,661 6 7 1,692,826 12 0		
1800 1801	83,471 141,693	7,645,400 6,864,617	7,728,871 7,006,310	42,341 16 5 84,686 13 0	1,924,871 12 0 1,908,310 16 11	1,967,213 8 5 1,992,997 9 11		
1802	129,280	6,226,469	6,355,749	61,514 17 2	1,870,358 2 7	1,931,872 19 9		
1803 1804	192,136	7,989,330 4,818,915	8,181,466	72,103 13 4	2,069,252 19 5	2,141,356 12 9		
1804	21,804 63,986	4,818,915	4,840,719 4,565,551	34,423 7 4 81,386 1 0	1,779,899 18 1 1,922,480 7 4	1,814,323 5 5 2,003,866 8 4		
1806	156,002	5,780,233	5,936,235	94,813 3 5	2,225,615 8 3	2,320,428 11 8		
1807	160,114	5,762,223	5,922,837	88,139 3 7	2,245,058 15 2 2,226,800 8 0	2,334,197 18 9		
1808	186,944	6,221,590	6,408,534	126,936 11 1	2,226,800 8 0 ipt of Duty on (2,353,736 12 1		
1809 1810	125,266 190,917	5,682,821 6,614,359	5,808,087 6,805,276		other descrip-	2,361,118 18 3 2,513,615 16 3		
1811	63,221	5,797,653	5,860,874		e cannot be se-	2,513,615 16 3 2,169,871 6 3		
1812	77,312	5,059,178	5,136,490	vears, in con	ted for these sequence of the	1,911,352 19 11		
1813	186,747	4,531,821	4,718,568	Records by F	of the Customs	Customs Re		
1814 1815	38,880 301,024	4,904,783 5,667,411	4,941,663 5,968,435	73,185 5 3	1,959,655 14 1	2,032,840 19 4 2,095,299 18 0		
1816	126,625	4,294,182	4,420,807	76,046 15 0	1,972,637 16 7 1,534,252 10 8	1,610,299 5 8		
1817	147,671	5,466,951	5,614,622	87,475 9 11	1,936,244 18 3	2,023,720 8 2		
1818 1819	266,424 215,846	5,873,066 4,762,754	6,139,490 4,978,600	155,370 0 10 126,667 6 11	2,086,010 1 9 1,675,429 15 0	2,241,380 2 7 1,802,097 1 11		
1820	182,175	4,837,785	5,019,960	106,892 11 1	1,711,503 10 4	1,802,097 1 11 1,818,396 2 5		
1821	165,791	4,850,778	5,016,569	97,486 17 5	1,700,004 10 5	1,797,491 7 10		
1822 1823	177,758 183,296	4,797,401 5,108,114	4,975,159 5,291,410	104,425 1 5	1,689,588 9 9 1,800,484 2 2	1,794,013 11 9		
1824	204,901	5,274,831	5,479,732	117,202 1 8	1,800,484 2 2 1,850,751 12 2	1,907,466 13 3 1,967,953 13 10		
1825	534,015	8,121,978	8,655,993	166,184 6 8 Allowances for	1,648,869 1 9	1,815,053 8 5 1,021,044 3 11		
						794,009 4 6		
1826 1827	356,846 340,471	6,023,968 6,921,639	6,450,814 7,262,110	107,292 14 2	1,162,825 7 4 1,324,040 15 3	1,270,118 1 6		
1828	451,361	7,129,264	7,580,625	136,024 9 9	1,324,040 15 3 1,370,098 5 7	1,426,550 11 9 1,506,122 15 4		
1829			5,428,743 lmp. Mea.			1,292,463 0 0		

			WINE.	-IRELAND).	
Years.	QUANTITIES retained for Home CONSUMPTION.		NET REVENUE (CUSTOMS.)			
	French.	Other sorts.	Total.	French.	Other sorts.	Total.
1769 1790 1791 1792 1793	Wine Gall. 483,210 496,034 478,263 443,266 195,050	853,043 932,895 952,009 896,534 846,882	1,336,253 1,428,929 1,430,272 1,339,800 1,041,932	l. s. d. 61,437 14 8 63,056 1 7 60,602 16 9 56,540 12 6 24,917 1 2 18,614 7 4	l. s. d. 68,749 13 8 75,533 11 0 77,407 10 11 72,569 13 0 69,589 17 6	l. s. d. 130,187 8 4 138,509 12 7 138,010 7 9 129,110 5 6 94,506 18 8 117,839 2 3
1794 1795 1796 1797 1798 1799 1800	145,799 549,915 64,808 13,185 25,930 199,113 12,821	1,228,630 2,409,089 1,134,321 299,027 1,532,335 2,389,053 1,012,011	1,374,429 2,959,004 1,199,129 312,212 1,558,265 2,588,166 1,024,832	18,614 7 4 69,807 4 4 10,584 5 0 2,684 6 10 4,679 13 7 38,231 13 0 5,005 5 6	99,224 14 11 194,358 1 2 118,144 4 6 38,623 16 3 179,809 18 11 304,963 0 1 152,589 7 6	117,839 2 3 264,165 5 6 128,728 9 6 41,308 3 1 184,489 12 6 343,194 13 1 157,594 13 0
1801 1802 1803 1804 1805	72,350 173,452 130,465 123,393 61,682	1,173,392 2,006,898 1,559,826 1,585,117 920,008	1,245,742 2,180,350 1,690,291 1,708,510 981,690	16,874 17 10 41,696 10 8 32,345 18 4 31,037 16 11 24,785 4 6 21,225 3 11	175,789 0 6 306,503 4 1 250,226 1 10 296,094 16 11 227,142 14 9	192,663 18 4 348,199 14 9 282,572 0 2 327,132 13 10 251,927 19 3 254,102 7 8
1806 1807 1808 1809 1810 1811	56,551 80,130 44,226 88,369 64,107 7,834	997,428 1,523,148 1,145,490 1,176,557 956,168 886,958	1,053,979 1,603,278 1,189,716 1,264,926 1,020,275 894,792	29,970 13 2 13,894 0 9 39,282 8 1 22,958 1 0 4,242 18 1	365,718 9 2 280,842 14 0 285,607 2 4 250,013 11 7 258,893 10 4	395,689 2 4 294,736 14 9 324,839 10 5 272,971 12 7 263,136 8 5
1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817	100,862 48,694 20,294 40,893 22,874 25,840	792,084 711,310 615,843 689,458 416,728 545,756	892,946 760,004 636,137 730,351 439,602 571,596	45,226 8 7 25,313 12 11 13,070 15 11 31,515 15 11 13,569 6 7 15,018 4 4	232,838 18 9 228,451 8 7 221,665 11 4 261,575 15 4 153,588 15 5 185,873 7 7 1	278,065 7 4 253,765 1 6 234,736 7 3 293,091 11 3 167,158 2 0 200,891 11 11
1818 1819 1820 1821 1822	45,913 42,488 12,721 28,206 25,780	596,293 547,366 495,780 596,495 543,258	642,206 589,854 508,501 624,701 569,038	26,019 19 4 24,061 14 7 7,485 18 5 16 407 18 11 14,772 4 3	199,915 11 6 1 179,200 5 0 161,935 7 0 1 192,598 12 1 174,095 16 3 1	225,935 10 10 203,261 19 7 169,421 5 5 209,006 11 0 188,868 0 6
1823 1824 1825 1826 1827 1828	25,402 24,022 95,507 65,601 33,076	521,816 540,507 858,303 766,985 896,543	547,218 564,529 953,810 822,586 929,619 1,003,224	14,684 4 8 13,779 5 3 20,413 1 12 16,507 13 7 10,092 9 10 16,771 5 1	166,080 12 3½ 171,379 6 1 120,242 5 11½ 138,653 18 11½ 163,944 6 9½ 177,157 5 8	180,764 16 11 185,158 11 4 140,655 7 1 155,161 12 6 174,036 16 7 193,928 10 9
1829	55,596	947,628	795,909 lmp. Mea.	16,771 5 1	177,157 5 8	181,149 1 2

BRANDY AND GENEVA IN GREAT BRITAIN.

Years.	Quantities retained for Home Consumption.	Net Revenue of Customs and Excise.	Years.	Quantities retained for Home Consumption.	Net Revenue of Customs and Excise.
	Imperial Galls.	l. s. d.		Imperial Galls.	l. s. d.
1789	1,668,855	514,651 0 9	1810	1,788,379	1,,01,,00
1790	1,854,504	558,824 1 7		1,107,889	1,066,605 11 7
1791 1792	1,624,515	511,545 3 3 572,667 4 5	1812	187,413 239,208	235,106 16 0 302,766 1 4
1792	1,298,230	463,874 17 11	1814	310,841	412,534 18 4
1794	1,389,768	503,303 5 3	1815	847,052	953,204 15 8
1795	791,338	308,351 7 3	1816	761,035	859,272 0 11
1796	1,030,990	483,685 16 9	1817	739,500	835,572 0 4
1797	1,391,489	661,020 16 11	1818	644,837	727,089 19 3
1798	1,267,649	651,416 0 2	1819	889,945	1,004,868 13 3
1799	1,349,046	714,555 5 6	1820	947,931	1,071,179 11 11
1800	1,810,515	974,449 7 11	1821	1,004,073	1,135,293 12 9
1801	2,192,546	1,246,946 0 10	1822	1,090,277	1,232,397 19 7
1802	2,338,947	1,311,163 0 8	1823	1,165,888	1,318,923 19 7
1803	2,302,979	1,300,013 12 4	1824	1,316,320	1,488,293 14 11
1804	1,338,863	1,108,480 18 4	1825	1,405,036	1,584,231 13 5
1805	1,659,168	1,390,065 7 3	1826	1,540,322	1,712,052 12 5
1806	2,033,161	1,720,491 7 0	1827	1,363,977	1,528,706 4 3
1807	1,833,940	1,622,963 7 3	1828	1,370,966	1,539,226 13 3
1800	2,298,897	2,244,828 10 6	1829	1,336,751	1,500,412 14 8
1809	976,843	1,052,304 8 5		ł	

		SUGAR	•	
	GREAT BRI	TAIN.	IRF	ELAND.
Years,	Quantities retained for Home Consumption,	Net Revenue of Customs.	Quantities entered for Home Consumption.	Revenue of Customs,
1789 1790 1791 1792 1793 1794 1795 1796 1797 1798 1799 1800 1801 1802 1803 1804 1805	Cwt. 1,547,109 1,536,232 1,403,211 1,361,592 1,677,097 1,449,392 1,356,230 1,554,062 1,273,722 1,476,552 2,772,538 1,506,921 2,773,795 2,250,311 1,492,565 2,144,369 2,076,109	1, s. d. 862,632 11 11 908,954 17 4 1,074,903 16 5 1,012,538 12 1 1,316,502 14 3 1,031,492 4 2 949,961 16 1 1,225,213 7 5 1,299,744 0 7 1,794,990 15 9 2,321,935 16 5 1,835,112 11 1 2,782,232 18 1 2,210,801 6 11 1,551,457 17 11 2,453,124 18 3 2,439,795 1 10	Cwt. 191,743 211,977 214,168 161,302 196,371 209,642 227,978 162,668 231,233 228,338 253,663 355,662 298,069 329,159 286,997 313,710 295,724	Gross Revenue. L. s. d. L. s. d. L25,443 6 1 136,125 1 3 139,443 5 5 120,616 1 0 148,790 6 4 159,359 13 5 171,666 12 10 137,179 18 3 204,464 19 8 180,008 16 9 233,203 5 6 327,028 13 7 Net Revenue. 283,390 0 7 394,261 15 5 295,342 18 11 361,753 10 7 397,617 9 4
1806 1807 1808 1810 1811 1812 1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1820 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 1826 1827 1828	2,801,747 2,277,665 2,842,813 2,504,507 3,489,312 3,226,757 2,604,019 2,290,063 1,997,999 1,803,965 2,928,156 2,960,794 1,457,707 2,474,738 2,561,256 2,676,274 2,618,499 2,842,676 2,957,261 2,655,959 3,255,075 3,021,191 3,265,843 3,211,535	3,097,590 3 6 3,150,753 6 3 4,177,916 3 4 3,273,995 2 3 3,117,330 12 9 3,339,248 4 3 3,939,959 17 2 3,447,500 4 5 3,276,513 6 5 2,957,403 2 4 3,166,651 18 0 3,967,154 5 5 2,331,472 3 5 2,331,472 3 5 3,507,444 11 0 3,477,770 11 4 3,660,567 6 7 3,579,412 12 1 4,022,732 4 1 4,223,240 18 5 4,256,654 0 1 4,518,693 15 9 4,218,623 6 7 4,576,654 13 4 4,452,793 18 11	207,405 369,599 487,867 Quantities retained for Home ditto, 406,826 406,283 420,038 445,035 345,290 326,052 322,334 301,775 338,147 269,149 346,165 320,606 380,608 380,608 380,608 380,608 380,608 380,608 380,608 381,615 315,576 328,286	573,039 11 4 525,543 1 5 562,494 19 3 550,641 1 2 394,185 4 5 578,945 12 6 629,167 5 2 570,420 5 7 491,010 16 6 496,630 17 9 445,541 9 10 446,771 19 5 447,617 5 1 528,391 12 4 447,617 5 1 528,391 12 4 447,617 5 1 528,391 12 4 441,634 2 2 448,034 4 0 420,001 2 9 432,397 11 1 431,558 19 5 426,008 16 9 443,548 10 5 443,648 10 5 443,648 10 5

Tetained for Customs Tetained for Customs Tetained for Customs Tetained for Customs Home and Home Consumption. Excise. Consumption. Excise. Consumption. Excise. Consumption. Excise. Consumption. Excise. List. List.	RITAIN.
Years	enue of
Consumption. Excise. Consumption. Excise.	oms
188. 1. s. d. 188. 1.	ì
1789	ie.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	s. d.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6 17 11
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	974
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 5 11
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6 6 9
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7 11 5
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 11 4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
1813 20,443,226 Customs Records destroyed. 8,786,601 Custom	
1815 22,378,345 3,526,590 18 3 6,117,311 228,76 1816 20,246,144 3,956,719 0 5 7,557,471 229,85 1817 20,922,336 3,003,650 18 7 8,688,726 228,50	s Records
1816 20,246,144 3,956,719 0 5 7,557,471 290,62 1817 20,822,936 3,003,630 18 7 8,688,726 298,54	3 18 4
1817 20,822,936 3,003,650 18 7 8,688,726 298,54	
1818 22,660,177 3,362,366 10 1 7,567,857 230,10 1819 22,631,467 3,256,433 12 10 7,429,352 292,15	
1820 22,452,050 3,128,449 17 0 6,896,286 340,29	
1821 22,892,913 3,275,642 17 6 7,327,283 971,23	2 5 6
1822 23,911,884 3,434,292 19 10 7,404,204 374,55	6 19 7
1823 23,762,470 3,407,983 1 8 8,209,245 416,33	489
1824 23,784,838 3,420,205 11 11 7,993,040 407,54	
1825 24,830,015 3,527,944 4 11 10,766,112 807,26 1826 25,238,067 3,291,813 19 5 12,724,139 \$24,66	
1826 25,238,067 3,291,813 19 5 12,724,139 824,66 1827 26,043,223 3,263,206 19 3 14,974,378 884,96	
1827 26,790,481 3,177,179 8 0 16,522,423 425,36	
1829 29,495,199 3,321,722 0 0 18,906,373 484,97	5 10 8

^{*} All Tea is included shipped to Ireland for consumption in that country, subsequently to the passing of the Act 9 Geo. IV., c. 44.

	QUAN	TITIES	of GLAS	S retaine	d for Hom	e Consur	nption.	Net Revenue
Years.	Flint and Plate,		Broad.	Crown, or German Sheet.	Com- mon Bottle Glass.		e, &c. orted.	of Customs and Excise.
1789 1790 1791 1792 1793 1794 1795 1796 1797 1799 1800 1801 1802 1803 1804 1805 1806 1807	Cwts. 48,245 44,527 45,920 51,410 55,267 67,615 49,186 49,166 48,463 49,987 61,748 55,987 61,748 55,663 59,483 69,766 64,311 59,027 61,587 64,588 66,875 69,975	Cwts.	Cwts. 24,194 21,302 21,924 22,214 21,519 20,607 21,694 26,254 25,254 19,690 19,874 19,381 20,948 16,626 12,741 16,204 10,855 12,155 12,155 1,155 1	Cwts. 81,403 81,225 76,182 75,610 80,225 83,940 47,881 53,538 58,235 50,750 41,571 55,821 61,401 81,501 68,678 97,096 84,949 83,512 89,544 91,938	Cwts. 185,296 215,034 242,684 243,8,127 250,192 250,192 250,193 250,330 165,065 147,865 105,086 199,939 239,297 223,174 215,084 252,332 252,332 252,339 263,390	Cwts. 1,114 1,270 1,871 1,358 1,642 2,593 3,327 2,081 1,640 1,313 1,867 2,235 2,775 2,850 2,402 2,402 1,561 1,561 1,31 149 88	Feet. 13,502 11,375 15,095 15,095 223,004 20,736 223 2,010 10,076 4,598 409 51 1,958 752 207 3,454 567	1. s. d 159,448 4 0 160,057 11 1 163,911 15 0 167,866 10 6 167,896 10 6 183,749 8 0 176,954 6 5 174,092 2 2 156,320 6 2 164,659 10 2 16
1810 1811 1812	68,872 70,301 60,248		9,176 9,646 7,010	69,252 86,338 91,881	252,872 253,983 260,664	120 128 48		318,831 19 5 360,989 10 6 364,686 11 11
1813 1814 1815 1816 1817 1818 1819 1821 1822 1823 1824 1825 1826 1827 1828	Flint. 28,902 32,503 34,903 34,903 25,959 27,827 33,948 29,437 28,712 3,443 32,568 35,164 45,262 45,058 51,063 49,001	Plate. 10,542 9,139 7,021 3,641 3,426 7,647 7,555 8,822 9,761 11,776 13,564 15,168 12,528 14,335 17,071 14,229	7,013 8,609 8,453 6,140 8,374 8,319 8,250 7,782 8,036 8,353 9,172 9,300 8,396 8,318 7,611 6,956 6,869	68,824 60,170 59,584 55,502 73,259 83,986 84,006 70,253 76,887 83,799 87,153 104,489 118,217 98,380 99,711 90,603 97,134	159,217 139,746 160,175 155,595 113,095 200,011 235,975 167,208 133,550 149,754 184,672 229,134 248,616 248,103 218,033 224,864 209,862	85 110 256 167 178 223 199 202 203 294 271 277 307 341 531 750	12 8 54 588 362 1,092	509,623 7 9 425,233 2 11 409,820 3 4 9 325,963 14 0 419,886 7 2 548,309 10 7 558,216 16 11 481,652 12 3 506,987 6 0 689,786 4 2 622,216 7 10 605,632 6 4 666,520 15 11

An Account of the QUANTITIES of SPIRITS made in IRELAND and SCOTLAND, which have paid the Duties of Excise for Home Consumption; stating the Rate of Duty paid; and also the Net Amount of Revenue received in each Year, since the Year 1820.

		IRELAND.	
Years.	Number of Gallons.	Rate per Gallon.	Net Amount of Revenue.
1821	Imperial Measure. 2,649,170	5s.6d. per Irish Gallon,	l. s. d. 912,288 7 5
1822	2,328,387	• • Ditto • •	797,518 13 3
1623	3,348,505	From 10th October 1813, 2s. per English Wine Gallon.	634,460 7 2
1824	6,690,315	Ditto	771,690 16 0
1825	9,262,744	• • Ditto • •	1,084,191 6 5
1826	6,837,408	${f 2s.}$ ${f 10d.}$ per Imperial Gallon.	964,509 10 8
1827	8,260,919	• • Ditto • •	1,122,096 14 11
1828	9,937,903	. Ditto	1,395,721 12 10
	•	SCOTLAND.	
1821	2,229,435	5s. 6d. per English Wine Gallon.	727,650 19 7
1822	2,079,556	Ditto	691,136 6 6
1823	2,232,728	From 10th October, 1923, 2s. per English Wine Gallon.	536,654 17 8
1824	4,350,301	• • Ditto • •	520,624 18 4
1825	5,981,550	• • Ditto • •	682,848 11 1
1826	3,988,788	2s, $10d$. per Imperial Gallon.	563,263 4 0
1827	4,752,199	• • Ditto • •	672,441 6 6
1828	5,716,180	• Ditto	809,559 6 7

APPENDIX.-No. III.

PROTECTING DUTIES.

TABLE I.

A I.IST of ARTICLES, of British Manufacture, not exposed to injury from Foreign competition, and of the Duties now charged upon similar Foreign Manufactures:—

1. s. d.

Brass manufacture 80	0	0	
Copper dittodo 30	0	0	
Cotton ditto			
Earthenwaredo15	0	0	
Glass (crown)do 8	6	8	
— (German sheet)do	0	0	
Hats (felt)each 0	10	6	
(chip, cane)	16	0	
- (straw) ditto al. 8s. to 6	16	0	
Japanned ware			
Iron (wrought)do20			
Lacedo 30	0	0	
Pewter manufacture	0	0	
Steel ditto	0	0	
Tin ditto,do	0	0	
Tobacco manufactureper lb 0	9	6	
Woollen manufacture	0	0	

TABLE II.

A LIST of ARTICLES, of British Manufacture, erroneously supposed to be liable to injury from Foreign competition, and of the Duties on similar Foreign Manufactures:—

Books, being Editions printed prior to the Year 1801 per ewt	1	0	0	
, printed since 1801dodo	5	0	0	
——, if first composed, or written or printed in the United Kingdom, prohibited by 6 George IV. c. 107, § 52; except Books not reprinted in the United Kingdom, within Twenty Years, and Books not for sale.				
Candles, Tallowper cwt	3	8	4	
	n	9	6	

Chian Ware		8.	d,
China Wareper cent 1			
Glass (Plate)per foot, from 6s. to			
Gloves, Men'sper dozen pair	0	5	0
—, Women'sdo	0	4	0
Jewelleryper cent 2	0	0	0
Leather Manufacturesdodo	0	0	0
Linen ditto (on average) 4	0	0	0
Paper (stained)per square yard			
(waste or other)per lb			
(made of old rope)do			
Plate of Goldper oz			
— of Silverdodo			
Silk Manufacture 3			
Sugar (refined)dodo			
Spiritsper gallon			
Watchesper cent 2			
** State of the contract of th	•	U	9

TABLE III.

A LIST of ARTICLES of British Manufacture, of minor importance, and of the Duties on similar Foreign Manufactures:—

Basketsper cent, 20	- 0)	0
Blackingper cwt 3	15	5	0
Boxesper cent 20)	0
Brocade of Gold and Silverdodo)	o
Buttonsdo 20)	Đ
Cablesper cwt 0	10)	ò
Candlewick			R
Cards (playing)per dozen packs 4		,	õ
Casks (empty)per cent 50)	ñ
Gunpowder ger cwt 3)	0
Corks (ready made) 8			o
Embroidery and Needle-workper cent 90			0
Furs, dresseddo		-	'n
Haberdashery and Appareldo20		•	o
Instruments of Science			0
Music		-	n
Mattingdo20			n
Mattresses		•	n
Models			ô
Parchmentper dozen skins 0			0
Pasteboardper cwt 3		-	9
Pencilsper cent 30			n
Pensdo30			n
Sealing-waxdo		•	0
			•
Tilesdo15	0	,	0
Manufactures of Hair or Goat's Wool, wholly or partly made up	0	,	n
paraj made up ittitititititititititititititititititi	٠	•	•

		8.	
Manufactures of Linen, made upper cent	40	0	0
Ditto of Cotton or Woollendodo	20	0	0
All goods being in part or wholly manufactured, and not being described in the Schedule of			
and not being described in the Schedule of			
the Customs Duty, Act of 6 Geo. IV. c. 3do	20	0	0

TABLE IV.

A LIST of ARTICLES, being of English Manufacture, serving as prepared Materials for other Manufactures; and of the Duties on similar Foreign Manufactures:—

Aquafortisper cwt 0	1	4	8
		2	0
	i	_	9
	-	2	0
	ì	_	0
2			•
Hides, tannedper lb 0		1	9
Ink, Printers'per cwt 1		ı	0
Mercury, prepared 80	٠	0	0
Mill Boardsper cwt 3		8	2
Platting of Bast, Chip, Canc, or Horse-Hairper lb 1		0	0
Ditto of Straw	ı	7	0
Pots of Stonepcr cent 30		0	0
Skins (Calf), tanned per lb 0		ı	0
(Sheep), ditto per 100 skins 2		0	0
	1	5	0
Soap, hardper cwt 4	1	3	0
, soft	ı	1	8
Threadthe dozen lbs., 0	1	5	0
Thrown Silkper lb 0		7	6
Twineper cwt 1	1	ı	0
Varnishper cent 30		0	0
Verjuiceper ton, 73	1	2	9
Verdigrisper lb 0			0
Vinegar, or Acetous Acid			0
Wire, Brass, or Copper 2			0
Ditto, gilt or plated			0

APPENDIX,-No. IV.

An Account of the EXPENDITURE during the WARS of 1793 and 1803, exclusive of the INTEREST on the DEBT contracted subsequent to 1792, and of the REVENUE Paid into the EXCHEQUER.

[AP.

Excess of Expenditure over Revenue, 172,593,879 15

GEO. R, DAWSON.

5th May, 1828.

Charge upon all Loans raised since 1792,) as it shood in the Sth Jan. 1793, and on the Sth Jim. The raise shoot way is the should be shown that what I will be shown in the shown in the shown in that provide the should be shown that State of the Sth Jim. 1793, and of the Charge of any Pith Landow of the Stharge Board to the Sth Jim. 1793, and of the Charge of any Pith Landow of the shorten I was to the the Sth Jim. 1793, and of the Charge of any Pith Landow of the area contracted showpard to the Sth Jim. 1793, and of the Charge of any Pith Landow of the area contracted showpard to the Sth Jim. 1793, and the Stharge of the Sth Jim. 1793, and in the shade of the stharge of the shade of the Sth Jim. 1816; showing the Excess, I am you show the Excess of any of the Expenditure over Revenue. An decount of the TOTAL CHARGE on the UNREDEEMED FUNDED DEBT, and on the UNFUNDED DEBT, Cerebusive of Sinking Pund, and of the

	(1) Change of the House	(9) Total Bublic Found				
	deemed Funded Debt, and on	p	6	(I)	3	(9)
Years	the Unfunded Debt, (exclu- 5th Jan, 1793, and in each			TOTAL	THE EXCESS.	THE EXCESS.
		subsequent Year to the 5th	TOTAL	MET REVENITE	if any, of	if any, of
ending		Jan. 1816, exclusive of the	SOMITION	Paid into the	EXPENDITURE.	REVENUE
	_	Sinking Fund on the Debt		Exchonier in the	in Column	in Column
5th	on 5th Jan. 1793, and on the	due on the 5th Jan. 1793,	No. 1.	Vear 1793.	က်	4
	5th Jan. in each subsequent		pus	and in each subse-	over the Revenue	over the Expendi-
January		Debt, Funded or Unfunded,		onent year to	in Column	ture in Column
		that was contracted subse-	No. 2.	5th January, 1816.	*	e,
_	ties that fell in in that period.	quent to the 5th Jan. 1793.				
1709	9 694 088 8 54	7.670.108 5 9	17.294.196 13 74	19,258,814 6 44		1,964,617 12 9
1794	9.693.441 5 84	14.759.206 15 114	24,382,648 1 84	19,845,705 10 4	4,536,942 11 41	
1795	9,699,937 8 9	19,702,489 2 114	=	4	9	
1796	00		0	29,883,520 13 03		
1797		æ	55,432,826 3 113	21,454,728 4 54		
1798	9,614,818 10 114		45,817,692 4 11	23,126,940 7 1	_	
1799	9,613,055 15 41		42,892,127 19 10±	31,035,363 2 44	=	
1800	9,611,668 10 83	38,166,697 19 74	47,778,366 10 4	35,602,444 8 11‡	12,175,922 1 5	
1801	9,609,884 13 5		7		14,538,750 3 32	
1802	9,607,659 0 8	40,690,486 9 64		34,113,146 18 44	16,184,998 11 10	
1803	8,606,509 16 8	29,610,471 2 3		ż	\$9 * 188'87'S	,
1804	9,581,270 13 13	28,289,364 9 0				* 0 /6/'96/
1805	9,560,272 3 14	37,876,084 9 4	15		1,279,863 12 10	
1806	9,548,920 6 3	44,765,873 0 103	54,314,793 7 2	50,897,706 5 104	3,417,087 1 33	
1807	9,538,508 1 7	45,485,499 7 0	55,024,007 8 74		:	
1808	9,524,724 1 51	43,970,956 9 8			::	٠,
1809	9,104,238 9 7			62,498,191 9 74	::	8,5/2,5/6
1810		52,274,730 5 04	61,378,109 12 31	_	::	0 9
1811	9,102,580 17 24	52,551,395 4 3}				2,430,366 10 10
1812	9,101,931 10 113	58,648,377 8 3½		65,173,545 12 84	2,574,763 6 6	
1813	9,101,399 7 7	60,604,064 7 73		-		
1814	9,100,154 8 1	77,406,919 8 6		9	17,758,710 10 4	
1815	9,098,917 16 44	76,227,766 13 10	12	71,134,503 2 25	14,192,181 12 104	
1816	9,098,046 6 10}	60,559,275 15 11§	69,657,322 2 94	72,210,512 15 74		2,553,090 12 95
	226,356,723 16 8	1,027,750,537 19 9	1,254,107,261 16 54 1,081,513,382	1,081,513,382 0 10	195,870,641 12 114	23,276,761 17 2}
Whiteha	Whitehall, Treasury Chambers.		Deduct Excess of	Deduct Excess of Revenue	23,276,761 17 21	
	(a					

APPENDIX.-No. V.

National Debt Office, 12th April 1828.

RETURN to an Order of the Honourable the Select Committee of the House of Commons on Finance; requiring Answers to the following Questions.

QUESTION.

First—If three per cent. Stock should be at such prices as to yield Interest at the rate' of three and a half and four per cent, respectively; and if the sum of One Million a-year were applied in converting three per cent. Stock into Annuities for 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 66, 65, 70, 75, 80, 85 and 90 years, how much Stock could be so converted, supposing the said sum of One Million to be divided into twelve equal parts, and each part into one of the beforementioned Annuities?

ANSWER.

When the interest of money, payable half-yearly, is at the rate of

	31 per cent.	4 per cent.
The Annuity		
for 35 years should be converted for Stock	1.00	8,332,106
40	8,351,089	10,765,109
45	10,459,391	13,730,925
50	12,967,100	17,346,239
55	15,949,881	21,753,287
60	19,497,733	27,125,453
65		33,674,094
70	28,737,046	41,656,850
75	34,707,455	41.337.786
80		63,249,744
85		77,709,403
90		95,335,644
	L313,332,689	L462,066,640

QUESTION.

Second.—How large an amount of three per cent. Stock ought to be converted into a terminable Annuity of 30, 40, or 50 years, on payment of a bonus of One Million Sterling, when Stocks are at such prices as to yield interest at the rate of 3, 4, and 5 per cent respectively?

A	N	g	u	E	R

And when the Stocks	When the	e Annuity termin	ates in
yield interest at the	30 years.	40 years.	50 years.
rate of 3 per cent. The said Bonus should afford a	2,443,220	3,290,663	4,432,046
5 per cent. conversion of the adjoining capitals in 3 per cents.	4,374,708 7,332,963	6,500,586 12,015,946	9,659,5 23 19.689,5 27

J. FINLAYSON, Actuary of the National Debt.

APPENDIX.—No. VI.

PENSIONS ON CIVIL LIST: ENGLAND.

NAME. Date of Grant.	Amo	unt.	NAME. Date of Grant.	Am	our	ıt,
Arnold, James R. 20 July, 1793	<i>I</i> .181 1	19 0	Brodrick, Mary 10 Feb. 1827	T.900	6	7
Aspenwall, Marg. C. 8 Aug. 1804		8 6	Brooksbank, Eliza-		•	•
Attwood, Isabella 1 March, 1811	23 1		beth, Isabella, and			
Auckland, George J.			Elizabeth Hermoine 2 April 1827	300	7	3
Baron . 21 July, 1814	300	7 3	Beaumont, Anne S. 6 Feb. 1829	40	8	6
Audley, G. J. Baron 17 March, 1821	462 1	5 7	Browne, Sir Henry 26 Aug. 1829	200	6	7
Arbuthnot, Harriet 5 Jan. 1823	938 1	0 0	Bathurst, Charlotte 31 Dec. 1829	100	13	5
Arnolfi, William F. 14 Jan. 1824	81 1	19 0	Cockburn, Dame			
Bradshaw, Lawrence ,,		2 5	Augusta Ann ",	538	17	0
Bradshaw, Augustus H. "		25	Clarke, Frances 3 Feb. 1779	81	19	0
Brudenell, Augusta 21 March, 1769		4 8	Cary, Lavinia Matilda ,,	81		0
Barlow, Sophia 28 Jan. 1778	37 1		Cary, Amelia Sophia ,,	81		0
Brookshank, Ann 4 March, 1783		2 0	Christie, Ann 14 June, 1783	24		8
Birt, Elizabeth 10 Nov. 1794	93 1	7 8	Calvert, Diana Ann 21 June, 1786	21		1
Biron de Goutant, J.			Christie, Ann 16 April, 1794	14	4	9
and Charlotte S. 6 July, 1803	159 1		Cooke, Eliza 20 July, 1793	135	5	5
Brudenell, Augusta 28 Jan. 1805 Batt, John Thomas 24 July, 1806		4 8 8 9	Cumberland, Lady A. 1 July 1794 Catheart, Elizabeth,	311	10	0
		8 6		389	9	0
Berens, Catherine ,,	81 1		Cockburn, Marianna 29 May 1800	115		6
Paragraph Frances C	81 1		Clare, Dowager Lady,	110	13	٠
Bisset, Christian M 4 Dec. 1806		8 5	and Lady Fitzgib-			
Blackwood, Sir Henry,		0 0	bon . 10 March, 1803	780	2	0
bart 25 April, 1809	233	5 0	Campbell, Eliza M. 16 Sept. 1805	389	9	ŏ
Bentinck, Lady Je-			Clarkson, Elizabeth C. 30 Jan. 1806	40	8	6
mima Helen 10 Nov. 1809	233	5 0	Courtenay, Elizabeth 17 Sept 1806	76		o
Ball, Catherine 29 Sept. 1812	100	8 5	Clarina, Penelope, Ba-	-		
Bower, Jane 26 June, 1813	100 1	13 5	roness . 11 March, 1813	233	5	0
Bentinck, Antionette			Clive, Mary Ann 13 March, 1815	81	19	0
W. J 28 Jan. 1814		8 5	Cort, Caroline 21 June, 1817	19	7	7
Bearcroft, Susannah 16 April, 1814	87	1 6	Cort, Catherine ,,	19	7	7
Bearcroft, Frances	87	1 6	Coke, Elizabeth A. 31 Jan. 1818	18		0
Burrard, Dame Han. 27 Nov. 1815	400 1	15 9	Cameron, Lady Marg. 22 Dec. 1819	500	6	.1
Blackwood, Sir Hen.			Campbell, Catherine 1 Aug. 1820	24		11
bart. 21 June, 1816		0 4	Cooke, Frances 27 Jan. 1821	200	6	7
Barlow, Sophia 13 Oct. 1819 Birch, William . ,,		8 5 8 5	Cooke, Mary ,, Copinger, Catherine,	200	6	4
Bacon, Henrietta S. 1 Dec. 1820		8 5	widow . 28 Jan. 1822	81	10	0
Bouverie, Hon. Ara-	100	0 0	Cumming, Ann, spin-	01	13	v
bella Edward 15 Dec. 1821	300	7 3	ster	200	6	7
Baker, Sir Robert 14 Jan. 1822		6 i	Cockburn, Dame M. 20 May, 1825	680	ĭ	8
Beddingfield, John 13 March, 1822		7 5	Coekburn, Augusta	000	•	•
Beaufort, Louisa C. 15 April, 1822		19 0	Harriet Mary 7 April, 1827	200	6	7
Bathurst, Charlotte 10 Feb. 1823		8 9	Croker, Rosamond 21 Aug. 1827	300	7	3
Barnard, Edward 6 Sept. 1823	400	5 9	Courtenay, Ann 29 Sept. 1827	200	7	3
Braine, Mary . 1 April, 1824	50	7 2	Congreve, Dame Isa. 26 Aug. 1829	311	10	0
Bathurst, Charlotte 7 Jan. 1825	200	6 7	Dorchester, Lady M. 16 Jan. 1764	115	19	6
Bankhead, P. Mary 8 Oct. 1825		7 5	Dundas, William, Jas.			
Boys, Jane Hartley 1 April, 1826		8 5	F., and John B. 23 Aug. 1804	36		0
Bathurst, Mary 5 June, 1826		7 5	Dickson, Jane 10 Feb. 1806	81		0
Bathurst, Charles 5 Aug. 1826		8 6		81		0
Brown, Thomas Henry ,,	200	6 7	Dickson, Louisa Sarah ,,	81	19	Q

NAME. Date of Grant.	Amo	ount		NAME. Date of Grant.	Am	our	nt.
Douglas, Therese M.	_	_		Griesbach, Caroline	-		
and De Bailleul, L. 5 May, 1808 I	L233	5	0	Amelia . 31 March, 1826	L50	7	2
Dundas, Dame Char. 15 Jan. 1812	780	2	0	Griesbach, Eliz. Ann "	50	7	2
De Vries, Elizabeth 24 June, 1813	80	11	0	Griesbach, F. Mary ,,	50	7	2
De Haeckel, J. P. and				Gifford, R. F. Lord 26 March, 1827	800	8	2
Ann Ernestine 6 July, 1813	200		7	Holdsworth, Eliza. 27 June, 1789	233	5	0
Drummond, Mary D. 1 July, 1818			4	Hamilton, Sir C. bt. 11 June, 1790	155	.2	ò
Douglas, Dame Ann 1 Aug. 1820	300		2	Halifax, Gertrude 2 Sept. 1793	48 48	15	0
Dwight, S., widow 2 Dec. 1820	50	7	2	Halifax, Charlotte ,, Halifax, Marianne ,,		15 15	0
Drake, Frances Ho- ratio Nelson 1 April, 1824	100	19	5			15	ŏ
Duoleo C Dioba M	100		5	Halifax, Catherine ,,		15	ŏ
De Roos, Lady G. F. 25 Aug. 1824	200		7	Halifax, Elizabeth ,,		15	0
Durell, Patty, spinster, 8 Oct. 1825	100		5	Herries, Isabella M. 17 April, 1799	115	19	6
Dean, Mary Beelby			1	Hereford, H. F. Vis. 29 May, 1800	115	19	6
and Catherine L. 19 March, 1830	300	7 :	3	Hewgill, Elizabeth 14 March, 1801	233	5	0
Ewart, John 10 Nov. 1794	93		8	Heckeren, Anna M. ,,	68	1	2
Eyre, Juliana M. 29 May, 1800	115		6	Heathcote, Antoinette 30 Nov. 1802	233	5	0
Erskine, Louisa 1 Feb. 1801			0	Hammond, George 21 Feb. 1806	150	5	8
Ernst, Charlotte 14 Oct. 1801	76		0	Hammond, Edinund ,,	150	5	8
Ditto 28 Aug. 1811	37		6	Hammond, Margaret ,, Hammond, Wm. A. ,,	150	5	8
Emmerick, Hester S. 10 Sept. 1813	40		6	Hammond, Wm. A.	150 100	5 13	8 5
Edwards, Dame L. F. 8 March 1817	150 203		8	Hope, Elizah. S. 21 April, 1806 Hereford, II. F. Vis. 17 Sept. 1806	467	12	ő
Eden, Emily . 1 July, 1818 Eden, F. Harriet 25 Jan. 1819	203		4	Herries, Isabella M. 2 Dec. 1814	115	19	6
Erskine, 11on. Hamp. 30 Sept. 1824	50		2	Hargrave, Harriet 27 Nov. 1817	50	7	2
The Line Dan Property	50		2	Hayter, Elizabeth	00	•	~
Erskine, Hon. Frances ,,	50		2	and Sophia . 16 Feb. 1818	101	4	8
Flint, Jane, Charles	00	•	~	Haldane, Maria 31 July, 1819	200	6	7
Win., Wm. and Ann 9 Oct. 1793	53	15	4	Hervey, Dame L. 30 June, 1826	300	7	3
Francis, Frances ,,	48		0	Hume, Elizabeth 5 Aug. 1826	200	6	7
Frances, Elizabeth	48		0	Humphrey, Louisa 5 April, 1827	150	5	8
				Hawker, Dorothea,			
Catherine, Louisa,				Julia and Mary 15 Dec. 1827	300	7	3
Jas., Emelia, and				Harrison, Ann 23 May, 1028		15	9
William 9 July, 1795	45	6	9	Hyde, Geo. Hooten 14 Aug. 1829		15	0
Fraser, Charlotte,				Huntingdon. Earl of 26 Aug. 1829	400	15	9
M. A., Charles H.	200	٥.	^	Hastings, Selina A. L. ,,	50 50	7	2 2
and Jane A. W. 3 April, 1799	389	9	0	Hastings, Arabella G. ,, Hastings, Louisa , ,,	40	8	6
Farrar, Ann S. and Lister Mary 17 July, 1771	311	10	0	llastings, Edward P.	40	o	u
Fitzgerald, Lord R. 12 March, 1801	624		0	R. H	40	8	6
Fox, E. B., widow 4 Dec. 1806	938		ŏ	Hastings, Richd. G. H. ,,	40	8	6
Fuller, Caroline 5 Dec. 1812	50		2	Jeans, Rev. Thomas 16 July, 1780	178		ŏ
Fuller, Louisa . "	50		2	Jennings, Ann 1 July, 1801		14	4
Fuller, Isabella . ,,	50	7	2	Jennings, Robert, John ,,	151	1	11
Fielding, Martha 7 Feb. 1821	100		5	Johnstone, Mary 28 Aug. 1811	40	8	6
Fitzroy, Lady Mary 7 Feb. 1821	200		7	Jeffrey, Lucia 30 April, 1816	200	6	7
Farmer, Sir G. R., bt. 10 July, 1822			0	Jackson, Laura II. ,,	100		5
Freewin, Rebecca 25 Aug. 1824			5	Jackson, Charles ,,	100		5
Francillon, Sarah 14 Oct. 1825			1	Johnston, E. John 9 Feb. 1827	400		9
Fitz Clarence, George 26 Aug. 1829	500		1	Kilshaw, Rev. Richd. ,,	93 431		6
Fitz Clarence, Adol. 14 Nov. 1829	500 7		1	King, H. M. widow 5 June, 1792	400		9
Godfrey, Edward Lee ,, Gordon, Albina E. 1 July, 1794			0	Knollis, Ltgen. W. 21 Sept. 1814 Knight, E. Cornelia 31 Dec. 1814	300	7	3
Gordon, Albina E. 1 July, 1794 Gwynne, G. Maria 29 May, 1800			6	Kuper, Rev. William 19 Oct. 1816	400		9
Grattan, Lucia C. 10 March, 1803	32		ŏ	Lock, F. Augusta 18 March, 1811	81		ŏ
Cratton Carolina C	32		ŏ	Lushington, Dame			
Grattan, Frances C. ,,	32		ō	Fanny Maria 27 Nov. 1813	350	8	в
Goddard, Isabella 6 May, 1812		18	7	Leigh, George, and			
Grey, Elizabeth M. 1 July, 1820	81	19	Ô	Mary his wife 6 Sept. 1819	700	6	11
Grey, Anna Maria ,,	81		0	Lennox, S. G., Lady 2t Dec. 1819	150	5	8
Gordon, George H. ,,	155		0	Lavie, Dame Mary 15 April, 1822	155	2	0
Gwyn, Mary 2 March, 1821	400		9	Leach, W. Elford 14 Aug. 1822	100		5
Grange, Mary G. 15 Dec. 1821	50	7	2		200	6	7
Goddard, Louisa 7 Jan. 1825	40	8	ø	Lloyd, Mary Harriett 26 Aug. 1829	200	0	7

NAME. Date of	Grant, An	3011	nt.	NAME.	Date of Grant.	An	our	at.
		_	-			-		
	v. 1785 L.115		6	Nicolay, Maria Geor giana, widow .	16 Sept. 1818 <i>I</i>	950	7	5
Molleson, Eleanor 20 July			ő	Onslow, George W.	2 June, 1778	81		ŭ
	1. 1798 100		5	Onslow, Arthur .	,,	81		0
Minto, Earl of , 2 Apri	l, 1800 - 938		0	O'Hara, Jemima	27 Aug. 1773	81	19	U
Montfort, Henry, Lord 8 Oct Miller, Ann . 12 March			5	O'Brien, Margaretta Madelena, widow	a 16 Feb. 1818	155	2	0
Montfort, II. Lord 10 March		2	ő	Paul, Frances Rich		100	-	
Mallet, Ann, Ba-				mond .	. ,,	22		6
roness de . 1 June		13	5	Ponsonby, Sarah	2 July, 1788	37		6
	c. 1803 - 300 g. 1805 - 194		8 6	Perrott, Dame M. J Pye, Martha, widow	7 Sept. 1789 8 Dec. 1813	48 60	7	9
Magra, Harriett .	. 194		6	Pelham, Hon. Cath		•	•	
M'Donogh, Harriet 7 No	v. 1805 100	13	5	rine, widow	16 Sept. 1818	233	5	0
Marsden, Elizabeth	1000 000	_		Pent, Maria	1 .lulv, 1820	155	7	0
and Maria . 4 De- Morell, Frances 14 Sep	e. 1806 - 300 + 1808 - 81	7 19	3	Popham, Mary Rigg Page, Mary Harriet	1 Aug. 1820	50 60	7	9
Milnes, Sir Robert	t. 1000 ui			Page Ann	1 11119, 1020	60	7	9
Shore, hart 14 March			10	Page, Emma Rose	"	60	7 7 7	9
Moore, James 24 July			0	Page, Elizabeth		60		9
M'Gowan, Ann 1 Marel			0	Price, John Ponsonby, Sarah	17 Aug. 1821 14 Jan. 1822	200 47	6 5	2
Moore, Elizabeth 27 Sep Mornington, Ann,	1. 1011 100	-	v	Pearce, Elizabeth	21 Feb. 1822	150	5	8
Count. Dowg. of 20 July			9	Proetor, A., widow	10 July, 1822	50	7	2
		19	6	Pritchard, Anastasi	A TO . 1000	=0	~	0
Muirson, Harriet 22 No Muirson, Mary Ann	v. 1815 40	8	6	Benedict F Portmore, Thomas	9 Dec. 1822	50	7	2
Sansbury . ,	. 40	8	6	Earl of .	15 April, 1825	233	5	0
Muirson, Clara	.16	8	6	Pack, Arthur John	5 July, 1625	100		5
Maccarmick, Cathe-	1010 0		_	Pack, Denis William	1 ,,	100		5
rine Dorothea 31 Jan Maccarmick, Leonora		11	5 5	Pack, Elizabeth C.	"	100 100		5 5
		13	5	Papendiech, Augus	ta ,,	100	10	
M'Creight, Sarah 21 Ma		13	5	Amelia A	16 April, 1827	100	8	5
Murray, Hon. Deb. 15 De	c. 1621 200	6	7	Planta, Barbara U.		200	6	7
Muskerry, Sarah, Ba- roness 6 Jun	e, 1825 233	5	0	Ponsonby, Sarah Pennell, Rosamond	26 Aug. 1829	200	6	′
		13	5	Hester Elizabeth		100	13	5
Mellish, Eleonora	,, 50	7	2	Rochford, Earl of	10 Feb. 1782	760	1	0
	,, 50	7	2	Randall, Susannah				
74	,, 50		2	(now Lemyn), Ma tha (now Bowen	ir-			
	,, 50		2	and Elizabeth (ne				
	,, 50	7	2	Moore)	19 March, 1782	40	8	6
Mountain, Eliza	1 .000 000			Rose, Theodora	9 Feb. 1785	233	5	0
M. W 1 Apri Mitchell, Martha M. 29 Apri	l, 1826 300 l, 1826 50		3 2	Robinson, Catherine Gertrude	9 Oct. 1793	467	12	0
		13	5	Rees, Mary .	2 May, 1798	12	1	ō
Montgomery, Marian				Routh, Abigail	30 Nov. 1802	81	19	0
Emily 30 Jun			6	Rooke, Dame Harri	et 14 Sept. 1808	233	5	0
Montgomery, Matilda Montgomery, Isabella	,, 40	8	6	Sophia Rodney, Hon. Ann	1 Sept. 1812	76		ő
Eliza	,, 40	8	6	Raynsford, Mary	5 Dec. 1812	50	7	2
Mulgrave, Sophia,				Raynstord, Louisa	,,	50	7	2
Countess of 26 Au			2	Radstock, Cornelia	25 Nov. 1814	389	9	0
Noel, Maria . 27 Jun Nepean, Margaret 2 No	e, 1789 - 81 v. 1792 - 501	19 16	0 6	Jacoba, Baroness Rook, Jane Elizabet		60		9
Nott, Charlotte Geor-	1. 1752 501		0	Rook, Mary Hannal		60		9
	t. 1799 115	19	6	Rothes, Charlotte Ja	ılia,		_	
Nedderberg, Sara Hen-			_	Dowager Count. o		155 40	2 8	6
drika . 14 Marcl Newcastle, Ann M.	n, 1801 68	1	2	Rogers, Elizabeth Rogers, Hon. Ann,	1 July, 1818	40	O	U
Duchess Dow. of, 10 March	n. 1803 780	2	0	additional* .	16 Sept. 1818		19	0
		18	6	Russell, Lucy, wido	w 1 Aug. 1820	18	0	0
Nicolay, Augusta G.			,	Roby, Harriet Ann	80 July, 1821	43 194	13	3 .6
Louisa . 26 Oct.	1813 100	13	5	Ross, Charlotte, wid	. ,о дерг, 1825	104	۵	.0

NAME. Date of Grant	Amount.	NAME. Date of Grant.	Amount.
Richardson, Fanny,		Taylor, Ann . 1 March, 1811	L.15 9 0
Elizabeth & Sarah 31 May, 1824.	L.101 19 8	Trefusis, Barbara 28 Sept. 1812	81 19 0
Rennie, Margarel 28 Oct. 1825	40 8 6	Tyrconnel, John De-	01 13 0
Rumbold, Emily 5 Aug. 1826	115 19 6	laval, Earl of 9 March, 1813	600 8 9
Dome build Com Piling	115 19 6	Taylor, Majgen. Sir	000 6 3
	101 4 8		933 10 0
	81 19 0		
Sunduis, Christopher 4 July, 1790 Shaw, Mary . 10 Nov. 1794	93 17 8		
	48 15 0	Tyndale, William 1 Aug. 1820	
	46 15 0	Torrens, Dame Sarah	624 0 0
Stephenson, Hon.	100 8 5	Tildesley, Anna S. 31 May, 1825	61 4 11
Jane 1 June, 1803	100 8 5 334 15 9	Van de Spiegle,	00 1 0
Shee, Dame Eliz. M. 2 Dec. 1803	554 IS B	Adolph W. 14 March, 1801	68 1 2
Strangford, Maria,	233 5 0	Van de Spiegle, Maria	
Dowg. Viscountess 9 May, 1804		Adriana ", 1001	68 1 2
Stanhope, Caroline 28 Jan. 1805		Vassar, Mary, widow 27 June, 1821	50 7 2
Southey, Robert 31 March, 1807		Webber, Mary 21 June, 1786	21 16 1
Selwyn, Charlotte 14 Aug. 1807	81 19 0	Willis, John . 29 Jan. 1791	559 6 10
Selwyn, Albinia F. ,,	81 19 0	Wraxall, Jane 20 July, 1793	311 10 0
Selwyn, Mary Louisa "	81 19 0	Walsingham, Lord,	
Selwyn, Hen. Eliz.	81 19 0	and De Grey, Thos. 27 July, 1794	9 3 8 10 0
Smith, Isabella 28 Aug. 1811	40 8 6	Wilmot, Sarah Ann	
Smith, Lady Ann C. 12 Oct. 1812	600 8 9	Eardley, widow 14 Sept. 1797	311 10 0
Smith, Dame Car-		Wellington, Charlotte	
terette 16 March, 1813	155 2 0	Henrietta M. 29 May, 1800	115 19 6
Sterky, Rev. Alex. 19 Oct. 1816	400 15 9	Wickham, Eleanor M. 1 June, 1808	526 6 5
Sheridan, R. Brins. 2 March, 1818	57 1 6	Wilkins, Catherine	
Sheridan, Helen S. "	57 1 6	Eliza Marianne 29 May, 1800	115 19 6
Sheridan, Caroline E. S. "	57 1 6	Williams, Mary 17 Sept. 1906	40 8 6
Sheridan, Jane Geor. ,,	57 1 6	Winning, Henrietta 14 Sept. 1808	233 5 0
Sheridan, Francis C. ,,	57 1 6	Windus, Ann . 1 March, 1811	40 15 O
Sheridan, Charles K. ,,	57 1 6	Waldron, Jane . 22 Oct. 1812	40 8 6
Seymour, Sophia A. 1 July, 1818	01 19 0	Whitchouse, Eliz. 28 Aug. 1813	50 7 2
Sherwood, Susan 31 Oct. 1819	15 9 0	Wharton, Hen. widow 28 Dec. 1813	501 17 O
Sherwood, Rebecca ,,	15 9 0	Wolfe, Ann . 16 Sept. 1818	40 8 6
Sherwood, Ann ,,	15 9 0	Welsh, Mary Ann 1 July, 1819	50 7 2
Sherwood, Elizabeth ,,	15 9 0	Wynyard, Lady M. 30 June, 1019	467 12 0
Smithers, Joseph 1 Aug. 1820	15 9 0	Wills, Judith Eliz. 1 Aug. 1020	50 7 23
Shepherd, George ,,	40 8 6	Wills, Mary	50 7 2
Stables, Ann, widow 17 April, 1821	200 6 7	Wragg, John . ,,	26 8 11
Stuart, Sir S. H., bt. 14 May, 1822	200 6 7	Wilcox, Elizabeth D. 27 Jan. 1821	100 13 5
Shaw, Lieutcol. M. 24 April, 1824	500 6 1	Wright, Phillis, wid. 2 March, 1821	90 2 3
Stoddart, Jane Car. 26 April, 1024	65 4 0	Whittingham, Maria	
Scott, Anne Lindsay 8 Oct. 1825	250 7 5	Magdalena , 14 June, 1822	400 15 9
Stepney, Dame Cathe-		Whitaker, Lucy, wid. 6 Sept. 1023	40 8 6
rine, widow . 28 Feb. 1826	200 6 7	Wiseman, Harriet 31 May, 1825	100 13 5
Scott, Sir D. D. bart. 7 April, 1827	300 7 8	Willimott, Mary 31 May, 18:7	100 13 5
Spearman, A. A. and		Watson, Sir F. B. 21 Aug. 1827	988 10 O
Margaret Young 22 Dec. 1827	120 15 10	Wright, Alex. James 15 Nov. 1827	50 7 2
Thistlethwayte, Caro-		Wright, Alfred C. J. "	25 4 3
line . 28 Jan. 1778	40 8 6	Wright, Victorine Ca-	
Tinling, Frances 21 June, 1786	21 16 1	roline M. T ,,	25 4 3
Trimlestown, Ann,		Woodger, Thomas 18 Jan. 1828	50 7 2
Baroness Dowager 1 June, 1803	155 2 0	Yonge, Dame Ann 5 Dec. 1812	300 7 8
Ditto 1 Sept. 1810	100 13 5		
		TOTAL L.70	0,010 11 0

In those Pensions where the date of the grant is not given, the exact date cannot be ascertained; those Pensions were all however granted previous to 1784.

PENSIONS ON IRISH CIVIL LIST.

NAME.	Date of Grant.	Am	oun	t.	NAME.	Date of Grant.	Am	our	ıt.
Annesley, Richard Widow and Chi	1-		_		Browne, Sarah Browne, James	24 March, 1823	L.43 43		4
dren of	6 April, 1753 <i>L</i> a 24 June, 1772			4	Burrowes, Mary Ar and Eliza Baskerville, Ellen	in 10 March, 1825 3 Oct. 1826	35 37	2	8
Aylmer, Lord, an H. F. W. Aylmer	d 24 Feb. 1783	356	8	8	Bowles, Chs. Oldfie and Eliz, his wife	eld : 17 Feb. 1827		5	8
Ashworth, Robert Ashworth, Henriett		266		4	Brownrigg, Ann Browne, Frederick	1 March, 1827 14 Feb. 1828	95 47		8
Ashworth, F Ashworth, C	"	266 177		8	Browne, Ellen . Cavendish, James	14 April, 1788	47 132		8
Adair, George Annesley, Richard	23 Dec. 1790 17 Sept. 1792	88	1	0	Cuthbertson, Olivi Cuthbertson, Julia	a 9 Nov. 1791	42 42		4
Annesley, Elizabet Allen, Viscountess		88 266	1 18	0 4	Cuthbertson, Cath Cary, Charlotte	29 June, 1792	42 52		4
Ditto . Aylmer, Lucy .	. 23 Oct. 1806 16 July, 1814	88 43	1	θ 4	Cavan, Earl of Cavendish, Theod.	7 June, 1796	266	18	4
Allan, Viscount Anderson, Dame C	15 Sept. 1821	266		4	Crosbie, Elizabeth Cockayne, Barbara	18 June, 1798	222	3	9
	1 March, 1827	47 23	12	8	Campbell, Dugale Catherine his wif	1, X			8
Barton, James .	1 Jan. 1777	5	5	ō	Clarina, P. Barone Crosbie, Margaret	ss 23 May, 1810	177	11	8
Burleigh, Rich. May Frances and Ann	ie 4 Feb. 1782	88	.1	θ	Crofton, Frances	,,	43	18	4
Benson, Mary Bookey, Tho. True	lock 4 Feb. 1786	35	2	8	Copinger, Jane L. Crofton, George	15 June, 1813	43		4
Bookey, Wm. True Bagot, Jane	12 March, 1792	35 90	2 19	4	Campbell, C. Eline Corncille, C. Soph	ia 3 April, 1816	132		8 4
Bowen, Car. Cord	21 March, 1792	88	1	0	Crofton, Hon. Care Cartwright, Sarah		21	13	8
Bourchier, Mary Bruce, George Wal	ker "	88 35	2	0	Cartwright, Anne Cartwright, Elinor	, ,,	21 21	13	9
Beckford, Elinor Burgh, Ann	14 March, 1794	61 177	11	8	Cartwright, Eliza Clarke, Eliz. Marti		21		0
Burgh, Elizabeth Burgh, Catherine	* "	266 222	3	4 0	James & Harriet Connor, E. Execute	ors of 4 May, 1819	177		8
Blaquiere, Sir J. Borough, Sir R. ba	rt. 31 Dec, 1794	177	11	8	Chamberlaine, Lu Cameron, Jane	. 28 Nov. 1820	43	18	4
Pellew, William Ditto	26 Nov. 1861	266 132	16	4	Crawford, Jane Cox, Letitia E.	24 May, 1823	70	15	4
Burgh, Ann Blaquiere, J. Baron		177 893	13	8	Corneille, Eliz. Clutterbuck, Eliza	, Jane,		1	0
Brown, Bridget Ditto	. 8 July, 1811 . 24 Oct. 1815	88 43		4	Eliza and Alicia Campbell, Dame I	Pamela		7	4
	1. 8 July, 1811 24 June, 1812	21 43	18	0 4	Adel. Fel. Hen. Curtis, Elizabeth	28 Sept. 1829 22 June, 1830		12 12	8
Blake, John Blake, Henry Jame		43 43	18	4	Dyson, Jeremiah, presentatives of	27 Jan. 1770	893	13	0
Bellew, William Burnside, Thomas		132 88	ı	0	Daly, Richard, F presentative of	6 Nov. 1797		1	0
Baker, Lady E. M. Benning, Eliza	. 30 Nov. 1815	445 43	18	4	Duhigg, Mary And Dickson, Jane	 9 Sept. 1816 	88	5 1	8
Bruce, Sir S. bart. Babington, Eli a F	7. 16 Sept. 1018	177 43	18	8 4	Dickson, Caroline Dickson, Louisa S	arah ,,	88 88	1	0
Battley, Arabella Brandon, W. Baror		43 266	18	4		. ,,	21		0
Ditto Blake, Margaret	28 Nov. 1820	266 88	ì	4 0	Errol, Countess D.		88	1	4 0
Blundell, Elizabetl Beaufort, Mary	1 . 2 Sept. 1821	43 78		4	Ellison, Florinda Ellison, Susan	8 July, 1811	21 21	13 13	0

NAME.	Date of Grant.	Am	oun	۱۰ ر	NAME.	Date of Grant.	Amou	nt.
Ellison, Phoebe .	8 July, 1811	L.21	13	0	Hamilton, John,	le-		
Erck, Jane Martha	15 June, 1813		ĭ	ŏ	ceased, Children		.445 19	4
Ellison, Catherine	14 Feb. 1828	95		0	Hernon, Sarah	. 8 Aug. 1789	61 16	4
Francis, Isabella F.		132		4	Hernon, Elizabeth	*	61 16	4
Ditto	17 March, 1794	132		4	Heatley, Mary	. 24 Sept. 1790	177 11 186 10	8
Forsayeth, Charlte.		43 43		4	Hamilton, Henry Ditto	10 March, 1795 16 July, 1795	123 17	0
Fitter, Jane Fox, Anne	29 Aug. 1796 14 June, 1797		1	õ	Hamilton, Jane	10 March, 1795	88 1	ŏ
Fortescue, Jane .	3 Feb. 1804	266		4	Ditto .	16 July, 1795	43 18	4
Fetherston, Eliza, C				- 1	Hamilton, Sack. I		88 I	0
therine, Sarah, I	sa-			- 1	Hamilton, Arabel			
bella, Maria a	nd			.	Elizabeth, Mar			
Octavia .	18 Feb. 1806	266		8		16 March, 1796	445 19 88 1	4
Faulkner, Anne	28 Aug. 1807	356 132	8	4	Hume, Hannah Hamilton, Anne	29 Aug. 1796 16 July, 1814	43 18	0 4
Fisher, Lucy . Fisher, Charles F.	15 June, 1813		ì	ō	Handfield, Cather		88 1	ō
Freemantle, Georgi	ana ,,	43		4	Handfield, Anne	Mar	88 1	õ
Freemantle, Albini		43	18	4	Handfield, Eliza	,,	88 1	0
Freemantle, France	es A. ,,	43		4	Handfield, Jane I	sa. "	88 1	0
Finucane, Emma	6 Sept. 1814	88	1	0	Handfield, Mary	3 April, 1816	88 1	0
Flint, Sir Charles,		000		.	Handfield, Julia I	ucy "	88 1	0
Dame Anna Mar		266 132		4	Handfield, Sarah	1 Moreh 1016	88 1 66 5	8
Foules, Dame Mar.	9 Sept. 1816	266		4	Hume, Eliza Grac Hore, Elizabeth	13 Oct. 1817	132 16	4
Fox, Anne Farina, Margaret	17 July, 1820	21		ō	Holmes, Margare		26 2	8
Fitzhum, Madame		43		4	Ditto .	17 July, 1820	17 7	Ö
Fitzgibbon, Thoma		70		4	Headfort, March.	of 21 Sept. 1821	88 1	0
Fabian, Robert C.	14 Feb. 1828	111		8	Hargrove, France	s, &		
Figg, Fanny .	28 Sept. 1829	47		8	Frances Eliza,	Jane		
Gordon, Jane	22 Oct. 1772	43		4	and George	. 14 May, 1823	17 7	
Guydickens, Franc			1	8	Hayman, Anne	25 Oct. 1823	266 18 52 t7	
Green, Alice . Ditto .	23 May, 1810 15 June, 1813	43 43		4	Hare, Louisa Hunter, Sir Richa	rd 14 Jan. 1826	177 11	8
Gore, Sophia Jane	24 Aug. 1813		1	0	Ditto .	17 Feb. 1827	111 0	
Gregory, William,			-		Hart, John .	15 Dec. 1828	114 18	õ
Lady Ann .	23 Sept. 1814	445	19	4	Hutchinson, Day	id		
Godfrey, Letitia	1 March, 18t6	2 t		0	Wilkinson .	22 June, 1830	95 10	0
Gilholy, Maria	28 Nov. 1820		13	0	Hutchinson, Eliza		47 12	a
Griffith, Walter H.	19 April, 1821	17	7	0	Joneourt, Isaac S		177 11	•
Griffith, Anne Griffith, Mary Eliz	,,	17 17	4	0	phen Lewis De Jebb, Elizabeth	30 Oct. 1784 20 Oct. 1792	177 11 66 5	8
Griffith, Henry All		17	7	ŏ	Jebb, Ross,	. "	66 5	
Griffith, George	en ,,	17	ż	ŏ	Jebb, Elizabeth	• "	3º 17	
Griffith, Charlotte	10 Sept. 1821	17	7	0	Jebb, Mary	• ,,	32 17	0
Griffith, Wm. Down	nes ,,	17	7	0	Jebb, Margaret	. ,,	82 17	0
Griffith, Charles J.	,,	17	777777777777777777777777777777777777777	0	Johnston, Sir W	il-		_
Griffith, Arthur H	ill ,,	17	7	0	liam, hart.	14 March, 1794	714 11	
Griffith, Harriet Sa	uran 10 Dec. 1821	17 88	í	0	Jarnac, Madame o Joddrell, Augusta		177 11 177 11	
Going, Joanna Ditto	2 Aug. 1823		î	ŏ	Jackson, Sophia	23 March, 1796	35 2	
Gifford, Robert Fra	ncis.	- 00	•	•	Innes, Susannah	8 July, 1811	43 18	
Baron .	27 June, 1827	204	0	6	Jenkins, Harriet	**	21 13	
Gosset, Elizaheth					Johnson, C. Mari		88 1	
& Gertrude Mary			5	8	Johnson, Anna H		88 1	
Gosset, Ralph Alle	n 17 Feb. 1829			0	Johnstone, Edwir		177 11	
Gore, John Going, Francis Am	28 Sept. 1829			8	Jephson, Henriet Jephson, Isabella		29 7 28 7	4
Hooper, Charles,		4/	12,	0	Juxon, Eliza	28 Sept. 1929	23 10	
P. Martin, Rep					Kelly, James	1 Jan. 1777	5 5	
sentatives of	15 Dec. 1728	177	11	8	Kennedy, Anne	18 July, 1781	88 1	. 0
Hall, John .	l Jan. 1777	5	5	0	Knox, John .	9 June, 1800	585 10	
Henderson, John	aa 73''	5	5	0	Knox, Mary Ann	e 24 Nov. 1801	177 11	
Hasler, Sarah	20 Dec. 1780			4	Knox, John	8 Dec, 1802	177 11	
Hamilton, Arab. Hyland, Thomas	19 March, 1785 9 Jan. 1787		11	8	Kirwan, Wilhelm King, Elizabeth	14 Dec. 1809	266 18 43 18	
Houghton, Penelo			10		King, Margt. & S		43 18	
Giron, I enero	20 10 000 1/0/		•	•		-F 22 1 CO. 1010		_

NAME. Date of Grant.	Amour	ıt.	NAME. Date of Grant.	Amount.
Keating, Oliver 18 Nov. 1818	T.43 18	4	Molesworth, Visc. 16 Sept. 1010	7.177 11
Keating, John	43 18	4	Ditto 29 July, 1820	177 11 8
Keating, Harriet ,,	43 10	4	Mitford, Letitia 17 July, 1820	43 18 4
Keating, Harriet Kinsale, Lord 13 Feb. 1823	356 8	8	Ditto 21 Sept. 1021	43 18 4
Kent, Elizabeth 10 March, 1825	35 2	0	Mountmorres, Visc. 28 Nov. 1820	43 18 4
Kennedy, Elizabeth,			Moore, John . 13 Feb. 1923	88 1 0
Susannab, Sarah			Marley, Elizabeth 10 March, 1825	39 8 8
and Ellen . 14 Jan, 1826	88 1	0	Ditto 3 March, 1626	48 8 0
Kingsland, Viseount 10 Oct. 1826 Lennox, Lady L. M. 24 May, 1764	192 5 445 19	8	Mountmorres, Vis. 10 March, 1825 Marshall, Frances 3 March, 1826	177 11 B 88 I U
Lyndon, Helena 4 Oct. 1766	172 19	4	Montgomery, Lady 13 April, 1826	146 5 0
Leatherland, Thomas 1 Jan. 1777	5 5	ō	Mountmorres, Visc.	88 1 0
Langrishe, Hannah 17 March, 1794	266 18	4	Maturin, Harriet . ,,	43 18 4
Ditto . "	177 11	8	Montgomery, Lady,	
Lally Tollendal,			and Marian Emily 17 Feb. 1827	92 12 0
Count de . 19 March, 1794	266 18	4	Macleod, Lady Ara. 28 Sept. 1829	47 12 8
Langrishe, Anne 29 Aug. 1796	177 11	8	Morris, Theodosia ,,	47 12 8
Loftus, Arthur 20 June, 1798	43 18		Newburgh, Mary E. 4 Feb. 1782	177 11 8
Lysaght, Eliza. H. 23 May, 1810	43 18	4	Neshitt, Henry 18 Aug. 1786	66 5 8
Lynch, Martin F. 24 June, 1812 Lloyd, Mary, Anne	132 16	4	North, Elizabeth 9 Jan. 1787 Newenham, Thomas 29 June, 1792	52 17 4 177 11 8
Emma 8 April, 1815	266 18	4	Newenham, Robert	1// 11 0
Lyndon, Anne 24 Oct, 1815	21 18	õ	O'Callaghan . "	88 1 0
Lloyd, Emma 3 April, 1816	177 11	8	Ditto . 19 March, 1794	177 11 8
Lloyd, Charles 21 June, 1816	43 18	4	Neal, Mary . 28 Sept. 1829	23 10 4
Lambart, Florinda			O'Reilly, Myles J. 24 June, 1812	222 3 0
and Catherine 16 Sept. 1818	177 11	8	O'Dwyer, Cath. 1 March, 1816	92 10 8
Lambart, Catherine 28 Nov. 1820	88 1	0	O'Dwyer, Marcella ,,	57 6 8
Lloyd, Emma 8 March, 1821	88 1	0	O'Dwyer, Jane	57 6 B 88 1 0
Lyaght, Sophia 10 Dec. 1821 Lefann, Elizabeth 25 Oct. 1823	43 18 88 1	0	Ornosby, Margaret 17 July, 1820	88 I 0 21 t3 0
Lynch, Jane . 9 Feb. 1824	48 8	ö	O'Connell, Louisa 10 Dec. 1821 O'Connell Alicia	21 13 0
Lynch, Emily . ,,	48 8	ŏ	O'Reilly, Christopher 28 Sept. 1829	47 12 8
Lynch, Maria 10 March, 1825	35 2	ō	O'Driscoll, Dorothea	47 12 8
Lefanu, Elizabeth and			Pennefather, John 31 Oct. 1771	26 2 8
Elizabeth . 17 Feb. 1827	47 12	8	Pennefather, William ,,	26 2 8
Laffan, Sir Joseph de			Pennefather, Mary ,,	26 2 8
Courcey 5 Dec. 1828	192 5	8	Pennefather, Catherine ,,	26 2 8
La Touche, Lady C. 28 Sept. 1829	95 10	0	Pennefather, Margaret "	26 2 8
Molesworth, Elizabeth 15 Jan. 1756 Masters, John 1 Jan. 1777	61 16 5 5	0	Pickford, Jacob D. 7 June, 1776 Pringle, Margaret 25 Nov. 1785	222 3 0 88 1 0
Moore, Jane 16 Aug. 1785	177 11	8	Pringle, Margaret 25 Nov. 1785 Purcell, Toby 23 Dec. 1790	70 15 4
Meares, Sarah 23 Dec. 1790	177 11	8	Pickard, Eliza and Jane "	60 8 8
May, Sir G. S., bart. 18 Sept. 1792	88 I	0	Penrose, Jane . 6 Sept. 1793	43 18 4
Mountjoy, Lord, Re-			Parks, William 8 Oct. 1794	921 7 4
presentatives of 8 Oct. 1794	177 11	8	Ponsonby, Sarah 2 Oct. 1809	88 II 6
Ditto . ,, Mosse, Lewis . 4 Jan. 1795	183 2	4	Preston, Frances 23 May, 1810	68 1 0
	88 1 88 1	0	Ponsonby, Sarah	43 18 4 43 18 4
Marlay, Elizabeth 20 June, 1798 Murphy, Michael 23 Oct. 1800	88 I	0	Pilsworth, Abigail 8 July, 1811 Parsons, Mary 15 June, 1813	177 lt 8
Marsden, Alexander 3 Feb. 1804	356 8	8	Paine, Mary 10 Oct. 1814	61 16 4
M'Kenna, Theob. 20 March, 1805	266 18	4	Pilot, Judith H. 17 July, 1820	43 18 4
Marsden, Elizabeth 18 Feb. 1806	266 18	4	Phillott, Dame F. 28 Nov. 1820	88 t 0
Marsden, Alexander 27 Jan. 1807	266 18	4	Paine, Anne Jane 10 Dec. 1821	17 7 0
Milnes, Sir R.S. and			Percival, Harriet . ,,	43 18 4
Dame Charlotte 2 Jan. 1809	445 19	4	Proctor, Anne J. 10 March, 1825	21 18 0
Murray, Sarah 23 May, 1810	43 18	4	Pack, Catherine A. 3 March, 1626	43 18 4
Milhank, Alice 8 July, 1811	30 12	4	Price, Lucinda 1 March, 1827	51 10 4 88 1 0
Montgomery, Sir George, bart. 10 Dec. 1813	356 8	8	Rodney, John . 7 April, 1781 Rodney, Jane . ,	88 1 0
Molesworth, Mary I March, 1816	88 1	ő	Rodney, Jane	88 1 0
Ditto . 3 March, 1926	1 88	ŏ	Rodney, Anne Rodney, Sarah	0 1 88
Melville, Michael L. 18 Nov. 1818	21 13	ŏ	Ridge, Catherine 5 Oct. 1785	29 2 8
Melville, Henry Crost ,,	21 13	0	Ridge, Sarah . ,,	29 2 8
Melville, Mary Anne ,,	21 18	0	Ridge, Anne, , ,,	29 2 8
Melville, Frances	21 13	0	Richardson, Ursula 23 Dec. 1790	172 19 4

NAME. Date of Grant,	Amount.	NAME. Date of Grant.	Amount.
Roberts, Sonath. B. 17 March, 179 I Roberts, Charlotte		Stack, Mary . 14 Feb. 1828	L18 13 4 57 6 8
and Mary . 31 Dec. 1794 Roche, Dame Mary 29 July, 1797	132 16 4 177 11 8	Shawe, Mary, Catherine and Anne 28 Sept. 1829	95 10 0
Ditto 23 Nov. 1801	266 18 4	Turger, Sarah 24 Nov. 1774	42 15 4
Rounds, Jane . 8 July, 1811	88 1 0	Thompson, Robert 23 Dec. 1790	88 1 9
Radcliffe, Maria 24 Oct. 1815	70 15 4		192 16 4
Russell, Elinor 1 March, 1816 Ready, John 3 April, 1816	52 17 4 177 11 8	Trail, Clarissa 24 April, 1809 Tisdale, Mary 14 Dec. 1809	356 8 8 88 1 9
Ready, Charles 13 Oct. 1817	177 11 8		445 19 4
Rich, Sir George . "	132 16 4	Taylor, Sarah , 10 Oct. 1814	52 17 4
Roseonimon, Coun-		Tracy, Elizabeth 4 Nov. 1814	66 5 8
Renny, Mary Jane 17 July, 1820	88 1 0 88 1 0	Tiglie, George W. 17 Oct. 1815 Taylor, Thomas 24 Oct. 1815	356 8 B 43 18 4
Renny, Elizabeth A. 28 Nov. 1820	88 1 0	Trimbleston, Anna,	45 10 4
Renny, Isabella F 27 Nov. 1821	88 1 0	Baroness . 25 Oct. 1823	26 2 8
Ram, Abel and Eliza-		Tomlins, Sir Thomas	
beth . 1 March, 1827	95 10 0	E. and Dame Eliza-	
Roscommon, Earl of 28 Sept. 1829 Strangford, Viscount,	192 5 8	heth 10 March, 1825 Tighe, Charlotte 5 Dec. 1828	177 11 8 47 12 8
Dunghters of 28 Sept. 1764	222 8 0		445 19 4
Sneyd, Elizabeth, and		Usher, Alicia, Frances,	
Eliza her daughter 22 Jan. 1776	445 19 4	Marg, and Sarah 1 March, 1827	95 10 0
Sterling, Edward 20 Dec. 1780	177 11 8		88 1 0
Sneyd, Hannah 19 Feb. 1781 Swan, Maria . 31 Oct. 1771	266 18 4 43 18 4	Vernon, Caroline . ,, Vernon, Elizabeth . ,,	88 1 9 88 1 9
Stuart, Jane . 6 Feb. 1784	172 19 4	Vallancey, Mary 17 Dec. 1779	66 5 8
Shaw, Robert, repre-		Vallancey, Frances P. ,,	66 5 8
sentative of . 24 Aug. 1786	714 11 8	Vallancey, Catherine 23 Dec. 1790	132 16 4
Smith, Sarah 10 Oct. 1787 Stewart, Frances 23 Dec. 1790	35 2 0 88 1 0	Vernon, Harcourt and Charles . 24 Oct. 1815	66 5 8
Stratford, Hannah 8 June, 1793	48 18 4	Vallancey, Fanny 16 Sept. 1818	21 13 9
Symes, William 12 Nov. 1794	52 17 4	Ditto . 17 July, 1820	21 13 0
Strangford, Lord 2 Jan. 1797	88 1 0	Vallancey, Isabella 24 March, 1823	61 16 4
Steward, Elizabeth 29 July, 1797	114 18 0		266 18 4
Stanley, Jane 20 July, 1799 Stannis, Caroline 28 Oct. 1800	856 8 8 88 1 0		177 11 8 61 16 4
Sncyd, Anne . 28 Aug. 1807	956 B B		88 1 9
Strangford, Dowager		Warren, Anne . ,,	48 18 4
Viscountess . 2 Oct. 1809	266 18 4		43 18 4
Smyth, Barbara 8 July, 1811 Smyth, Harriet	26 2 8 26 2 8		48 18 4 52 17 4
Straton, Lady Emily 15 June, 1818	177 11 8		D2 A3 W
Sealy, Catherine . ,,	43 18 4		
Stewart, Frances 16 July, 1814	66 5 8	chael A 29 Aug. 1796	88 1 0
Stock, Mary 27 Dec. 1814	88 1 0		84 1 4 26 2 8
Standish, Frances D. 24 Oct. 1815 Standish, Olivia	66 5 8 66 5 8		266 18 4
Slow, Anne . 18 Oct. 1817	43 18 4		43 18 4
Slow, Caroline	43 18 4	Whitelaw, Elinor 15 June, 1813	177 11 8
Sewell, Hon. Harriet 10 Dec. 1821	88 1 6		177 11 8 26 2 8
Steward, Catherine U. 25 Oct. 1823 Shaw, Meyrick 10 March, 1825	266 18 4 499 14 0		43 18 4
Sharkey, Richard F. 13 April, 1826	68 1 0		
Smythe, Hon. G. A.	(Marchioness of 26 Jan. 1829	386 5 4
F. S. 14 Feb. 1828	104 4 0		57 6 8
Stanhope, Hannah M., and Charles Russell	05.10 /	Yates, Mary 14 March, 1794 Young, Anne 8 Feb. 1804	177 11 8 445 19 4
St. George, Hannah	95 10 (Young, Anne Breb. 1804 Yates, Jane . 10 Oct. 1814	61 16 4
M. and Mary Jane	144 0 (-	
Stack, Annabella , ,,	87 18 8		,921 4 19
Stack, Annabelia . ,,	18 13 4	i	

PENSIONS OUT OF THE HEREDITARY REVENUE IN SCOTLAND.

NAME. Dat	e of Grant.	Am	our	ıł.	NAME. Date of Grant.	An	our	nt.
Aston, Walter Hulche-		•		•	Cunningham, Jean, Mar-	-		
son Lord . 2	7 Jan. 1801	L.97	0	0	gery, and Lavinia 3 Jan. 1804	L. 97	0	
Arburthnot, Catharine	22 Oct. 1804	138	5	0	Christic, Margaret 22 Oct. 1804	24		0
Arburthnot, Jane	**	138	5	0	Christie, Helen , ,,	24		ō
Anderson, Sarah and					Cleghorn, Hugh ,,,	49	10	ō
Helen . 22	Nov. 1806	72	12	6	Cleghorn, Janet , ,,	49	10	0
Anstruther, C. Lucy, 19	May, 1809	276	10	0	Cleghorn, Rachel . ,,	49		0
Buchanan, Elizabeth 30	Aug. 1786	97	0	0	Cleghorn, Jean . ,,	49	10	0
Balmain, İsabella . 2			10	0	Clerk, Dame M. Dacre	97	0	Õ
	0 Oct. 1804	39	10	0	Camphell, Thomas 28 Oct. 1806	184	0	0
Burnet, Christian .	,,	39	10	0	Campbell, Mary . 9 Feb. 1809	97	0	õ
Burnet, Helen .	"	39	10	0	Campbell, Mary . 13 Sept. 1810	184	ō	ō
Baillie, Elizabeth . 2	2 Oct 1804	39	10	0	Christie, Elizabeth 23 Feb. 1814	49	10	ā
Paillie, Jean	**	39	10	0		44	10	0
Baillie, Mrs. Menzies	,,	49	10	0	Chisholme, Margaret 18 Jan. 1819	72	12	6
Brown, Jean .	>>	58	0	0	Cranstown, Jas. E. Ld. 27 Nov. 1821	184	0	0
Bruce, Mary .	22	97	0	0	Craigie, Margaret 30 Aug. 1823	24	15	0
Balmain, Isabella 30	Sept. 1805	49	10	0	Campbell, Helen 25 Oct. 1824	97	0	0
Baillie, Ann . 2	8 Oct. 1806	24	14	0	Caithness, Francis Her-			
	2 Nov. 1806	49	10	0	riet, Countess of 28 Sept. 1825	276	10	0
Baillie, Ann . 16	6 Feb. 1808		10	0	Crawnstown, Lady 31 Aug. 1826	97	0	0
Blair, Isabella C. Mrs.	7 Oct. 1811	276		0	Dich, Ann . 20 Feb. 1778	97	0	0
Blair, Isahella	,,	138	5	0	Delzell, Henrietta, Helen,			
Blair, Cornelia .	19	138		0	Agnes Brown, and Eli-			
Blair, William	- ,,	92	0	0	zabeth8 July, 1790	184	0	0
	Dec. 1812	92	0	0	Dundas, Lady Eliz. E. 14 Feb. 1801	276		0
Boswell, Euphemia	**	49		0		49		0
Burnet, Deborah	. "	92	0	0	Dyer, Martha Lætitia 10 May, 1804	97	0	0
	June 1815	49		0	Dalrymple, Margaret 22 Oct. 1804	49		0
Blair, Janet))	49		0	Dalrymple, Helen ,,	49		0
	Dec. 1816			6	Dalrymple, Elizabeth ,,	49		0
Bruce, Mary . 24	June 1820	49		0	Davidson, Mary 22 Sept. 1806	49		0
	Aug. 1823	49		0	Drummond, Clementina ,,	49		0
Black, Mary Ann	. "	49		0	Duncan, Williamina 24 Mar. 1807	39	10	O
	Sept. 1825	24		0	Dunmore, Helen Wilson,	_		_
Bodan, Eliza	. "	24		0	and Janet Napier 14 Mar. 1808	9	2	5
	April 1826	92	0	0	Douglas, Grace . 18 July, 1809 Davidson, Ann . 27 Sept. 1809	138	.5	0
Buchanan, Lady Janet 1		138	5	0	Davidson, Ann . 27 Sept. 1809	29		0
	Nov. 1827	184	0	0	Davidson, Elizabeth ,,	29		0
	Aug. 1789	184	0	0	Davidson, Mary ,,	29		ů
Campbell, Mary 28	May, 1790	97	0	0	Davidson, Jean ,,	29		0
	July, 1790	97	0	0	Davidson, Joanna Wau.		10	Û
Colville, Catherine	July, 1790	97 39	0	0	Drummond, Lady Am. 5 Dec. 1812 Downic, Jean 14 June 1816	97	0	0
Currie, Jean . 2 Cullen, Margaret and	July, 1790	39	10	0		49 276		0
	I. L. 1700	97	0	0	Douglas, Elizabeth 24 June 1820 Dalzell, Mary 21 Nov. 1821	49		0
	July, 1790	97	0	o	Delgoll Alice	49		ő
	i Feb. 1791 Iarcb, 1791	97	ŏ	0	Drummond, the Rev.	43	10	u
Cockburn, Harriet		97	ö	ŏ	Charles Edward 26 Sept. 1822	97	0	0
Caithness, Jean,	**	37	v	٠,	Dalzell, Elizabeth 14 April, 1826	49		ŏ
	July, 1800	184	0	0	Dunmore, Janet Nap. 12 Nov. 1828	52		6
Campbell, Jean and	o 111y, 1000	.01	•	٠,	Erroll, Elizabeth Jemima,	02	••	٠
	July, 1800	184	0	0	Countess of . 7 Feb. 1791	276	10	0
Campbell, Mary, John,	oury, 2000		•	•	Elphinstone, Ann 24 June 1791	184	ŏ	ŏ
and Amelia . 2	4 Oct. 1800	184	0	0	Erskine, Lady Louisa 5 Mar. 1801	276		ŏ
Cochrane, Lady Maria	. Oct. 1000	184	ŏ	ŏ	Erroll, Elizabeth Jemima,	270		•
Chalmes, Catherine F. 1	July, 1801	97	ŏ	ő	Countess Dowag, of 18 Aug, 1803	276	10	0
Ciciaporei, Lucretia	• .	184	ŏ	0	Elphinstone, John El-	-, 0		_
Caithness, Jean,	**	-51	,	1	phinstone Lord 23 Feb. 1814	138	5	0
	July, 1802	92	0	0	Elphinstone, Elizabeth,		-	-
	Nov. 1803	138	5	0		276	10	۵
							-	

NAME. Date of Grant.	An	nou	nt.	NAME. Date of Grant.	An	nou	nt.
Elibank, Lady . 17 June 1818	T. 99	0	. 0	Hamilton, Henrietta 28 Nov. 1803	T. 97	0	
Erskine, Erskine, wid. 22 Dec. 1818		ıŏ	ō	Hamilton, Catharine 3 Jan. 1804	49		ŏ
Erroll, Geo. Earl of 6 May, 1819	276	10	0	Halket, Mary & John 22 Oct. 1804	97	0	0
Erroll, Herriot, Countess of . 24 June 1820	ONE	10	0	Hay, Mary Turner 24 May 1805	97 97	0	0
of	276 92		ő	Hay, Jane , 19 Nov. 1805	97	ŏ	ŏ
Erskine, Euphemia 30 Aug. 1823	14	8	2	llay, Isabella . 22 May 1806	97	ŏ	ŏ
Erskine, Helen . ,,		10	0	Hamilton, Ann . 16 Feb. 1808	97	0	0
Erskine, Marianne ,,	49 49	10	0	Hall, Mary Maxwell 21 Dec. 1812 Honyman, Dame M. 23 Feb. 1814	97 138	0 5	0
Erskine, Jean , , , Erskine, the Hon.	43	10	v	Honyman, Mary 12 June 1815	18		ŏ
Margaret . 25 Oct. 1824	138	5	0	Honyman, Catherine "	37	0	ŏ
Elibank, Alexander		_	_	Honyman, Margaret ,,	37	0	0
Murray Lord . 81 Aug. 1826	138	5	0	Honyman, Jemima ,, Hunt, Mary . 28 Sept. 1816	97 150	5	6
Elphinstone, John E.	138	- 5	0	Harley, or Colville,	150	,	0
Forbes, Elizabeth 4 Sept. 1786	19	16	0	Elizabeth . 19 June 1817	14	14	0
Fergusson, Johanna 2 July, 1790		15	0	Hamilton, Marion 19 Nov. 1822	49		0
Fleming, Jean . 23 Aug. 1792		10	0	Hamilton, Amy . ,,	49		0
Fleming, Elizabeth Fleming, Catherine		10	ŏ	Hamilton, Eleanora Ilay, Lady Fanny 31 Dec. 1822	49 97	0	0
Fowlis, Lady . ,,	97	ŏ	ŏ	llay, Lady Mary 13 Dec. 1823	184	ő	ŏ
Fergusson, Isabella,				Hay, Lady Mary 27 Dec. 1824	92	0	0
Mary and Margaret 8 Mar. 1799	184	0	0	Hunter, Dr. John 6 June 1827	97	0	0
Fergusson, Elizabeth 26 Nov. 1805 Fullarton, Eliz. 24 March, 1807	97	10	ŏ	Hepburne, Cath. 16 March 1829 Irvine, Charles 13 March 1792	184 97	0	0
Fraser, William . 16 Oct. 1807	97	ŏ	ŏ	Imlach, Elspet 13 Sept. 1810	24		ō
Farquharson, Margaret				Inglis Henry . 4 March 1825	24		Ô
Euphemia . 16 Feb. 1808		10	0	Kirkpatrick, Isab. 15 March 1782	49		0
Fordyce, Helen . 13 Sept. 1810 Fordyce, Jean . ,,		14 14	0	Kerr, Lady Sidney 16 June 1792 Kirkpatrick, Isabella 23 Aug. 1792	55 97	12 0	10
Falkland, Lucius Ben-	24	1-2	v	Kennedy, Margaret,	97	U	U
tinek, Viscount . 14 June 1816	184	0	0	Ann, Barbara,			
Gordon, Margaret S. 26 Feb. 1783		10	0	Frances and Eleo. 3 Jan. 1804	110		0
Grant, Sophia Jane 28 Dec. 1784 Grant, Charlotte F. ,,		10 10	0	Kennedy, Mary . 10 May 1804 Kennedy, Janet . "	49 49		0
Grant, Catharine, Ann	43	10	۰	Kennedy, Mary,	49	10	0
and Heriot . 2 July 1790	97	0	0	widow 21 Aug. 1823	97	0	0
Gloag, Eupliemia, Hen-	**	_		Kerr, Mary . 30 Nov. 1925	184	0	0
rietta and Martha 28 Nov. 1803	58 97	0	0	Kerr, Lady Mary 31 Aug. 1826	92	0	0
Gordon, Goodrich Ann ,, Goldie, Magdalene , 22 Oct. 1804	97	ő	o	Kirkeudbright, C. G. M., Baron . 19 Nov. 1820	184	0	0
Goldie, Magdalene . 22 Oct. 1804 Gillon, Catherine . 30 Sept. 1805	97	ō	ō	Livingstone, Lady 7 Sept. 1791	97	Õ	ŏ
Gillon, Elizabeth ,,	97	0	0	Livingstone, Ann and			
Gray, Lady Mary Ann 20 Dec. 1806 Gillies, Dr. John 5 July 1813	97 184	0	0	Elizabeth . 1 Oct. 1791 Leslia, Eugenia . 9 Oct. 1792	97 58	0	0
Graham, Isabella, Mary	10-8	v	٧	Linley, or Stavely,	00	•	U
Catheart, E. Georgi-				Henrietta . 7 Aug. 1798	37	2	6
ana, Margaret, Cath-				Loch, Margaret . 1 July 1801	53	2	6
erine, Roberta and Ca- roline Æ. M'Kay 14 June 1816	276	10	0	Loch, Frances	53 97	0	6
Gray, Mary ,	184	0	ŏ	Leitch, Isabel . 19 Nov. 1805	138	5	ö
Gilmour, Kennedy 11 Sept. 1817	49		0	Leith, Mary . 22 Sept. 1806	97	ō	0
Gordon, Sir G. bt. 16 June 1821	138		0	Legertwood, Jean and		_	_
Grant, Ann, widow 28 Sept. 1825 Gifford, Robert, Lord,	49	10	0	Barbara 16 Feb. 1808 Law Jean 12 Aug 1809	97 97	0	0
Children of the late 17 Aug. 1827	198	16	0	Laing, Margaret 12 June 1815	24		ŏ
Grant, Ann, widow 31 Oct. 1827	49		ŏ	Lapslie, Margaret L. 22 Oct. 1825	24		õ
Hamilton, or Irvine,			.	Lapslie, Gloriana , ,,	24		0
Grizle 4 May 1789 Haldane, Euphemia 1 Oct. 1791	49		0	Muir, William 15 Nov. 1777 Mercer, Jean 24 Sept. 1787	276 39		0
Haldane, Euphemia 1 Oct. 1791 Home, Alex. Earl of 23 Aug. 1792	63 276	10	ö	Mercer, Jean . 24 Sept. 1787 Mackenzie, Mrs. Henry 1 Oct. 1791	97	0	ŏ
Hay, Dorothea Judith 19 May 1800	97	ŏ	0	Mackenzie, Margaret and	٠,	•	
Hay, Lewis	97	0	0	Mary ,,	97	0	0
Hay, Elizabeth . ,,	97	0	0	Moncrieffe, Douglas 19 June 1793	184	0	Ü

	NAME.	Date of Grant.	Am	ount	.	NAME. Date of Grant.	Am	oun	ıt.
37		10 [11] 1702	7 70	15	۱	Poss Arms Muses 10 Court 1010 1	T 00		
Ma	rwell, Elizabeth rray, Lady Virgii	18 July 1793.	184		ŏ	Ross, Anna Munro 13 Sept. 1810 I Rothes, Charlotte J.,	L. 29	10	0
	kenzie, Hope a		101	•	۲I	Countess Dow. of 11 Sept. 1817	0.0	TΩ	Λ
	lelen	I July 1801	97	0	0	Rothes, G. W. E.,	2,0	10	v
Mag	donald, Mary	2 July 1802	97		ŏ	Earl of . 16 June, 1821	276	10	0
	clean, Ann ,	"	39		ō	Stewart, Elizabeth 12 Sept. 1774	49		ŏ
Mag	clean, Sibella	. ,,	39	10	0	Sinclair, Elizabeth 30 Dec. 1775	138	5	ō
Mu	rray, George rray, Mary .	24 Dec. 1802	97	0	0	Sinclair, Chas. Lord 14 Aug. 1778	184	0	0
Mu	rray, Mary .	,,	97		0	Sutherland, Eliz. 26 June. 1789	97	0	0
Mu	rray, Eliz. Ann	• _ ''	97		0	Stewart, Jean & Lillias 8 Aug. 1789	49		0
	rray, Emily .	3 Jan. 1804	72		6	Sinclair, Lady Isabella 2 July, 1790	115	0	2
Ma	ckay, Ann .		184		0	Sinclair, Ann 1 Oct. 1791	37	0	0
	kay, Louisa	22 Oct. 1804	97		0	Sinclair, Catherine ,,	20	15	4
	rlaurin, Eliza	. ,,	97 97		ö	Stewart, or Crawford, Elizabeth	077	^	^
	xton, Marion . rray, Catherine :	e ' "	97		ŏ	Simpson, Charlotte 30 Dec. 1791	97 97	0	0
Mar	farlane, Margai	et I Nov. 1906	97		o l	Sutherland, Louisa	97	ő	ö
Mad	clean, Maria	24 March 1807	49		0	Stewart, Ann . 31 March, 1792	49		ŏ
	odie, James	21 1241111 1007	97		ŏ	Shaw, Agnes . 16 Nov. 1792	49		ŏ
	xwell, Susan	16 Feb. 1808	29		0	Swinton, Marga., Mary,	•••	••	•
Mag	claurin, Colin	16 Nov. 1808	97	0	0	Isabel, Harriet, and			
Mac	dougall, Margt	. 18 July 1809	101	5	0	Ann , 4 July, 1800	276	10	0
Mac	kenzie, Henriet	ta			- 1	Sommerville, Dr. Thos. 6 Oct. 1800	92	0	0
	harton .	13 Sept. 1810	97		0	Sempill, Janet . 1 July, 1801	97	0	0
	cquarrie, Ann	**	29		0	Steel, Jessy ,,	58	0	0
Ma	cquarrie, Jean	1# T " 1010	29		0	Stewart, Lady Louisa	97	0	0
Mit	chell, C. Forbes	17 June 1818			0	Scott, Dame Harriet 2 July, 1802	184	0	0
	eneill, Ann, wide Cormick, Rachel		184		0	Simpson, Marianne Salvison, Sarah 3 Jan. 1864	39 49		0
	Cormick, Helen	26 1404. 1021			ŏ	Salvison, Sarah . 3 Jan. 1804 Stewart, Ann . 22 Oct. 1804	49		ŏ
Ma	ckay, Flora, wide	w 17 Sept. 1892			ŏ	Stewart, Grace	49		ŏ
Ma	itland, Frances J	21 Ang. 1893			ŏ	Shaw, Hannah . 28 Oct. 1806	69	2	ŏ
	pier, Catherine	. 2111108.1022			•	Stewart, Lady Lucy 22 Nov. 1806	184	õ	ŏ
I	ouglas & Maria	16 Feb. 1808	97	0	0	Smollet, Susan . 16 Nov. 1808	97	ŏ	6
Na	pier, Caroline	17 June 1818	97	0	0	Stodart, Ann . 28 Aug. 1809	49	10	0
Na	pier, Sophia	. ,,	97		0	Stodart, Barbara ,,	49	10	0
	rne, Lord .	17 Sept. 18 2 2	184	0	0	Stodart, Jean	49		0
	rne, Caroline,				. !	Stodart, Mary ,,	49		0
	aroness .	18 Feb. 1829	184		0	Sherkin, Amelia 28 Sept. 1816	102	3	6
	Martha	10 May 1804			0	Sempill, Hon. Maria 21 Nov. 1821	49		ŏ
	lvie, Jean	. 22 Oct. 1804		14 14	0	Sempill, Hon. Sarah Sempill, Hugh, Lord 31 Aug. 1826	49		0
	lvie, Rehecca bam, Margaret	13 Sept. 1810	97		ö	Scotland, Eliz. widow 20 Sept. 1826	97 49	0	ŏ
	mmer, Mary an		31	U	v	Scott, Sir David, bart. 30 Oct. 1927	149	7	ŏ
	. B. Macmurdo	1 July 1801	97	0	0	Strathmore, Marianne,	140	•	٠
	mer, Lady Mad		184		ŏ	Lady . 19 Nov. 1828	230	0	0
Pai	ıl, Susan .	28 Nov. 1803	6	18	9	Telfer, Jean & Cecilia 11 May, 1789	97	0	0
Por	tmore, T. Earl	of 25 Oct. 1824	276	10	0	Taylor, Marion, widow 3 Oct. 1826	49	10	Ú
	ssell, Elconora	3 July 1790	97		0	Williamson, Helen 21 March, 1792	97	0	0
	bison, Rachel	7 Sept. 1791	92		0	Wylde, John 16 March, 1798	133	5	0
	e, Margaret .	15 Nov. 1791	97	0	0	Wilson, Ann, Chil-			
	ertson, Capt. G		~~			dren of . 11 July, 1797	276		9
		21 March, 1792	97		0	Willoughby, Harriet 22 Nov. 1006	276	10	0
	thven, Wilhelmi	24 Feb. 1803	230 92		0	Williamson, Marri-	40	10	0
Rol	se, Ann Fraser oison, Rachel	28 Nov. 1803	92		0	anne, B. 24 March, 1907 Walker, Agnes 23 Feb. 1814		10 16	ö
	y, Eric Mackey,		32	v	v	497 13		16	ŏ
	Baron	3 Jan. 1804	184	0	0	Walker, Jean ,,		16	ŏ
	bertson, Sarah	24 Sept. 1806			ŏ	Wardlaw, Sir Wm. 25 Oct. 1824		12	6
	d, or Potts, Cat		97		ŏ	Young, Ann . 13 Sept. 1810		16	ŏ
Rol	lo, Isahella & Ma	ary 24 Vlar. 1807			ŏ	Young, Janet . ,,		16	ŏ
Ros	e, Ann Fraser	16 Feb. 1808	47	0	0	Young, Margaret . ,,		16	0
	s, or Baillie, I	Mar-							_
_g	aret .	*	97		0	Total Pensions on the Scotch		_	_
Ro	se, Mary .	16 Nov. 1808	97	0	0	Pension List L.3	1,252	3	8

ADDITIONAL PENSIONS ON THE CIVIL LIST, ENGLAND.

THE return of Pensions on the Civil List, presented on the 2d December*, contains all the Pensions granted up to the close of the reign of his late Majesty George the Fourth; and the Civil List to be assigned to his present Majesty not having yet received the sanction of Parliament, the formal documents which are required previous to the payment of any of the Pensions granted by his present Majesty cannot yet be completed. His Majesty has, however, been pleased to direct, through the First Lord of the Treasury, by communications addressed by his Grace to the Board of Treasury, and dated respectively, as will appear in the following return, that warrants should be prepared for granting the following Pensions on the Civil List.

NAME.	DATE.	NET A	MO	UNT
Lady Hill Colonel D'Este Miss D'Este Colonel Frederick Fitzelarence Mrs. Ticmey Thomas Knox Holmes Edward Drummond Algernon Greville Dame Mary Ray	5 April, 1830 29 June, 1830 "," 16 Nov. 1830 "," 20 Nov. 1830	L. 467 467 467 500 400 500 250 250 660	s. 12 12 12 0 0 0 0	d. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
		L. 3,962	16	0

The warrant for the Pension to Lady Hill was prepared and sent for signature in June last, and was returned to the Treasury after the death of the late king, among other warrants which had not received the royal signature.

In addition to the new Pensions stated in this return, directions have been given that upon

In admitted to the lew reissions stated in this fettin, unrefloits have been given that upon the re-grant of the Pensions on the late Civil List, the Pension held by Colond George Fitzcharence, of 500, per annum net, should be made payable to Mary Fitzcharence his wife; and that the Pension held by Sir Robert Taylor, of 9384, 10s. net, should now be granted to Sir H. Taylor and Lady Taylor, or the survivor.

Whitehall, Treasury Chambers, 7 December, 1830.

ADDITIONAL PENSIONS ON THE SCOTCH CIVIL LIST.

Chargeable on the Hereditary Revenue of Scotland, under the Act of 50 Geo. III, c. 111.

NAME.	DATE.	NET A	MOUNT.
Lady Elibank Frances Catherine Sandford Lady Charlotte Murray MacGregor Harriett H. Gordon Mrs. Brown Mary Cockburn Barbara Reid Captain George Drummond (De Melfor Agnes Lindsay	9 Oct. 1830	L. 138 97 97 97 97 97 49 49 49	s, d. 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 10 0 10 0
		L. 771	15 0

No Pensions have been granted upon the Irish Civil List since the accession of his present Majesty.

^{*} Sess. Paper, No. 42.

APPENDIX.-No. VII.

An Account of all SALARIES, PENSIONS, PROFITS, PAY, FEES, and EMOLUMENTS held and enjoyed by all Persons, between 5th January, 1829, and 5th January, 1830, the Total Amount of which shall exceed 1000l.; specifying with each Name the Total Amount received by each Individual, and distinguishing the various sources from whence the same are derived.—

1. Civil Officers.

2. The Court of Chancery and other Judicial Officers.

3. Diplomatic and Consular Officers.

4. Naval Officers.

5. Military Officers returned by the Secretary at War.
6. Ordnance and Military Officers not included in the Secretary at War's Return.

7. Officers in the Colonies.

8. Officers in the House of Commons.

1. CIVIL OFFICERS.

Earl of Aberdeen, Secretary of State for Foreign
Affairs
Lord Ashley, Commis. for Affairs of India 1500 0 0
W. D. Adams, Commissioner of Woods . 1200 0 0 . Also 375l. per ann.
Henry Arbuthnot, Commissioner of Audit , 1200 0 0 as late Comptroller of
E. Arnaud, Collector of Customs at Liverpool , 2200 0 0 the Lottery.
R. Aberdeen, Coll. Customs, Bridge Town, Barbadoes 1500 0 0
T. Amyott, Registrar of Colonial Slaves 800 0 0 Also 400l. per ann.
H. S. Alves, Sen. Clerk, India Bd. Office L. 900 0 0 Compensation allow-
Ditto Master of the Mint, Scotland 390 0 0-1290 0 0 ance for loss of office as
J. Angell, Chief Clerk, Ordnance Office 1162 17 6 Secretary and Register
W. T. Aiton, Director-General of his Majesty's Gardens of Records in Lower
The state of the s
Earl Bathurst, President of the Council 2835 2 0
Ditto Teller of the Exchequer 2700 0 0
Ditto Clerk of the Crown 1105 18 10—6641 0 10
Stamp Brooksbank, Chief Clerk in the Treasury, and
Auditor of Treasury Accounts 1650 0 0 . Salary to be hereafter
T. C. Brooksbank, Chief Clerk, Treasury 1200 0 0 1450l. per annum.
Ditto, Agent and Paymaster of Chelsea
Out-Pensioners , , , 750 0 0
Ditto, Agent for the Bahamas 150 0 0
Ditto, Commissioner of Lottery . 150 0 0-2250 0 0
Charles Bourehier, Assistant Solicitor to the
Treasury, in lieu of Bills , 1500 0 0
Ditto, Emoluments
John Bidwell, First Senior Clerk and Superintendent
J. Backhouse, Under Secretary of State 500 0 0
Ditto, Receiver-General of Excise . 1500 0 0-2000 0 0 The salary is 2000l. but
Thomas Bidwell, Chief Clerk in the Office Mr. Backhouse only re-
of Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs 1250 0 0 ceives 500l. in conse-
Ditto, Deputy Clerk of the Signet . , 95 6 6-1345 6 6 quence of his being Re-
ceiver Gen. of Excise.

James Bandinel, Senior Clerk in the Office of the Se-	
cretary of State for Foreign Affairs L. 1200	0 0
G. Baillie, Senior Clerk in the Office of the	
Secretary of State for the Colonies . L. 739 1 4	
Ditto, Agent for Sierra Leone and the Royal	
African Corps 639 3 4-1378	4 8
R. Brown, Chief Examiner War Office . 1350 0 0	
Ditto, Agent for Paying retired or officiat-	
ing Chaplains 250 0 0-1600	0 0 Also 273l. 15s. per
J. Buller, Clerk of the Council 2500	
G. Bankes, Secretary to India Board . 1452 6 7	Deputy Commissary
Ditto, Cursitor Baron Exchequer . 455 0 0-1907	6 7 General.
R. Byham, Secretary to the Board of Ordnance . 1400	
J. H. Barnouin, Chief Clerk to Clerk of the Ordnance 1062	
John Barrow, Second Secretary to the Admiralty . 1500	
H. I. Bouverie, Commissioner of Customs . 1400	
D. M. Binning, ,, ,, 1400	
Sir W. Boothby, Receiver Gen. of Customs 1500 0 0	- 1
Ditto, Agent for New Brunswick . 150 0 0	
Ditto, Paymr. Band of Gent. Pensioners 230 0 0-1880	0 0
W. Barraud, Receiver of Duties, Customs 500 0 0	* *
Emolumts, & Fees paid by individuals 660 0 0-1160	0 0
W. R. Brown, Cocket-writer, Customs, Salary 60 0 0	•
Fees paid by individuals 1028 0 0-1088	0 0
A. II. Brooking, Collector of Customs, Newfoundland 1400	0 0
J. W. Bowden, Commissioner of Stamps 1012	
R. Browne, Solic. Stamp Board (Ireland), in lieu of bills 2000	0 0
J. Blackburn, Distributor of Stamps for part of Lanca-	•
	19 10
Edward Bates, Secretary to Board of Taxes 1539 9 0	
Ditto, Husband of the 41 per cent duties 400 0 0-1939	0 0
W. Bagott, Receiver General of Taxes 1200	0 0
G. C. Bedford, Chief Clerk to Auditor of the Exchequer 1200	
A. Bulley, Clerk of the Issues, Exchequer 750 0 0	
Ditto, Assessor, Receiver and Clerk to Com-	
missioners of 1s. 6d. duty 252 4 4-1002	4 4
Robert Bingley, King's Assay Master, Mint . 1033	19 8
Hon. W. Bathurst, Deputy Teller, Exchequer . 1000	0 0
Timothy Brent, Sec. Board of Green Cloth 1185 0 0	
Ditto, Secretary to the Lord Steward 124 14 0	
Ditto, Groom and Clerk of the Robes . 155 0 0-1464	14 0
Sir John Beckett, Judge Advocate General . 2500	0 0
William Bowles, Comptroller-General Coast Guard 1000	0 0 Also 228l. 2s. 6d. per
The Hon. W. Cust, Commissioner of Customs 1400	0 0 annum half-pay, as
The Right Hon. T. P. Courtenay, Vice President	Captain in the Navy.
Board of Trade . 2000 0 0	
Ditto, Agent for Cape of Good Hope . 600 0 0-2600	0 0
Marquis of Camden, Teller of his Majesty's Exchequer 2700	0 0
Right Hon. J. Calcraft, Paymaster Gen. of the Forces 2000	0 0
Right Hon. J. W. Croker, Secretary to the Admiralty 3000	0 0
Earl of Clarendon, Chief Justice in Eyre, Nth. of Trent 2250	0_0
Viscount Clifden, Clerk of the Privy Council, Ireland 1450	4 10
Marquis Conyngham, Lord Steward . 2435	
William Cotton, Chief Clerk in the Treasury . 1400	0 0 turn. Lord Conyng-
Thomas Crafer, Principal Clerk Assis. to Secretaries,	ham received in 1829,
Treasury. 1100 0 0	636!. 10s. Military Pay.
Ditto, Paymaster of American Loyalists,	
	0 0
John Cslvert, Secretary to the Lord Chamberlain . 1676	
Alexander Campbell, Commissioner of Excise . 1400	0 0
Morton Carr, Solicitor to Excise for Scotland, in lieu of	
	0 0
Sir W. H. Cooper, bart, and F. G. Cooper, Auditor of	turn. Gen. Campbell
Land Revenue for England,—Salary . 100 0 0	received also 1294l. 14s.
Emoluments 3971 12 11—4071	12 11 2d. military pay, 1829.

C. G. Christmas, Deputy Auditor Land Rev. L. 80 0 Ditto, Acting Auditor for Lincoln, Nottingham, Derby, and Chester,—Salary . 280 0 0		
Emoluments 2193 0 6—2553 J.H. Capper, Clerk for Criminal Business in the Home	0	6
Department Ditto, Superintendent Convict Establish. 400 0 0—1070 Rich Cane, Sub-Agent to Chelsea Hospital,	0	0
Ditto, Agent to Yeomanry Ditto, Agent to Police Ditto, Agent to Police Ditto, Agent to Police Ditto, Agent to Police Customs, Clerk of Warrants, Customs,	ı	6
Salary	9	0
George Cooper, Assistant Surveyor, Customs, Salary 400 0 0	•	0
Fees paid by individuals 693 0 0—1093 S. M. Clogstone, Collector of Customs, Trinidad 1500 J. Chapman, Commissioner of Audit 1500	0	0 0 0
Edw. Conner, Clerk, Chief Secretary's Office, Ireland 923	1	Also, L. 91 8 4 per ann. as late Secret, Bd. of Gen. Officers; 159 18 4 per ann. for losses bythe Union, and 177 7 8 per annum Pensions.
Sir George Cockburn, Lord of the Admiralty 1000	0	0 Also,
Lord Dunglass, Unnder Secretary of State 2000 0 0		L. 593 2 6 per ann. ns
Chamberlain of Ettrick Forest 300 0 0—2800 John Dyer, Chief Clerk in the Admiralty 1150	0	0 1037 5 0 per ann. as
R.B. Dean, Chairman of Board of Customs 2000 0 0 Ditto, Clerk to Muster in Chancery in		Major-Gen. of Marines.
Alienation Office 50 0 0—2050 John Dyer, Receiver of the Grand Receipt of	0	0 1630 7 6
Customs,—Salary	2	0
Hart Davis, Commissioner of Excise . 1400 E. Dew, Examiner of Dry Goods, Costoms,	0	0
Salary	6	0
Sir F. H. Doyle, Deputy Chair, Excise Board 1700 0 0 Ditto, Deputy Lieutenant of the Tower 786 0 0—2486	0	0
W. Knight Dehany, Solicitor to Excise, in lieu of Bills 2500	ō	0
E. Donne, Sol. to Commissioners of Hackney Coaches 1636	0	0
H. Dawkins, Commissioner of Woods	0	0
G. N. Dawson, Secretary of the Heastry	v	.(. Also 520l. 2s. 6d.
LieutCol, Drinkwater, Complroller of Army Accounts 1500	0	o per ann. as LtCol. and Retired Com Gen. of Accounts.
J. E. Dorington, Parliamentary Agent to the English and Irish Departments of the Treasury 1100	0	o { Also, 290l. 5s. as Clerk of the Fees,
Lord Ellenborough, Pres. of India Board 5000 0 0 Ditto, Chief Ck. Ct. of King's Bench Fees 9625 8 1—14625	8	House of Commons.
Lord Eliot, Lord of the Treasury	0	0
William Earnshaw, Assistant Solicitor of Customs 1500		Ö
William Everett, Receiver-General of Taxes . 1200		0
H. Ellis, Clerk of the Pells in the Exchequer J. Ebbs, Deputy Clerk of the Council and	0	0
Keeper of the Council Chamber, Ireland 865 4 3		
& Clerk in Chief Secretary's Office, Ireland 184 12 3-1049	16	6 . Also 65l. 19s. per ann.
		for loss by the Union.

Right Hon. W. F. V. Fitzgerald. Treasurer of the Navy L. 3000 0 0 Ditto, President of the Board of Trade 2000 0 0—5000 0 Edward Fauquier, Senior Clerk in the Trea. 849 4 0 Ditto, Super, of St. James's & Hyde Parks 207 16 0—1057 0 Sir W. Franklin, Prin. Inspector, Army Medical Board 1200 0	0 Since resigned. 0
R. Fall, Assist, Surveyor, Customs, Salary 800 0 0 Fees paid by individuals 1120 0 0—1420 0 Sir F. Freeling, Secretary to the Post-Office 1200 0 0	0
Emoluments . 2965 6 4—1165 6 J. C. Freeling, Secretary to the Excise	0
Sir C. W. Flint, Resident Sccretary, Irish. Office, London	4 { Also a pension of 266l, 13s, 10d, per an. * To be abolished (Also 924l, 8s, per
Right Hon. Sir W. H. Freemantle, Treasurer of his Majesty's Household . 904 0	ann. for loss of the office of Joint Sol. in England for Irish Aflairs, which office is abolished.
Duke of Gordon, Keeper of the Great Seal of Scotland 1850 0	0 See also Military Return.
Lord Grenville, Auditor of the Exchequer 4000 0 Right Hon. H. Goulburn, Chancellor of Exchequer 5219 16	0
Right Hon. T. Grenville, Chief Justice, in Eyre, South of Trent 2816 0	0
James Grange, Senior Clerk in the Treasury . 1000 0	0. Also a Pension of 250l. per ann. on the
A. Gordon, Chief Clerk, Secretary of State's Office for the Colonics . *1500 0 0 Agent for Demerary . 400 0 0 Agent for Lower Canada . 200 0 0—2100 0	4½ per cent. Fund. . Also a compensation allowance of 572′. 11s. per ann. as naval officer, Trinidad. "Salary to be reduced to 1250′. on vacancy.
C. Greville, Comptroller of Cash in Excise 600 0 0 and Receiver Gen. of Taxes, Nottingham 600 0 0	
Ditto, Secretary of the Island of Tobago 350 0 0—1550 0 C.C.F. Greville, Clerk of the Council 2000 0 0	0 Also an allowance of 500l. per ann. as late naval officer, Demerara
ments in the Island of Jamaica , #3000 0 0-5000 0 Marquis of Graham, Commissioner for Affairs of India 1500 0	0 * Also included in the 0 Return of "Officers in
Right Hon, Lord F. L. Gower, Chief Sec. for Ireland 4823 10	5 the Colonies."
W. Gregory, Under Secretary for Ireland 2075 4 0 Ditto, Keeper of Phoenix Park	7
D. M. Grant, Collector of Customs, Kingston, Jamaica 2500 0	0
Right Hon. J. C. Herries, Master of the Mint . 3000 0	(Also a pension of
Right Hon. Sir G. F. Hill, Vice Treasurer of Ireland 2000 0	0 2091l. 8s. 2d. as Clerk of the late Irish House of Commons.
Right Hon. H. Hobhouse, Keeper of State Papers and Secretary of the Latin Language 811 4 William Harrison, Parliamentary Counsel	2. Also a l'ension of 1000l. per ann. as late
to the Treasury Ditto, Law Clerk, War Office 1000 0 0 400 0 0—1400 0	Under Secretary of O State.
Thomas Hobbyn, Chief Clerk in the Treasury . 1400 0	0
J. Hicks, Senior Clerk in the Secretary of State's Office,	0
Home Department Levis Hertslet, Librariau, Secretary of State's Office, (Foreign)	0
Ditto, Superintendent and Comptroller of King's Messengers 450 0 0-1150 0	0 Also a Compensation
of Ring's increasengers J. D. Hume, Joint Assistant Secretary, Board of Trade. 1500 0 Terrick Haultain, Accountant, Army Pay Office 1200 0	0 Allowance of 300l, per ann. for loss of Fees in the Customs,

E. Finch Hatton, Inspector Gen. of Tea & Coffee, Excise L.292 10 0 . Also a Retired All Hon. A. A. H. Hutchinson, Commissioner of Customs 1400 0 ance of 600l. per a to Lhoward, Computer of Wine and Plantation Duties, Customs, Salary 200 0 0 5 as late Commissioner of Excise 1163 11 0—1463 11 Thomas Holmes, Collector of Customs, Grenada 1500 0 0 G. Huskisson, ditto ditto St. Vincent 1500 0 0 Thomas Harrison, ditto 1400 0 1400 0 Thomas Harrison, ditto 1400 0 1500 0 0 1500 0 0 1500 0 0 1500 0 0 1500 0 0 1500 0 1500 0 0 1500	nn. ner
Sir Henry Hotham, Lord of the Admiralty . 1000 0 0 Also 593l. 2s. 6d. ann. as Vice-Adm	pe r iral
LtCol. Sir W. Herries, Comptroller of Army Accounts 1500 0 0 . Also a Pen. of 3 per ann. for loss of	1001.
LtGen. Sir T. Hammond, Chief Equerry and Clerk Marshal to his Majesty 1030 0 0 (١.
Sir Ever. Home, SerjSurgeon to the King Ditto, Surgeon to Chelsea Hospital William Holmes, Treasurer of the Ordnance Sir H. Hardinge, Secretary at War B, S. Jones, Assistant Secretary, India Board Henry Jadis, Paymaster, Exchequer Bills 600 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	y of
Ditto, Clerk in India Board Office	
Ditto, Lieutenant of Dover Castle . 163 0 0—1368 5 0 E. Jesse, Dep. Surv. of the Royal Parks, &c. 400 0 0 Ditto, Commissioner of Hackney Coaches 330 0 0	
Ditto, Gentleman of the Ewry (Household) 235 0 0—1015 0 0 T.N. Jefferey, Coll. of Customs, Halifax, Nova Scotia 2000 0 0	
John Kirkland, Joint Receiver of Crown Rents in London and Middlesex 500 0 0 Ditto, Agent for Nova Scotia & Cape Breton 200 0 0	
Ditto, Gen. Agent for Recruiting Service 834 0 0-1534 0 0	
T. Lack, Assistant Secretary Board of Trade , 1500 0 0 as Printer to the	late.
R. Lukin, First Clerk, War Office . 1400 0 0 House of Comm. S. G. Lushington, Commissioner of Customs . 1400 0 0 Ireland. The offic	ons, e of
Hon, H. Legge, ditto	
Viscount Lowther, 1st Commissioner of Woods . 2000 0 0 land, is abolished.	
J. Lack, Clerk of Rates, Customs, Salary 1,000 0 0 Fees paid by individuals 100 0 0—1100 0 0	
Duke of Leeds, Master of Horse to His Maj. 3350 0 0	
Ditto, Constable of Middleham Castle 46 10 6—3396 10 6 W. Lee, Ck. of Ships Entries, Customs, Salary 800 0 0	
Fees paid by Individuals 416 0 0—1216 0 0	
Horatio Leggatt, Solicitor of Taxes, in lieu of Bills F. S. Larpent, Chairman of the Board of Audit 1500 0 0	
H. F. Luttrell, Commissioner of Audit . 1200 0 0	
Sir E. S. Lees, Secretary and Clerk of a Road Post- office, Ireland	
T. O. Lees, Chief Clerk and Clerk of a Road	
Post-office, Ireland	
Ditto, Searcher, Packer, & Gauger, Wexford 504 0 0—1320 0 0 Peter Low, Commissioner of Inquiry, Ireland 1200 0 0	
Hon, H. Legge, Deputy Comptroller of the Navy Duke of Montrose, Lord Chamberlain of	
His Majesty's Household 3053 2 4	
Ditto, Lord Justice General, Scotland 2000 0 0-5053 2 4 Duke of Manchester, Postmaster-General . 2500 0 0	
Viscount Melville, First Lord of Admiralty 5000 0 0	
Ditto, Lord Keeper Privy Seal, Scotland 2675 0 0—7675 0 0 Earl of Macclesfield, Captain of the Yeoman of the	
Guard (Household) , , 1341 16 0	

Ld. Maryborough, Master of His Majesty's Buckhounds L. 2606 15	0
Sir George Murray, Secretary of State 6000 0	0 See also Military Re-
G. Maule, Solicitor of Treas. in lieu of Bills 2000 0 0	turn. Sir G. Murray
Emoluments 850 0 0-2850 0	turn. Sir G. Murray o received t309l. 16s. 6d.
W. T. Manning, Third Clerk to Clerk of Ships	military pay in 1829.
Entries, Salary 100 0 0	
Fees paid by individuals . 1711 19 6-1811 19	6
T. B. Mash, Comptroller of Accounts in the Lord	
Chamberlain's Department 1445 6	6 Including fees.
George Maynard, Computer of Duties on	
East India Calicoes (Customs) Salary 300 0 0	
Fees paid by individuals 1149 0 0-1449 0	0
Sir J. Mortlock, Commissioner of Excise . 1400 0	0
P. W. Mayow, Assist. Solicitor of Excise in licu of Bills 2000 0	0
G. W. A. Montagu, Deputy Chairman, Board of Stamps 1412 0	0
H. S. Montagu, Commissioner of Stamps 1012 0 Robert Mitford, Chairman, Board of Taxes 1600 0 0	U
Robert Mitford, Chairman, Board of Taxes 1600 0 0 Ditto, Agent for Scotland, and Herring	
Fishery 230 0 0—1830 0	0
G. R. Minshull, Police Magistrate 800 0	0 Also an allowance of
Alex. Milne, Secretary to Commissioners of Woods, and	300l. per annum, as late
to Commissioners for executing the Acts 4, Geo. 4, c.	Receiver Gen. of Taxes.
74, and 7 Geo. 4, c. 77 1650 0	0
A. Mangin, First Clerk Chief Secretary's Office, Ireland 1074 0	8
Edward Mitchell, Senior Clerk Vice Trea-	
surer's Office, Ireland , , 720 0 0	
Ditto, Computer of Off-reckonings 184 0 0- 904 0	0 Also a retired allow-
Alexander Maclean, Receiver General of Scotland 2000 0	0 ance of 507l. per ann.
B. Mitford, Commissioner of Inquiry, Ireland . 1200 0	0 as late Clerk in the
H. Mackenzie, Comptroller of Taxes, Scotland 720 0 0	Irish Treasury.
Ditto, Clerk to King's Remembrancer, ditto 350 0 0-1070 0	0
	(. Also 492l. 15s. per
Hon. G. Murray, Principal Auditor Exchequer, Scotland 1200 0	0 { ann. as Major-Gen.
	See Military Return.
Duke of Northumberland, Lord Lieutenant of Ireland 23153 17	5 Salary now fixed at
	20,000l a year.
H. Noble Conics Clock in the office of the Constant	tion, allowance of
H. Noble, Senior Clerk in the office of the Secretary of State, Home Department 925 0	tion, allowance of 379l. 6s. 4d. per ann.,
of State, frome Department	as Naval Officer,
Gilbert N. Neyle, Auditor of the Accounts of the	Newfoundland,
Registrar of the High Court of Admiralty . 500 0	0 . Also a retired allow-
Earl O'Neill, Postmaster-General, Ireland . 1384 12	4 ance of 600l, per ann.
Sir John Osborn, Commissioner of Audit . 1200 0	0 ns late Commissioner
J. W. Ogle, Cocket Writer, Customs, Salary 60 0 0	of Stamps.
Fees paid by individuals 1043 0 0-1103 0	0
Wm. Oxenford, First Clerk to the Register	
of Debentures (Customs) Salary . t20 0 0	_
Fees paid by individuals 1070 0 0-1190 0	0
A. O'Connor, Distributor of Stamps for Antrim 1076 0	0
Rt. Hon. Sir Rt. Peel, Sec. of State, Home Department 6000 0	0
J. Planta, Secretary of the Treasury	0
W. Y. Peel, Under Secretary of State, Home Depart. 2000 0 S. M. Phillipps, Ditto ditto 2000 0	0
S. M. Phillipps, Ditto ditto . 2000 0 T. H. Plaskett, Chief Clerk in ditto . 1329 18	0
1. II. Haskett, Citier Clerk in tiltto 1525 15	(Paid by the Colony;
	also a retired allow-
R. Penn, Agent for Ceylon 800 0	0 ance of 750l. per ann.
	as late Clerk in Sec.
	of State's Office.
W. Palgrave, Collector of Customs, Dublin . 1200 0	0
Woodbine Parish, Commissioner of Excise . *1400 0	0
	(Also 267l. 18s. 4d.
WITTER TO A COMPANY	per annum, for loss
William Plunkett, Ditto *1400 0	
	of the office of Au-
	of the office of Au- ditor, &c. Kilmain-
	ditor, &c. Kilmain- ham Hospital,

Hon. B. Paget, Commissioner of Excise . L.*1400 Hon. W. H. Percy, Ditto . *1400 Collector of Customs, Quebec 1500	0	0 * These Salaries to be 0 reduced to 1200L each as 0 vacancies arise.
M. B. Peacock, Solicitor to the Post Office, Salary . 300 0 0	U	o vacancie arisc
Emoluments 1500 0 .0—1800 Spencer Perceval, Teller of the Exchequer 2700 0 0	0	0
Ditto, Clerk of the Ordnance . 1200 0 0-3900 Earl of Rossyln, Lord Privy Seal 2193	0 6	0 2 Also Director of Chan-
C. C. Raper, Clerk in War Office 800 0 0 Ditto, Paymaster of Pensions to Widows		cery Scotland, 1852l. 7s. 6d. and Colonel of 9th
and Children of Foreign Officers 250 0 0—1050 J. S. Reynolds, Principal Assistant Clerk, Commissariat, and Clerk of Securities, Treasury 1050	0	O Dragoons, 1415l. 2s. 2d. See Military Return.
Henry Richmond, Commissioner of Customs,	٠	•
Salary . 1400 0 0		•
For loss of Fees 800 0 02200 W. T. Roe, Commissioner of Customs 1400 0 0	0,	. 0
Ditto, Steward of the Savoy 15 0 0—1415	0	0
C. Robinson, Collector of Customs, Demerara . 2000	ō	Ō
W. H. Roberts, First Clerk and Clerk of Exitus and	_	•
Hon. G. A. C. Stapylton, Chairman of Victualling Board 1200	0	0
Hon. J. H. K. Stewart, Assistant Secretary, Treasury 2500	ŏ	ŏ
Lord G. C. H. Somerset, Commissioner of the Treasury 1220	0	Û
William Speer, Chief Clerk in the Treasury and Auditor		
of Treasury Accounts 1700 John Smith, First Clerk, Irish Department, Treasury 1000	0	0 Also a Pension of
John Smith, First Clerk, Irish Department, Treasury A. Y. Spearman, Assist. Clerk and Superinten-	U	921. 6s. 2d. per annum,
dant of Parliamentary Accounts, and for		and another of 212l. 6s.
making special payments 875 0 0		2d, for loss of office in
Ditto, First Clerk, Civil List Audit Office 400 0 0-1275	0	0 Irish House of Com-
William Sargent, Principal Clerk of the Commissariat	^	mons, per Act 40 Geo. 3. The office to be abo-
Department, Treasury 1500 H. T. Short, Senior Clerk, Secretary of State's	0	lished on vacancy.
Office, Colonial 855 6 10		noned on vacancy.
Ditto, Agent for Trinidad 344 0 0-1199	6	10
P. Smith, Clerk, Secretary of State's Office,		
Colonial	10	4
Ditto, Agent for Mauritius 500 0 0-1226 James Stephen, Law Adviser, Colonial Department	12	4
and Board of Trade, in lieu of Fees 1500	0	0
L. Sullivan, Deputy Secretary at War 2000	0	0
W. H. Spicer, Deputy Treasurer, Chelsea Hospital 1016	5	0
William Stace, Ordnance Storekeeper, Woolwich 680 William Spencer, Ordnance Storekeeper, Portsmouth 1002	7	0 Also a Pension of 6 365l, per annum for
William Spencer, Ordnance Storekeeper, Portsmouth George Smith, Secretary to the Navy Board . 1200	ó	0 good Services,
Hon. E. Stewart, Deputy Chairman of the Customs 1700	ŏ	0
Culling C. Smith, Commissioner of Customs . 1400	0	0
A. G. Stapleton, Commissioner of Customs 1400 0 0		
Ditto, Agent for Grenada	0	0
Ditto, Clerk of the Signet	ŏ	ŏ
Lord G. Seymour, Chairman of the Excise Board 2000	ŏ	ő
M. A. Saurin, Solicitor to Excise, Ireland, in lieu of Bills 1500	0	0
J. Staniforth, Distributor of Stamps for part of Lancashire 1599	4	11
A. Stanhope, Comptroller of the Foreign-Office in the	э	0
General Post Office; Emoluments paid by individuals 1915	17	3
D. Stow, Superintending President and Clerk		
of a Road, in General Post Office, Salary 530 0 0	_	•
Emoluments paid by individuals 1110 0 0—1640 Sir R. Seppings, Surveyor of the Navy 1000	0	0 Also a pension of 400%.
B. C. Stephenson, Surveyor General of Works 1500 7 0	J	o mad a pension of took
Ditto, Riding Forester, New Forest 452 11 0-1952	18.	0

	. 1012 0		Also 57l. 14s. per ann.
F. W. Trench, Principal Storekeeper of the Ordnance Horace Twiss, Under Secretary of State	1200 0 2000 0	0	as Captain R.N.
Hon. C. R. Trefusis, Commissioner of Excise	1400 0	ŏ	
T. Tanner, Assistant Clerk of Ship's Entries,			
Customs Salary 300 0 0	0000		
Fees paid by individuals 2932 0 0-	-3232 0 2012 0	0	
John Thornton, Chairman of the Board of Stamps George Talbot, Paymaster of His Majesty's	2012 0	•	
Household 800 0 0			
	-1400 0	0	
Thomas Thompson, Solicitor to the Post Office, Ireland	1457 2	0	
Sir T. E. Tomlins, Parliamentary Council to the Chief Secretary, Ireland 400 0 0			
Ditto, ditto, to Treasury . 500 0 0			
Ditto, For Compiling Index to Acts relating		_	
to Ireland	-1100 0		. Also a pension of 168l
C. W. Thornton, Commissioner of Hackney Coaches			6s. 2d. per annum.
Ditto, Lieutenant Governor of Hull 182 0 0			
Ditto, Aid de Camp to the King . 102 10 0-	- 728 19	0.	. Also 200l. per ann.
T. Venables, Clerk in Sec. of State's Office			as retired Captain of
and Private Sec. to Secretary of State 912 14 8 Ditto, Receiver of the eight Police Offices 500 0 0			Artillery, and a pen- sion of 3911. per ann
Ditto, Receiver of Tenths 300 0 0-	-1712 14		for good services.
A. Van Spiegel, Senior Clerk in the Treasury G. W. F. Villiers, Commissioner of Customs	1000 0	ο.	Also a pension of
G. W. F. Villiers, Commissioner of Customs	1400 0	0	67l. 13s. per annum.
Duke of Wellington, First Lord of the Treasury	5000 0 2130 10	0.	. See also Military
Marquis of Winchester, Groom of the Stole to His Maj. Gilbert West, Senior Clerk in the Treasury, and for	2130 10	U	Return. The Duke of Wellington also re-
making special payments	1100 0	0	ceived other emolu-
Edw. Walpole, Senior Clerk in the Treasury			ments amounting in
and Private Secretary to the Chancellor of the Exchequer			1829 to 7873!. 15s. 5d.
of the Exchequer	1200 0	0	. Also 9841. 4s. 4d. per
R. R. Wood, Scn. Clerk, Sec. State's Office 935 3 0		•	annum for loss of the
Ditto, Naval Officer, Grenada . 200 0 0-		0	office of Vendu Master
T. Whitmore, Secretary to the Board of Customs	1700 0	0	at Malta.
J. G. Walford, Solicitor to the Board of Customs, in lieu of Bills	2500 0	0	
Thomas Willimott, Coll. of Customs, Salary 1500 0 0			
For loss of Fees 900 U U		0	
R. Willimott, Distributor of Stamps, Excise 1000 0 0 Ditto. Receiver-General Post Office 800 0 0		0	
Ditto, Receiver-General Post Office . 800 0 0 William Willimott, Receiver of Wine and	-1000 0	U	
Plantation Duties, Customs, Salary . 300 0 0)		
Emols, and Fees paid by individuals 1136 5 0		0	
J. K. Walker, Cocket Writer, Customs, Salary 60 0 6 Fees paid by individuals 991 0 0	0 01051 0	0	
J. C. Weston, Cocket Writer, Customs, Salary 60 0		U	
Fees paid by individuals 1808 0 0	1868 0	0	
Thomas Watson, First Clerk to Clerk of the	•		
Rates, Customs, Salary 300° 0 C Fees paid by individuals 2814 3 6	0 5—3114 3	6	
R. J. Williams, First Clerk to Receiver of	J5112 0	0	
Customs Duties, Outwards, Salary . 300 0 0	0		
Fees paid by individuals 822° 0 (0-1182 0	0	
George Wyke, Collector of Customs, Antigua Edward Wilkinson, Clerk of the Affidavits,	2000 0	0	
Customs, Salary	0		
Fees paid by individuals 1995 0 (0	
Collector of Customs, St. Johns, New Brunswick	, 1200 0	0	
John Wilkin, Inspector and Receiver, 1s. 6d. Duty	1		
Receiver of Crown Rents for Wales,	•		
Chester, and Monmouth 433 10 6	833 0	0.	. Also a retired allow-
			ance of 400 <i>l</i> , per ann. as late Clerk in Tax Office.

R.Watts,Clk, of a Road, Gen.Post Office, Sal.L.390 0 0
Emoluments paid by individuals 951 10 0—1131 10 0 J. Whishaw, Commissioner of Audit 1200 0 0
R. Plumer Ward, Auditor of the Civil List 1400 0 0 5001, per ann. as late
Sir F. B. Watson, Master of His Majesty's Household . 1158 0 0 . Also a pension of 311. William Wynne, Commissioner of Inquiry, Ireland 1200 0 5 5.6d pr. ann. Civil List.
Isoac Wolley, Deputy Chairman, Victualling Board 1000 0 0 . Also a pension of Right Hon. Charles Yorke, Teller of the Exchequer 2700 0 2500l. per annum for M. Zachary, Cocket Writer, Customs, Salary 60 0 0 wounds.
Fees paid by individuals 1638 16 0—1698 16 0
PENSIONS AND SUPERANNUATION ALLOWANCES.
Earl Amherst, Hereditary Pension on the Consolidated Fund, by Act of Parliament 3000 0 0
Lord Abercrombie, Hereditary Pension on Consolidated Fund, by Act of Parliament 2000 0
Earl of Athlone, Hereditary Pension on Consolidated Fund, Ireland, by Act of Parliament 2000 0 0
Earl of Abergavenny, Compensation allowance for loss of the Office of Inspect, of Prosecutions in the Customs 1545 0 0
Lord Bexley, Pension on the Consolidated Fund, as late Chancellor of the Exchequer
Sir S. Bentham, Pension as late Civil Architect and Surveyor of the Navy . 1000 0 0
Ditto for relinquishing an employ- ment in Russia, in 1797 500 0 0—1500 0 0
James Buller, Retired Allowance as late Commissioner of Customs
Rev. G. Burraud, Compensation Allowance for Loss of the Office of Searcher in the Customs
H. B. Beresford, Compensation Allowance for Loss of the Office of Joint Storekeeper (Customs) 2157 13 4
J. C. Beresford, Ditto ditto ditto 2157 13 4 T. Burton, Retired Allowance as late Secretary to the
Board of Excise
Lord Colchester, Hereditary Pension on the Consolidated Fund, by Act of Parliament 3000 0 0
Trustees for the Family of the late Mr. Canning, Pension on the Consolidated Fund by Act of Parliament . 3000 0 0
Hon. Jane Carr (late Perceval) Pension on the Consolidated Fund, by Act of Parliament 2000 0 0
Earl Cowper, Hereditary Pension out of Excise Revenue 1600 0 0
J. Chapman, Retired Allowance as late Clerk in the
Dugald Campbell, Retired Allowance as as Clerk of the Council
Register of Forfeitures, Ireland . 276 0 0 at Trinidad. Ditto as Commissioner of Military
Accounts, Ireland
Jas. Corry, Late Sec. to Linen Board, Ireland 616 9 11 annum, as Accountant Late Clerk of the Journals, Irish House to Board of General
of Lords, by Act of Parliament . 609 4 8-1225 4 7 Officers, Ireland.
Geo. Delavaud, Retired Allowance as late Secretary of Customs 1500 0 0
R. Dawkius, Retired Allowance as Commissioner of Excise 1050 0 6
Viscount Duncan, Hereditary Pension on the Consolidated Fund, Great Britain, by
Act of Parliament 2000 0 0 Pension on the Consolidated Fund,
Ireland 1000 0 0-3000 0 0

PENSIONS. &c.

Edw. Earl, Retired Allowance as late Commissioner of Customs	. 1500	0	0
John Edwards, Retired Allowance as late Solicitor of Excise	1292	6	2
Lord Farnborough, Pension on the 41 per cent. Fund John Fullarton, Moiety of the Earl of Bath's Hereditary	1500	Ô	0
Pension out of the Excise Revenue .	1200	0	0
Duke of Grafton, Hereditary Pension out of Excise Revenue			•
H. G. Grady, Compensation Allowance as late Connsel	11900		0
to Excise, Dublin, on abolition of the office. George Harrison, Superannuation Allowance as late	1333	6	8
Assistant Secretary, Treasury J. Harrison, Compensation Allowance for loss of the	2200	0	0
Office of Port Surveyor, Customs, Dublin Lord Hood, Pension on the 4½ per cent. Fund	1207 1875	0	0
Lord Hutchinson, Pension on the Consolidated Fund,	2000	0	0
by Act of Parliament F. Leigh, Retired Allowance as late Collector of Excise	1384		4
S. M. Leake, Retired Allowance as Comptroller of Army Accounts	2000	0	0
Right Hon, S. R. Lushington, Pension on Consolidated	1500	0	0
Viscount Lake, Pension on ditto Duke of Marlborough, Hereditary Pension out of the	2000	0	0 . Also 712l. 10s. per ann. as Lord of the Red
Post Office Revenue Duchess Dowager of Manchester, Compcusation Allow-	5000	0	0 Chamber, and 456l. 5s.
ance for loss of the Office of Collector of Customs	2022	_	per ann. as LieutGen.
william Marsden, Retired Allowance as late Secretary	2928	7	4
to the Admiralty E. J. Mascall, Retired Allowance as late Coll. of Customs	1500 1750	0	0
Earl of Mayo, Pension as Chairman of the Committees of the late House of Lords, Ireland. Granted per			
Act 40 Geo. 3 J. & W. F. M'Clintock, Late Chief Serjeant at Arms,	1332	5	8
Ireland, per Act 40 Geo. 3	2545	6	2
Earl Nelson, Pension on Consolidated Fund, by Act of Parliament	5000	0	0
Lady Nelson, Ditto, ditto John Penn, Hereditary Pension on Consolidated Fund	2000 3000	0	0
Hon, T Pakenham, Late Master General Ordnance, Ireland per Act 40 Geo. 3	1107	14	0
Ld. Rodney, Hereditary Pension on Consolidated Fund, by Act of Parliament	2923	ı	6
Earl of Roden, Late Auditor of the Exchequer, Ireland Heir of the Duke of Schomberg, Hereditary Pension out	2700	0	0
of the Post Office Revenue Viscount St. Vincent, Pension on Consolidated Fund	4000 3000	0	0
Viscount Sidmouth, Ditto, ditto, as late Sec. of State	3000	o	ŏ
Sir John Sinclair, Compensation Allowance on abolition of the Office of Cashier of Excise, Edinburgh	2000	0	0
Lord H. Seymour, Compensation Allowance for loss of the Office of Craner and Wharfinger, Port of Dublin	1251	0	0
Earl of Shannou, Late Clerk of the Pells, Ireland A. Tyton, Retired Allowance as late Solicitor to the	3133	0	0
Customs T. N. Wittwer, Retired Allowance as late Accountant to	1800	0	0
the India Board . G. Wilson, Retired Allowance as late Commissioner of	1150	0	0 Also 300l. per ann. for investigating Ac-
Customs	1050	0	
			India Company.

2.—JUDICIAL OFFICERS.

THE LORD CHANCELLOR.

The Emoluments of the Lord Chancellor, from the 5th Jan. 1829 to the 5th Jan. 1830:-				
Net Salary, 48291. 5s. Fees after deducting 25001, paid to the Vice Chaucellor,				
and 450l. Land Tax, 5442l. 12s. 11d	. 10271 17 IF			
[This does not include the Fees received as Speaker of				
the House of Lords.—C.K. Murray, Secretary.]				
The Vice Chancellor, Sir L. Shadwell	6000 0 0 1070 13 6			
Charles Knight Murray, Principal Secretary to the Lord Chancellor Francis Barlow, Secretary for Commissions of Bankrupt	1070 13 6 2709 14 4			
L. A. Lowdham, Secretary of Lucatics	1301 18 10			
W. H. J. Scott, Receiver of the Fines of the Court of Chancery	240 14 8			
W. H. J. Scott, Registrar of Affidavits	1816 13 8			
W. H. J. Scott, Clerk of the Letters Patent	553 14 1I			
H. Haines, Gentleman of the Chamber to the Lord Chancellor	1755 0 0			
Earl Bathurst, Clerk of the Crown Office in Chancery	1108 0 5			
Mr. Thomas Thurlow, Patentse for the Execution of the Laws and Statutes con- cerning Bankrupts	8502 9 5			
J. G. Seton, Keeper or Clerk of His Majesty's Hanaper	1192 7 5			
Thomas Thurlow, Prothonotary of the Court of Chancery	97 10 0			
H. J. Shepherd, Counsel to the Admiralty, &c., Commissioner of Bankrupts,				
Clerk of the Custodies, and Clerk of Presentations	1173 0 0			
J. S. Harvey, Master in Ordinary, and Accountant General	3184 9 7			
MASTERS IN CHANCERY.				
L. Francis Paul Stratford, Esq.	3948 2 3			
Which is subject to a deduction of about 100l. for land tax and other matters.	0040 2 0			
The Master's Chief Clerk, Heory Kensit	1075 3 11			
Which is subject to a deduction of about 1201. for expense of paper, writing,	•			
and other stationery.	_			
2. Samuel Compton Cox, Esq.	3994 2 112			
Chief Clerk to Samuel Compton Cox, Esq.	1425 15 6			
3. James Stephen, Esq.	3700 0 0			
As the chief clerk's official emoluments altogether do not amount to 1000l., no return is made for him.				
4. J. E. Dowdesdale, Esq.	3896 17 6			
Subject to a deduction of about 70l. per annum for land-tax and other dis-	• • • • •			
bursements.				
Chief Clerk to John Edmund Dowdesdale, Esq	1426 10 L			
5. F. Cross, Esq.	3799 19 3			
Chief Clerk to Francis Cross, Esq	1443 16 2			
6. James Trower, Esq.	3540 14 6 1200 13 L			
Chief Clerk to James Trower, Esq. 7. William Wingfield, Esq.	4161 17 8			
Clerk to William Wingfield, Esq.	1476 19 0			
8. James William Farrer, Esq	3622 1 61			
Chief Clerk to James William Farrer, Esq	1479 14 1			
9. Sir Giffin Wilson	2586 6 2			
Chief Clerk to Sir Giffin Wilson	1137 0 0			
10. The Honourable Robert Henly Eden	4644 0 10 1650 0 0			
Chief Clerk to the Honourable Robert Henly Eden	1650 0 0 4589 11 10-			
Master of the Report Office	4003 11 10			
REGISTRAR OF THE COURT OF CHANCERY.	m 1			
Salary. Allowances. Fees. L , s , d , L , s , d , L , s , d ,	Total. L . s . d .			
	4861 19 0			
Thomas Alexander Raynsford 550 0 0 110 0 0 4201 19 0 Francis Benjamin Bedwell 358 5 1 110 0 0 3409 12 10	3877 17 11			
James Crismas Fry 000 0 0 110 0 0 4114 2 10	4224 2 10			
Edward Dod Colville . 91 10 0 77 10 0 2590 7 2	2759 7 2			
William South . 275 0 0 1301 19 6	1576 19 6			
Joseph Collis 286 0 0 1161 13 10	1447 13 10			
John Francis Le Cointe . 297 2 7 933 15 10	1230 18 5			
Henry Edgworth Bicknell . 200 16 8 1421 7 5	1622 4 1			
N. B. The above salaries and allowances are paid out of the suitors' for	iuu.			

The Master of the Rolls Henry Gawler, Esq., the Chief Secretary to the Master of the Roll John Kipling, Francis Vesey, Edward Vernon Utterson, William	Turton, and
Launcelot Baugh Allen, Esqrs., five of the Six Clerks of the Ct. of John Nursey Dancer, Esq., one of the Examiners of the Court of	Chancery each 1,217 10 0
Sir Wm. Alexander, Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer L. 7016 10	0
John Henry Abbot, Marshal and Associate to the Chief Justice, King's Bench . 2665 0 0 Commissioner of Bankrupts 320 0 0—2985 0	0 Derived from Fees.
Thomas Abbott, Clerk at Nisi Prius to the Chief Justice of the King's Bench 1000 1	6 Ditto.
James Abercromby, Lord Chief Baron of the Exchequer, Scotland 4000 0	0
Wm. Adam, Lord Chief Com. of Jury Court, Scotland 4000 0	ŏ
Sir John Bayley, Senior Puisne Judge of the Court of King's Bench 5540 0	0
King's Bench 5540 0 Sir Wm. Bolland, one of the Barons of the Ct. of Exchq. 5516 10	ŏ
David Boyle, Lord Justice Clerk, Scotland . 4000 0	ŏ
C. K. Bushe, Chief Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland 5076 18	8
Charles Burton, third ditto ditto ditto 3692 6	4
A. R. Blake, Chief Remembrancer of the Exchequer, Ireland . 2917 4	4
Peter Burrows, Commissioner for the Relief of Insolvent Dehtors, Ireland	4
Robert Craigie, Lord of Session, Scotland . 2000 0	0
G. Cranstoun, ditto ditto 2000 0	0
James Clancey, Taxing Officer in Common Law Busi-	0
ness, Ireland 1107 14 Roderick Connor, Master in Chancery, Ireland 3323 1	8 He receives also
P. Dealtry, King's Clerk, Crown Office;	55l. 7s. 9d. per annum,
Salary 30 7 8	as late Clerk in House
Ditto, Secondary, Clerk in Court, Clerk	of Conunous, Ireland.
of the Affidavit, and Chief Usher, Court of King's Bench; Fees 1672 5 11—1702 13	7
Court of King's Bonch; Fees 1672 5 11—1702 13 Charles Dyneley, Deputy Register, Prerogative Court of Canterbury; from Fees 1193 15	4
William Dundas, Lord Clerk, Register and Keeper of	-
the Signet, Scotland 3300 0	0
Thomas Ellis, Master in Chancery, Ireland	8
Josh. Farran, Clerk of the Pleas, Court of Exchequer, Ireland	4
John Hay Forbes, Lord of Session, Scotland 2000 0	ō
John Fullarton, ditto ditto 2000 0	Ö
Sir William Garrow, one of the Barons of the Court of	
Exchequer 5516 10 Nathaniel Gostling, Deputy Register of the Preroga-	0
tive Court of Canterbury; from Fees	0
Adam Gillies, Lord of Session and Justi-	
ciary, Scotland	0
William Hanmer, Clerk of Nisi Prius for	v
the Northern and Norfolk Circuits . 580 6 0	
Ditto, Clerk of the Inner Treasury, Court	_
of King's Bench	5
Prison; from Fees, about 1000 0	0
Chas. Hope, Lord President, Court of Session, Scotland 4300 0	ŏ
Robert Hamilton, Principal Clerk of Ses-	
sion, Scotland	0
Ditto, Professor of Public Law . 280 14 0—1230 14- David Hume, one of the Barons Exchequer, Scotland 2000 6	0
The Right Hon. Sir A. Hart, Lord High Chancellor of	•
Ireland	e

William Henn, Master in Chancery, Ireland L. 3323 1 R. Hamilton, Prothonotary King's Bench, Ireland . 1384 12	8
Rowley Heyland, Clerk of the Rules, ditto ditto Sir Henry Jardine, King's Remembrancer Court of	ō
Exchequer, Scotland 1700 0 W. Jones, Marshal of the King's Bench Prison; from	0
Fees, about 2804 0 Alexander Irvine, one of the Lords of Session, Scotland 2000 0	0
I. lggulden, Deputy Register Prerogative Court of Can- terbury; from Fees, about 1200 0	0. Mr. Iggulden only
Richard Jebb, 2d Justice King's Bench, Ireland 3730 17 William Johnson, 3d Justice Common Pleas, Ireland 3692 6	4 received 778l. in 1829, 4 but the annual amount
Lord Kenyon, Custos Brevium, Court of King's Bench; from Fees Hon. Thos. Kenyon, Filazer, Exigenter and	is as stated.
Clerk of the Outlawries in the Court of King's Bench . Fees 1254 9 0	
Compensation, per Act 6, Geo. 4 5463 7 0-6717 17 Viscount Kilwarden, Public Register of Deeds, Ireland 1200 0	0 He also received a
Henry Kenmis, Assist. Barrister, Kildare 369 4 8 Ditto, Commissioner of Inquiry, Ireland 990 0 0—1359 4	Pension of 1200l. per 8 annum from Consoli-
Josh, Littledale, Judge of the Court of King's Bench . 5500 0	0 dated Fund, Ireland. (Since deceased, and
Thomas Le Blanc, Master of the Court of King's Bench . 2000 0 0	Office regulated.) (. Emoluments from
Ditto, one of the Registrars for Middles. 582 4 3—2582 4	3 business performed for the Suitors.
Lord Loughborough, Clerk of the Chancery, Scotland John Lloyd, Commissioner for the Relief of Insolvent Debtors, Ireland 2062 3	419l., 15s. per ann. as LieutColonel.
Debtors, Ireland . 2062 3 David Monypenny, Lord of Session and Justiciary, Scotland . 2600 0 0	4 LieutColonel.
Ditto, Commissioner of the Jury Court, do. 600 0 0-3200 0 Alex. Maconochie, Lord of Session and Justiciary, ditto 2600 0	0
J. H. M'Kenzie, Lord of Session and Justiciary, ditto	
Ditto, Commissioner of Jury Court, ditto 600 0 0-3200 0 Sir J. W. Moncrieff, Bart., Lord of Sess. and Justic. do. 2600 0	0
Sir William Miller, Lord of Session, ditto 2000 0	ŏ
J. W. Murray, Lord of Session, ditto 2000 0 0 Ditto, Commissioner of the Jury Court, do: 600 0 0—2600 0	0
Ditto, Commissioner of the Jury Court, do: 600 0 0—2600 0 Sir P. Murray, Bart. Baron of the Exchequer, ditto 2000 0	0
James M'Clelland, Baron of the Exchequer, Ireland 3692 6	4
Arthur Moore, 2d Justice Common Pleas, ditto 3692 6	4
Sir W. M'Mahon, Master of the Rolls, ditto 3969 4 Sir John Nicholl, Judge of the Arches and Prerogative	0
Courts of Canterbury, about	0
S. O'Grady, Chief Baron Exchequer, Ireland	0
James Parke, one of the Judges of the King's Bench Lord Plunkett, Chief Justice Common Pleas, Ireland 4615 8	0
R. Pennefather, Baron of the Exchequer, ditto . 3692 6	4
Hon. David Plunkett, Prothonotary Common Pleas, do. 1384 12	4
Earl of Rosslyn, Director of Chancery, Scotland . 1852 7	6 Also Lord Privy Seal,
Richard Richards, Accountant General and one of the	21931. 6s. 2d.; and
Masters of the Court of Exchequer 1863 4 Sir C. Robinson, Judge of the High Court of Admiralty 2402 0	7 Col. of 9th Dragocus, 0 1415l. 2s. 2d.
Adam Rolland, Principal Clerk of Session, Scotland . 1000 0 0	
Ditto, Clerk to his Majesty's Processes, do. 40 0 0-1040 0	0
James Clerk Rattray, Baron of the Exchequer, ditto 2000 0 Sir William Rae, Bart., his Majesty's Advocate, ditto 2500 0	0
Sir William Rae, Bart., his Majesty's Advocate, ditto 2500 0 John Radcliffe, Judge of the Prerogative Court, Ireland 3000 0	0
Sir Walter Scott, Bart., Principal Clerk of Session, and Sheriff of the Shire of Selkirk, ditto	0
Charles Short, Clerk of the Rules and Orders of the	1 . Derived from Fees.
Court of King's Bench	s a s Desired Holl Peces

SALARIES.

Sir W. C. Smith, Baron of the Exchequer, Ireland J. 3692 J. W. Stockes, Taxing Officer Common Law Business, do. 1107 Sir James Scarlett, Attorney General 1737		4 0 . This Amount is not for the whole year; Sir James Scarlett was appointed 2.1 June, 1829.
Sir E. B. Sugden, Solicitor General 1417 Right Hon. Lord Tenterden, Lord Chief Justice of the	6	0 . Ditto ditto
Court of King's Bench Thos. Thomson, Principal Clerk of Session, Scotland Ditto, Deputy Clerk Register, ditto 500 0 0-1500 John S. Townshend, Master in Chancery, Ireland R. Torrens, 4th Justice of the Common Pleas, Ireland 33692	0 0 9 6	0 This Salary was set- tled per Act 6, Geo. IV., c. 82, in lieu of all Fees and patronage of sale- able offices.
Sir J. Vaughan, one of the Barons of Court of Exchequer 5516		0
T. B. Vandeleur, 4th Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland 3692 D. Williamson, Lord of Session, Scotland 2000	6	0
John Waters, Clerk to the Lord Chief Justice of the Court of King's Bench 2169 Right Hon. Sir N. C. Tindal, Lord Chief Justice Court	13	5 . , Arising from Fees.
of Common Pleas 8000		0
Hon. Sir James Allan Park	0	0
Hon. Sir Stephen Gaselee		0
Thomas Hudson, George Watlington, and Henry B. Ray,	v	v
Prothonotaries of the Court, each		0
Keene Fitzgerald, Clerk of the Warrants, &c. 1252 W. R. H. Brown, Warden of the Fleet Prison 2000		8
W. R. H. Brown, Warden of the Fleet Prison 2000 Wm. Woodroffe, Associate to the Lord Chief Justice 1020		8
	-	-
PENSIONS.		
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland 4199	19	0
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland 4199 Sir W. M'Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500	19	0 0
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M'Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Protho-	0	0
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M'Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Protho- notaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland 7137	8	
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M'Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Protho- notaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland 7137 Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland 1950 John Clerk, late Lord of Session, ditro 1500	8 0	0 . Office regulated.
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland 4199 Sir W. M'Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Protho- notaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland 7137 Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland 1950 John Clerk, late Lord of Session, ditto 1500 Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland 2400	8 0 0	O . Office regulated. O O
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M'I-Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Protho- notaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland 7137 Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland 150h Clerk, late Lord of Session, ditto Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland 2404 S. G. Daly, late Justice of ditto, ditto 2404	8 0 0 0 0 16	0 . Office regulated.
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M'I-leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, ditto Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland S. G. Daly, late Justice of ditto, ditto Francis Dwyer, late Six Clerk, Chancery, Ireland Earl Eldon, late Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain 4000	8 0 0 0 16 16 10	0 Office regulated. 0 0 0 7 8 0 0
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M. Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland 1950 John Clerk, late Lord of Session, Scotland 2400 Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland S. G. Daly, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland Francis Dwyer, late Six Clerk, Chancery, Ireland Earl Eldon, late Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain 400 Sir Wm. Grant, late Master of the Rolls 3750	8 0 0 0 16 16 10	0 Office regulated. 0 0 0 7 8 0 0 0
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M'I-leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, ditto Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland S. G. Daly, late Justice of ditto, ditto Francis Dwyer, late Six Clerk, Chancery, Ireland Earl Eldon, late Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain Sir Wm. Grant, late Master of the Rolls Sir Robert Graham, late Barnon of the Exchequer 3750 Sir Robert Graham, late Barnon of the Exchequer	8 0 0 0 16 16 10 0 0 0	0 Office regulated. 0 0 0 7 8 0 0
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M. Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland 1950 John Clerk, late Lord of Session, Scotland 2400 Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland S. G. Daly, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland Francis Dwyer, late Six Clerk, Chancery, Ireland Earl Eldon, late Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain 400 Sir Wm. Grant, late Master of the Rolls 3750	8 0 0 0 16 16 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Office regulated. 0 0 0 7 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. Mi-Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland 1500 Lord Charles Day, late Justice of thito, ditto 1500 Charles Day, late Justice of thito, ditto 1500 Erancis Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland 1500 Erancis Dayer, late Six Clerk, Chancery, Ireland 1500 Eran Sir Wim. Grant, late Master of the Rolls 1500 Sir Robert Graham, late Baron of the Exchequer 1500 Sir Robert Graham, late Baron of the Exchequer 1500 Sir John Hofroyd, late Judge of the King's Bench 1600 H. G. Heard, late Six Clerk Chancery, Ireland 1840 Robert Johnson, late Justice Common Pleas, Ireland 1107	8 0 0 0 16 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Office regulated. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M'I-leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, ditto Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland Sir By, late Justice of ditto, ditto Francis Dwyer, late Six Clerk, Chancery, Ireland Earl Eldon, late Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain Sir Wm. Grant, late Master of the Rolls Sir Robert Graham, late Barnon of the Exchequer Sir John Holroyd, late Judge of the King's Bench H. G. Heard, late Six Clerk Chancery, Ireland Robert Johnson, late Justice Common Pleas, Ireland Thomas Lord Manners, late Lord Chancellor of ditto	8 0 0 0 16 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Office regulated. 0 0 0 7 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. Mi-Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland 1500 Lord Charles Day, late Justice of thito, ditto 1500 Charles Day, late Justice of thito, ditto 1500 Erancis Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland 1500 Erancis Dayer, late Six Clerk, Chancery, Ireland 1500 Eran Sir Wim. Grant, late Master of the Rolls 1500 Sir Robert Graham, late Baron of the Exchequer 1500 Sir Robert Graham, late Baron of the Exchequer 1500 Sir John Hofroyd, late Judge of the King's Bench 1600 H. G. Heard, late Six Clerk Chancery, Ireland 1840 Robert Johnson, late Justice Common Pleas, Ireland 1107	8 0 0 0 16 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Office regulated. 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. Mi-Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland 1950 John Clerk, late Lord of Session, ditto 1050 Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland 2400 S. G. Daly, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland 2400 Earl Eldon, late Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain 1080 Sir Whn. Grant, late Master of the Rolls 270 Sir John Hofroyd, late Justice Common Pleas, Ireland 1107 Robert Johnson, late Justice Common Pleas, Ireland 1107 Lord Norbury, late Chief Justice Common Pleas, ditto 2004 Viscount Northland and the Hon. V. Knox, late Prothonotaries of the Common Pleas, Ireland 2715	8 0 0 0 16 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Office regulated.
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M'I-leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, Gotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, Scotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, Scotland Sir Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland Earl Eldon, late Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain Sir Wm. Grant, late Master of the Rolls Sir Robert Graham, late Barnon of the Exchequer Sir John Holroyd, late Judge of the King's Bench H. G. Heard, late Six Clerk Chancery, Ireland Robert Johnson, late Justice Common Pleas, Ireland Thomas Lord Manners, late Lord Chancellor of ditto Lord Norbury, late Chief Justice Common Pleas, ditto Viscount Northland and the Hon. V. Krox, late Prothonotaries of the Common Pleas, Ireland William Robertson, late Lord of Session, Scotland Jimin Robertson, late Lord of Session, Scotland William Robertson, late Lord of Session, Scotland	8 0 16 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	O Office regulated. O Office regulated. O Office regulated. O Office regulated.
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M'Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, ditto Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland S. G. Daly, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland Francis Dwyer, late Six Clerk, Chancery, Ireland Earl Eldon, late Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain Sir Whn. Grant, late Master of the Rolls Sir Robert Grant, late Baron of the Exchequer Sir John Horboyd, late Justice Common Pleas, Ireland Thomas Lord Manners, late Lord Chancellor of ditto Viscount Northland and the Hon. V. Knox, late Prothonotaries of the Common Pleas, Ireland William Robertson, late Lord of Session, Scotland Lord Redesdale, late Lord Chancellor of Ireland 369	8 0 0 0 0 0 16 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	O. Office regulated. O. Office regulated. O. Office regulated. O. Office regulated. O. Office regulated. O. Since deceased.
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M'Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, ditto Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland S. G. Daly, late Justice of ditto, ditto Francis Dwyer, late Six Clerk, Chancery, Ireland Earl Eldon, late Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain Sir Whn Grant, late Master of the Rolls Sir Robert Grantan, late Baron of the Exchequer Sir John Holroyd, late Justice Common Pleas, ditto Tiscount Northland and the Hon. V. Knox, late Prothonotaries of the Common Pleas, ditto Tiscount Northland and the Hon. V. Knox, late Prothonotaries of the Common Pleas, Ireland William Robertson, late Lord of Session, Scotland Lord Redesdale, late Lord Chancellor of Ireland Sir John Richardson, late Lurd of Session, Scotland Sir S. Shepherd, late Chief Baron Exchequer, Scotland	8 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Office regulated. 0 Office regulated. 0 Office regulated. 0 Office regulated. 0 Since deceased.
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. M'I-leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, Scotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, Gotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, Gutto Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland Sir Ghoer Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain Sir Wm. Grant, late Master of the Rolls Sir Robert Graham, late Barnon of the Exchequer Sir John Holroyd, late Judge of the King's Bench H. G. Heard, late Six Clerk Chancery, Ireland Robert Johnson, late Justice Common Pleas, Ireland Thomas Lord Manners, late Lord Chancellor of ditto Lord Norbury, late Chief Justice Common Pleas, ditto Viscount Northland and the Hon. V. Krox, late Prothonotaries of the Common Pleas, Ireland William Robertson, late Lord Chancellor of Ireland Sir John Richardson, late Justice of the King's Bench Sir S. Shepherd, late Chief Baron Exchequer, Scotland Jones Stevelly, late Six Clerk Chancery, Ireland	8 0 0 0 0 0 16 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Office regulated. 0 Office regulated. 0 Office regulated. 0 Office regulated. 0 Since deceased.
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. Mi-Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, ditto Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland S. G. Daly, late Justice of ditto, ditto Prancis Dwyer, late Six Clerk, Chancery, Ireland Earl Eldon, late Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain Sir Mm. Grant, late Master of the Rolls Sir Robert Grantan, late Baron of the Exchequer Sir John Holroyd, late Justice Common Pleas, ditto Yiscount Northland and the Hon. V. Knox, late Prothonotaries of the Common Pleas, tild William Robertson, late Lord of Session, Scotland Jones Stevelly, late Six Clerk Chancery, Ireland Sir John Richardson, late Lord of Session, Scotland Jones Stevelly, late Six Clerk Chancery, Ireland Marquis Wellesley and Richard Wellesley, late Chief	8 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 Office regulated. 0 0 7 8 0 0 0 0 5 0 4 1 0 Office regulated. 0 4 Since deceased. 0 8
Viscount Avonmore, late Principal Registrar, Court of Chancery, Ireland Sir W. Mi-Leod Bannatyne, late Lord of Session, Scotld. 1500 Lord H. S. Conway and Lord R. S. Conway, late Prothonotaries of the Court of King's Bench, Ireland Sir A. Campbell, late Lord of Session, Scotland John Clerk, late Lord of Session, ditto Charles Day, late Justice of the King's Bench, Ireland S. G. Daly, late Justice of ditto, ditto Prancis Dwyer, late Six Clerk, Chancery, Ireland Earl Eldon, late Lord High Chancellor of Great Britain Sir Mm. Grant, late Master of the Rolls Sir Robert Grantan, late Baron of the Exchequer Sir John Holroyd, late Justice Common Pleas, ditto Yiscount Northland and the Hon. V. Knox, late Prothonotaries of the Common Pleas, tild William Robertson, late Lord of Session, Scotland Jones Stevelly, late Six Clerk Chancery, Ireland Sir John Richardson, late Lord of Session, Scotland Jones Stevelly, late Six Clerk Chancery, Ireland Marquis Wellesley and Richard Wellesley, late Chief	8 8 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	O. Office regulated. O. Office regulated. O. Office regulated. O. Office regulated. O. Since deceased. O. Office regulated.

. . Also 1003l. per ann. as Col. of Artillery.

3.—DIPLOMATIC AND CONSULAR OFFICERS.

SALARIES.

Henry Unwin Addington, Minister at Frankfort to 10th Oct., and at Madrid from 10th Oct. L. 3802	0	0
Arthur Aston, Secretary of Legation and Chargé d'Affaires at Rio de Janeiro	13	4
Lord Bloomfield, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at Sweden 4900	0	0
Sir Charles Bagot, Ambassador to the Hugue 11661 Lord Burghersh, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister	2	4
Plenipotentiary at Florence Peter Browne, Secy. of Legation and Chargé d'Affaires	0	0
at Copenhagen George Bosanquet, Secretary of Legation and Chargé	0	0
d'Affaires at Madrid 2260 Sir Daniel Bayley, Consul General at St. Petersburgh L. 1000 0 0	0	0
Fees . 18 0 0—1013 J. M. Brackenbury, Consul at Cadiz, Salary 800 0 0	0	0
Fees 416 0 0-1216	0	0
A. St. John Baker, Consul General at Washington John Barker, Consul Gen. in Egypt, Salary 1000 0 0	0	0
Fees , 615 9 0—1615	0	0
Lord Cowley, Ambassador at Vienna 12000	0	0
Sir Stratford Canning, Ambassador at Constantinople		
(to 24th May)	5	6
Thomas Cartwright, Secretary of Embassy, and for acting		-
as Minister Plenipotentiary at the Hague . 1638 Patrick Campbell, Secretary of Legation and Chargé	17	8
d'Aflaires in Colombia H. Canning, Con. Gen. Hamburgh, Salary 1500 0 0	0	0
Fees , 336 0 0—1836	0	0
Sir H. Chamberlain, Part., Consul at Rio (to 5th June) 1041	13	4
John Cartwright, Consul General at Constantinople Walter Cope, Consul at Gnayaquil, Salary 1000 0 0	0	0
Fees 33 0 0—1033 Matt. Carter, Consul at Coquimbo, Salary 1250 0 0	0	0
Fees . 4 0 0-1254 E. C. Disbrowe, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister	0	0
Plenipotentiary at Stutgard	0	0
E. J. Dawkins, Resident in Greece 2900	0	0
Charles Dashwood, Consul at Guatemala 1500	0	0
Lord Erskine, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Pleni- potentiary at Munich 4900	0	0
Right Hon. A. J. Foster, Envoy Extraordinary and	Ü	•
Minister Plenipotentiary at Turin	0	0
John Falconer, Consul at Leghorn, Salary 800 0 0 Fees 844 0 0—1144	0	0
Sir R. Gordon, Ambassador at Constantinople, from		
5th April Hord Heytesbury, Ambassador at St. Petersburgh 12000	0	0
	18	ŏ
Right Hon. W. Noel Hill, Envoy Extraordinary, &c. at		
Naples	0	0
H. C. J. Hamilton, Secretary of Embassy at Paris James Henderson, Consul General at Bogota . 2000	0	0
R. Hesketh, Consul at Maranham, Salary 1000 0 0	U	u
Fces 105 0 0-1105	0	0
T. S. Hood, Consul at Monte Video, Salary 1250 0 0 Fees 27 0 0—1277	0	0
George Jackson, Commissary Judge at Sierra Leone 2145	4	ö
Patrick Kelly, Vice Consul at Lima, Salary 700 0 0		
Allowance as Pro Consul	0	0
Fees 27 0 0—1177	J	U

NO. VII.] EMOLUMENTS EXCEEDING 1000l. 351

SALARIES.

Sir H. Lushington, Consul General at Naples,
Salary
J. H. Lance, Commissary Judge at Surinam . 1500 0 0
D. R. Morier, Consul General at Paris, Salary 1600 0 0
Fees 274 0 0—1874 0 0
Hon. J. Meade, Consul General at Madrid,
Salary 1600 0 0
Fees
J. R. Matthews, Consul General at Lisbon,
Salary 1200 0 0
Fees
W. S. Maeleay, Com. of Arbitration at the Havanna 1850 0 0 W. T. Money, Consul Gen. at Venice, Salary 1000 0 0
II. Zizionej, com ar delli de / circe, circe i
M. M'Gregor, Consul at Panama, Salary 1250 0 0
Fees 127 0 0—1377 0 0
C. R. Nugent, Consul General in Chili 2500 0 0
C. T. O'Gorman, Consul General at Mexico . 2000 0 0
Hon. A. Percy, Minister Plenipotentiary at Berne . 2900 0 0
Lord Ponsonby, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Ple-
nipotentiary at Rio de Janeiro
R. Pakenham, Secretary of Legation in Mexico, and
Chargé d'Affaires ditto
W. Pennell, Consul at Bahia to 5th July, and at Rio
from 5th July
John Parkinson, Consul at Pernambuco, Salary 1200 0 0
Salary
Sir R. Kerr Porter, Con. at Caracas, Salary 1250 0 0
Fees 11 0 0-1261 0 0
Woodbine Parish, Consul General and Chargé d'
Affairs at Buenos Ayres, Salary . 3595 0 0
Fees 200 0 0—3795 0 0
Udny Passmore, Consul at Arequipa, Salary 1250 0 0
Fees 15 0 0—1265 0 0
C. M. Ricketts, Consul General at Lima 1600 0 0
Lord Stuart de Rothesay, Ambassador at Paris 11000 0 0
G. H. Seymour, Secretary of Legation Berlin, and Secretary of Embassy Constantinople . 1909 13 11
C. M. St. George, Secretary of Legation and Chargé
d'Aflaires at Turin
James Stirling, Consul at Leghorn, Salary 800 0 0
Fees 261 0 0-1061 0 0
G. Salkeld, Consul at New Orleans, Salary 800 0 0
Fees 336 0 0—1136 0 0
Robert Sutherland, Consul at Maracaibo 1250 0 0
E. W. H. Schenley, Consul in Haiti 1200 0 0
W. Smith, Commissioner of Arbitration at Sierra Leone 1831 3 0
Sir Brook Taylor, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary at Berlin
Hon. W. Temple, Sec. of Embassy at St. Petersburgh 1100 0 per annum as Clerk of
William Turner, Secretary of Embassy at Constanti- the Signet.
nople to 1st September, and Envoy Extraordinary in
Colombia from 1st September
Right, Hon, C. R. Vaughan, Envoy Extraordinary and
Minister Plenipotentiary at Washington 6000 0 0
Right Hon. H. W. W. Wynn, Envoy Extraordinary and
Minister Plenipotentiary at Copenhagen 4900 0 0
E. M. Ward, Minister Plenipotentiary at Dresden . 2601 14 8 E. Watts, Consul at Carthagena, Salary 1500 0 0
E, Watts, Consul at Carthagena, Salary 1500 0 0 Fees 90 0 0—1590 0 0
T. S. Willimott, Vice Consul at Lima, and Allowance
as Pro Consul

PENSIONS.

(These Pensions are subject to deduction, on account of I ls. 6d. Duty, and Exchequer Fees.)	Land 1	Cax,		
Robert Adair, late Ambassador to the Ottoman Porte I	. 2300	0	0	
Earl of Clancarty, late Ambassador to the Netherlands		0	0	
A. Cockburn, late Minister to Wurtemberg	1700	0	0	
Earl of Cathcart, late Ambassador at Petersburgh	1784	16	0.	. Also 1816l, 17s. 6d. as
H. Elliott, late Minister to the Two Sicilies .	2000		0	Col. 2d Life Guards.
Earl of Elgin, late Ambassador to the Ottoman Porte	2000	0	0.	. Also 593l, 2s, 6d, per
B. Frere, late Minister to the Ottoman Porte	1200	0	0	ann, as LientGen.
Right Hon, J. H. Frere, late Minister to Spain .	1700	0	0	
Lord Robert Fitzgerald, late Minister to Lisbon .	1700	0	0	
Sir J. Gambier, late Consul General in the Netherlands	1200	0	0	
Lord Henley, late Envoy, &c. to Vienna	2000	0	0	
G. Hammond, late Minister to United States .	1200	0	0	
L. Hervey, late Minister to Madrid	1200	0	0	
Daniel Hailes, late Envoy, &c. to different Courts	1127	0	0	
Sir R. Liston, late Ambassador to the Ottoman Porte	2300	0	0	
Sir Frederick Lamb, late Minister to Frankfort .	1700	0	0	
A. Merry, late Envoy, &c. to the United States .	1700	0	0	
J. Morier, late Minister to Mexico	1100	0	0	
J. P. Morier, late Minister to Saxony	1700	0	0	
Sir Gore Ouseley, late Ambassador to Persia .	2000	0	0	
Sir A. Paget, late Ambassador to the Ottoman Porte	2000	0	0	
Hon, H. Pierrepont, late Envoy, &c. to Stockholm .	1200	0	0	
Viscount Strangford, late Ambassador to Russia	2300	0	0	
J. S. Smith, late Envoy, &c. to Stutgard	1200	0	0	
J. Straton, late Minister to Sweden	1500	0	0	
Lord St. Helens, late Ambassador to Russia	2300	0	0.	. Also 712l. 10s. per an.
Sir Edward Thornton, late Envoy, &c. to Portugal	2000	0	0	as Gentleman of the
Right Hon. W. Wiekham, late Minister to Swiss Cantons	1200	0	0	Bedchamber.

4.—NAVAL OFFICERS.

PAY, ETC.

PAY, ETC.			
Vice-Admiral Sir II. Blackwood, Commander-in-Chief at the Nore	2555	0	0
Rear-Admiral T. Baker, Comin-Chief, South America Vice-Admiral Sir G. Cockburn, Vice-Admiral, and Maj			0. Only in command a part of the year 1829.
General of Marines Vice-Admiral Hon, C. E. Fleming, Commander-in-Chief,	1630	7	6 See also Civil Ee-
West Indies	2555	0	
Vice-Admiral Sir P. Malcolm, Commander-in-Chief, Mediterranean	2555	0	0
Vice-Admiral Sir T. B. Martin, Comptroller of the Navy Admiral the Earl of Northesk, Real-Admiral of Great	2000	0	0
Britain, and Commander-in-Chief at Plymouth .	3290	4	3
Rear-Admiral Sir Cha, Ogle, Commander-in Chief at Halifax and Newfoundland	2190	0	0
Rear-Admiral Sir R. W. Otway, Commander-in-Chief, South America	1367	0	0 . Only in command a
Rear-Admiral Sir E. W. C. R. Owen, Commander-in-	2190		part of the year 1829.
Chief, East Indies Rear-Ad. Sir C. Paget, Commander-in-Chief, Ireland	2190		
Hon. G. Poulett, Flag Captain of H. M. S. "Prince Regent"	799	19	2 He also receives 400%.
Ad. Sir R. Stopford, Commander-in-Chief at Ports- mouth	2920		per annum, as late Re-
Vice-Admiral Sir James Saumarez, Vice-	2920	0	0 ceiver-Gen, of Taxes.
Admiral of Great Britain, and Admiral of the White . 1230 15 8			
Ditto, Pension on Consolidated Fund, by			
Act of Parliament . 1200 0 0-	-2435	15	8

PAY, &c.

Captain Sir M. Seymour, Commissioner of the Naval Yard, Portsmouth L. 1100 0 0

PENSIONS.

Admiral Lord Exmouth, Pension on Consolidated Fund, by Act of Parliament
Sir F. H. Hartwell, late Deputy Comptroller of the Navy
Vice - Admiral Sir James Saumarez, Pension on Consolidated Fund, by Act of Parliament
Admiral Sir William Sydney Smith, Pension on Consolidated Fund
Ditto, Pension on 4½ per Cent. Fund

1000 0 0
1250 0 0—2250 0 0 . He also receives 7661.
10s. per annum as Admiral.

5.—MILITARY OFFICERS.

PENSIONS, &c.	
Gen. Viscount Combermere, Col. 1st Life Guards, Pay and Emoluments 1800 0 0 Ditto, Governor of Sheerness 200 0 0 Ditto, Pension for Military Services by Act of Parliament 2000 0 0—4000 0 0	
Gen. Earl Catheart, Col. of 2d Life Guards, Pay and	
Emoluments Lieut. Gen. Sir H. Fane, Col. of 1st Dra- Scotland; Emolu-	
goon Guards, Pay 907 9 6 ments from 10l. to 20 Ditto, Surveyor General of Ordnance 960 16 0—1948 5 6 per annum, and Pen	
Gen. Loftus, Col. 2d Dragoon Guards, Pay sion of 1784l. 16s, as lat and Emoluments 1579 15 8 Ambassador.	e
Ditto, Lieutenant of the Tower . 745 16 7—2325 12 3 Gen. Sir William Payne, Col. 3d Dragoon Guards, Pay	
and Emoluments	
Gen. Sir George Anson, Col. 4th Dragoon Guards, Pay Gen. the Hon. R. Taylor, Col. 6th Dragoon Guards, Clothing not yet known	
Pay and Emoluments 1578 10 4 Lieut, Gen. Sir R. Bolton, Col. 7th Dragoon Guards,	
Pay and Emoluments	
1st Regiment of Dragoons, Pay and	
Ditto, Licut. Gen. of the Ordnance 659 6 9-2179 18 9	
Gen. Sir James Steuart, Col. 2d Regiment of Dragoons Maj. Gen. Lord G. R. Beresford, Col. 3d Dragoons, (Also Comptroller of	
Regimental and Unattached Pay . 425 15 0 the Household, 903i	t.
Gen. Francis Hugonin, Col. 4th Dragoons Accounts not yet re	-
Lieut, Gen. Hon. Sir W. Lumley, Col. 6th Dragoons, Pay 911 4 2	
Ditto, for Wounds	ł.
Gen. Sir B. Tarleton, Col. 8th Dragoons,	1
Pay and Emoluments	_
Ditto, Pension for Wounds . 300 0 0-2190 11 3 Seal, 2193l. 6s. 2d.	•
Chancery, Scotland	,
Emoluments 2511 11 9 . Emoluments as Go	-
Maj. Gen. Sir R. II. Vivian, Col. 12th Light vernor of India not in- Dragoons, Staff and Regimental Pay cluded. Also Clerk o	f
the Pipe, 1131l. 1s. 2d.	

and Emoluments Ditto, Pension for Wounds	L.2225 1 350	0	1 025 7 5	17	Also Equerry to the King, 750l.
Gen. Hon. H. G. Grey, Col. 13th Light Dra Lieut, Gen. Sir V. O. Vandeleur, Col. 14th		Pay	7 1057	4	2 Clothing Emoluments not stated.
ditto, Pay and Empluments Ditto, Pension for Wounds	150t 1 350		8 01851	11	8
Maj. Gen. Sir Colquhoun Grant, Col. 15th Light Dragoons, Pay and Emoluments			1237	8	8
Maj. Gen. Sir John Elley, Col. 17th Light Dragoons, Pay	580 1 348 1		2		
Ditto, Governor of Galway Ditto, Pension for Wounds Field Marshal The Duke of Wellington,	300		8 01229	16	10
Col.1st Foot Guards, Pay and Emolu. Ditto, Col. in Chief of Rifle Brigade		0	0 5		(Also First Lord of the
Ditto, Constable of the Tower Ditto, Pension from Consolidated Fund	950 4000	0	0 0—7873	15	Treasury, 5000l., Lord Warden of the Cinque Ports, 295l. 13s. 7d.
Gen. George Duke of Gordon, Col. 1st Foot					
Guards, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Edinburgh Castle	2325 I 1046 I		6 3—3472	7	9 Also Lord Keeper of the Great Scal of Scotland, 1850l.
Gen. Rt. Hon. Sir W. Keppel, Col. 2d Fo Emoluments	ot, Pay	an		2	11 Also Groom of the
Gen. Sir George Don, Col. 8d Foot, Payand Emoluments	1318	4 1			Bedchamber, 3441. 4s.
Ditto, LieutGov. of Gibraltar, Govern- ment and Local Pay and Revenues	4211 1			19	10 Included also in Co- lonial Return.
Gen. John Earl of Chatham, Col. 4th For Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Gibraltar	· ray				. Return not yet rec.
Gen. Sir Henry Johnson, Col. 5th Regimen Ditto, Governor of Ross Castle	t of Foo	ot	• .		. Ditto.
Gen. Sir George Nugent, Col. 6th Foot, Pay	613	2	6		Ditto.
Ditto, Captain of St. Mawes .	102	5 1	10- 715	8	4 Clothing Emolu-
Gen. Sir Alured Clarke, Col. 7th Foot, Pa	y and F	Eme	1153	10	ments not yet known.
Lieut. Gen. Henry Bayly, Col. 8th Foot,	•		1135	10	*
Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Pension for Wounds	1320 1 350		5 0—1670	16	5 Also Equerry to the
Gen. Sir Robert Brownrigg, Col. 9th Foot, Pay and Emoluments	1323 1		3		King, 749l. 10s.
Ditto, Governor of Landguard Fort Ditto, Pension from the Revenues of		1	6		
Ceylon, as a reward for past services Lieut, Gen. Sir John Lambart, Col. 10th			0-2662		9
and Emoluments Lieut, Gen. Hon. Robert Mead, Col. 12th			1224	3	11
Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Pension for Wounds	400		9 0—1666	6	9
Gen. Edward Morrison, Col. 13th Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Chester	169		6 0— 782	3	 Clothing Emolu- ments not yet known.
Gen. Thomas Lord Lynedoch, Colonel 14th Foot, Pay	613	2	6		
Ditto, Governor of Dumbarton Castle Ditto, Pension by Act of Parliament	164 1 2000	0	0-2777	t9	Ditto.
Lieut. Gen. Sir Moore Disney, Col. 15th and Emoluments	Foot,	Pa		8	10
Gen. Viscount Beresford, Col. 16th Foot, Pay and Emoluments	1182	2	6		
Ditto, Governor of Jersey Ditto, Master General of the Ordnance	1100 8175 1		0 4		
Ditto, Capt. of Cadet Company Ditto, Pension by Act of Parliament	469	0	0 0 —7927	0	10

		_				
Gen. Josiah Champagne, Col. 17th Foot, Pay	and l	Eme) <u>-</u>			
luments	•		13	15 14	5	
Gen. Earl Donoughmore, Col. 18th Foot,	1258	6	4			
Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Stirling Castle	857		a			
	2000		0-41	15 19	0	
Lieut Gen Sir Hilgrove Turner, Col. 19th Fo		۰			٠.	. Return not yet rec.
Lieut, Gen. Sir Hilgrove Turner, Col. 19th F. Lieut, Gen. Sir W. Houston, Col. 20th Foot,	Pav		. 6	13 2	6.	. Clothing Emolu-
Gen. James Lord Forbes, Col. 21st Foot, Pay	and F	mo			6	ments not yet known.
Gen. Hon. Edward Finch, Col. 22d Foot, ditt	0		12	31 5	1	Also Groom of the
Lieut. Gen. Sir J. W. Gordon, Col. 23d						Bedehamber, 366l. 4s.
	1034	3	7			
Ditto, Quarter Master Gen	1883	19	2-29	18 2	9	
Maj. Gen. Sir James Lyon, Col. 24th Foot,						
	1514	15	0			
Ditto, Staff Pay as Lieut. Gen. command-			^			
	1383	19	2			
Ditto, Governor of Barbadoes, Pay and	0***	17				
	3767	0	6	66 11	Ω	. Included also in Co-
Ditto, Pension granted by Queen Charlotte Gen. Hon. Charles Fitzroy, Col. 25th Foot	100	U		00 11	٠.	lonial Return.
Lieut. Gen. Earl of Dalhousie, Col. 26th Foot		•		•		. Return not yet rec.
Lieut. Gen. Hon. Sir G. L. Cole, 27th Foot		•		٠.	:	. Ditto.
Gen. Hon. Sir E. Paget, Col. 28th Foot,	•		•	•		. Ditto.
	1062	t9	0		•	
	1500	0	Ó			
Ditto, Pension for loss of a limb	400	ō	0-29	62 19	0	
Lieut, Gen. Rt. Hon. Sir J. Byng, Col. 29th						
Foot, Pay and Emoluments .	793	2	6			
Ditto, Commanding in Ireland, Staff Pay						
and Emoluments 3	3,607	11	4-44	00 13	10	
Lieut. Gen. Sir T. Bradford, Col. 30th Foot		_	_			
	1311	5	5			
Ditto, Pension for Wounds	350	0	016	61 5	5	D:44-
George II. Earl of Mulgrave, Col. 31st Foot		•	•		•	. Ditto.
Gen. Alexander Campbell, Col. 32d Foot,			19	51 10	,	
Pay and Emoluments Gen. Lord C. H. Somerset, Col. 33d Foot, dit	to .			51 10 54 19	1 2	
Lieut, Gen. Sir Thomas Brisbane, Col. 34th	Foot	diet.		95 12	6	
Lieut. Gen. Sir John Oswald, Col. 35th Foot,				87 7	ŏ	
Lieut, Gen. Sir R. H. Sheaffe, Col. 36th Foot				~, ,	•	, Return not yet ree.
Gen. Sir Charles Green, Colonel 37th Foot, d						
Gen. George J. Earl Ludlow, Colonel 38th			11	23 9	4	,,,
			11	23 9	4	,
Foot, Pav	613	2	6	23 9	4	. Clothing Emolu. not
		2	6		4 .	
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Berwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm	613		6	23 9 18 2 3	4 .	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return.
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Berwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen, Sir George Aircy, Col. 39th Foot	613 169	0	6		3	. Clothing Emolu. not
Foot, Fay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Airey, Col. 39th Foot Lieut, Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th	613 169 400	0	6 9 011		4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return.
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen, Sir George Aircy, Col. 39th Foot Lieut, Gen, Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments	613 169	0	6		4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return.
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut. Gen. Sir George Airey, Col. 39th Foot Lieut. Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada,	613 169 400	7	6 9 011	18 2 3	•	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return.
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Airey, Col. 39th Foot Lieut. Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments	613 169 400 1020 8143	0 0 7 4	6 9 011 6 691	18 2 3	0	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return. . Return not yet ree,
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Aircy, Col. 39th Foot Lieut, Gen. Sir James Kenpt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Stadl Pay and Emoluments Lieut, Gen. Hon. Sir E. Stopford, Col. 41st F	613 169 400 1020 8143	0 0 7 4	6 9 011 6 691	18 2 3	0	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet rec.
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Aircy, Col. 39th Foot Lieut, Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments Lieut, Gen. Hon, Sir E. Stopford, Col. 41st F Lieut, Gen. Right Hon, Sir G. Murray, Col.	613 169 400 1020 8143 oot, I	0 0 7 4 Pay	6 9 0—11 6 6—91	18 2 3	0	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return. . Return not yet ree,
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Aircy, Col. 39th Foot Lieut, Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments Lieut, Gen. Hon. Sir E. Stopford, Col. 41st F Lieut, Gen. Right Hon. Sir G. Murray, Col. 42d Foot, Pay and Emoluments	613 169 400 1020 8143 oot, I	0 0 7 4 Pay	6 9 0-11 6 6-91	162 3	0 6 .	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet rec Emolu. for Clothing not yet known.
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Aircy, Col. 39th Foot Lieut, Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments Lieut, Gen. Hon, Sir E. Stopford, Col. 41st F Lieut, Gen. Right Hon, Sir G. Murray, Col.	613 169 400 1020 8143 oot, I	0 0 7 4 Pay	6 9 0-11 6 6-91	18 2 3	0 6 .	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet rec.
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Airey, Col. 39th Foot Lieut. Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments Lieut. Gen. High. Inc., Sir G. Murray, Col. 42d Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Fort George Lieut. Gen. George Browne, Col. 44th Foot,	613 169 400 1020 8143 oot, H	0 0 7 4 Pay	6 9 0—11 6 6—91 6 0—13	162 3	0 6 .	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet rec Emolu. for Clothing not yet known. Also Secretary of State, 6000l.
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Aircy, Col. 39th Foot Lieut, Gen. Sir James Kenpt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments Lieut, Gen. Hon, Sir E. Stopford, Col. 41st F Lieut, Gen. Right Hon. Sir G. Murray, Col. 42d Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Fort George Lieut, Gen. George Browne, Col. 44th Foot, General the Earl of Cavan, Colonel 45th	613 169 400 1020 8143 oot, H	0 0 7 4 Pay 2 14	6 9 0-11 6 6-91 6 0-13		0 6 .	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet rec Emolu. for Clothing not yet known. Also Secretary of State,
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Airey, Col. 39th Foot Lieut. Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments Lieut. Gen. High. Ino. Sir G. Murray, Col. 42d Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Fort George Lieut. Gen. George Browne, Col. 44th Foot, General the Earl of Cavan, Colonel 45th Foot, Pay	613 169 400 1020 8143 00t, I 1168 141 Pay	0 0 7 4 2 14	6 9 0—11 6 6—91 6 0—13		0 6.	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet rec Emolu. for Clothing not yet known. Also Secretary of State, 6000 Clothing Emolu-
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Aircy, Col. 39th Foot Lieut, Gen. Sir James Kenpt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Stadl Pay and Emoluments Lieut, Gen. Hon. Sir E. Stopford, Col. 41st F Lieut, Gen. Right Hon. Sir G. Murray, Col. 42d Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Fort George Lieut, Gen. George Browne, Col. 44th Foot, General the Earl of Cavan, Colonel 45th Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Calshot Castle	613 169 400 1020 8143 oot, I 1168 141 Pay 613 43	0 0 7 4 2 14 2	6 6 6-91 6 0-1:		6.6	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet rec Emolu. for Clothing not yet known. Also Sceretary of State, 6000! . Clothing Emoluments not yet known.
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Airey, Col. 39th Foot Lieut. Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments Lieut. Gen. Hight Hon. Sir E. Stopford, Col. 41st F Lieut. Gen. Right Hon. Sir G. Murray, Col. 42d Foot, Pay und Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Fort George Lieut. Gen. George Browne, Col. 44th Foot, General the Earl of Cavan, Colonel 45th Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Calshot Castle Ditto, Fension	613 169 400 1020 8143 oot, H 1168 141 Pay 613 43 260	0 0 7 4 2 14	6 9 0—11 6 6—91 6 0—13		6.6	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet ree, . Emolu. for Clothing not yet known. Also Secretary of State, 6000l Clothing Emoluments not yet known Ditto,
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Airey, Col. 39th Foot Lieut. Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments Lieut. Gen. Hight Hon. Sir E. Stopford, Col. 41st F Lieut. Gen. Right Hon. Sir G. Murray, Col. 42d Foot, Pay und Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Fort George Lieut. Gen. George Browne, Col. 44th Foot, General the Earl of Cavan, Colonel 45th Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Calshot Castle Ditto, Fension	613 169 400 1020 8143 oot, H 1168 141 Pay 613 43 260	0 0 7 4 2 14 2	6 9 0—11 6 6—91 6 0—13		6.6	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet rec Emolu. for Clothing not yet known. Also Sceretary of State, 6000! . Clothing Emoluments not yet known.
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut. Gen. Sir Gorge Airey, Col. 39th Foot Lieut. Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments Lieut. Gen. Right Hon. Sir G. Murray, Col. 42d Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Fort George Lieut. Gen. George Browne, Col. 44th Foot, General the Earl of Cavan, Colonel 45th Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Calshot Castle Ditto, Pension Gen. Henry Wyndward, Col. 46th Foot, Pay Lieut. Gen. Henry Wyndward, Col. 46th Foot, Pay Lieut. Gen. Henry Wyndward, Col. 46th Foot, Pay Lieut. Gen. Henry Wyndward, Col. 46th Foot, Pay Lieut. Gen. Hon. Sir Alexander Hope, Col.	613 169 400 1020 8143 00t, H 1168 141 Pay 613 43 260	0 0 7 4 2 ay 2 14	6 6 6-91 6 0-1:		6.6	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet ree, . Emolu. for Clothing not yet known. Also Secretary of State, 6000l Clothing Emoluments not yet known Ditto,
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut, Gen. Sir George Aircy, Col. 39th Foot Lieut, Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments Lieut, Gen. Hon. Sir E. Stopford, Col. 41st F Lieut, Gen. Right Hon. Sir G. Murray, Col. 42d Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Fort George Lieut, Gen. George Browne, Col. 44th Foot, General the Earl of Cavam, Colonel 45th Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Calshot Castle Ditto, Pension Gen. Henry Wyndward, Col. 46th Foot, Pay Lieut, Gen. Hon. Sir Alexander Hope, Col. 47th Foot, Pay and Emoluments	613 169 400 1020 8143 oot, I 1168 141 Pay 613 43 260	0 0 · 7 4 ay 2 14 · . 2 0 0 · . 2	6 6 6 6 6 0 13 6 6 0 0 3		6.6	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet ree, . Emolu. for Clothing not yet known. Also Secretary of State, 6000l Clothing Emoluments not yet known Ditto,
Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Perwick Ditto, Pension for loss of an Arm Lieut. Gen. Sir Gorge Airey, Col. 39th Foot Lieut. Gen. Sir James Kempt, Col. 40th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Commander of Forces in Canada, Staff Pay and Emoluments Lieut. Gen. Right Hon. Sir G. Murray, Col. 42d Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Governor of Fort George Lieut. Gen. George Browne, Col. 44th Foot, General the Earl of Cavan, Colonel 45th Foot, Pay Ditto, Governor of Calshot Castle Ditto, Pension Gen. Henry Wyndward, Col. 46th Foot, Pay Lieut. Gen. Henry Wyndward, Col. 46th Foot, Pay Lieut. Gen. Henry Wyndward, Col. 46th Foot, Pay Lieut. Gen. Henry Wyndward, Col. 46th Foot, Pay Lieut. Gen. Hon. Sir Alexander Hope, Col.	613 169 400 1020 8143 00t, H 1168 141 Pay 613 43 260	0 0 · 7 4 ay 2 14 · . 2 0 0 · . 2	6 9 0—11 6 6—91 6 0—13 0		6.666	. Clothing Emolu. not stated in his Return Return not yet ree, . Emolu. for Clothing not yet known. Also Secretary of State, 6000l Clothing Emoluments not yet known Ditto,

Lieut. Gen. Sir Thomas Hislop, Col. 48th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Gen. Sir James Duff, Col. 50th Foot, ditto Maj. Gen. Sir B. D'Urban, Col. 51st Foot Lieut. Gen. Sir G. T. Walker, Col. 52d Foot Gen. Right Hon. R. Lord Hill, Col. 53d Foot, ditto Ditto, General Commanding in Chief Ditto, Gevernor of Hull Ditto, Governor of Hull Ditto, Governor of Hull	l .
Ditto, Pension granted by Parliament in 1814 Gen. Isaac Gascoyne, Col. 54th Foot, Pay Lieut. Gen. Sir William Henry Clinton, Col. 55th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Lieut. Gen. Lord Aylmer, Col. 56th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Ditto, Pension Ditto, Pension Ditto, Pension Ditto, Con. Sir F. P. Robinson, Col. 59th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Gen. N. C. Burton, Col. 60th Foot (1st Bat.), ditto- Gen. Hon. Edmund Phipps, Col. 60th Foot (2d Bat.), ditto- (2d Bat.), ditto- 782 14 6	3
Ditto, Clerk of Deliveries of Ordnance 1018 5 0—1800 19 6 Gen. Right Hon. Sir G. Hewett, Col. 61st Foot, Pay and Emoluments 1221 3 6 Also Vice Cham-	
Gen. Rt. Hon. Sir S. Hulse, Col. 62d Foot, do. 1136 10 10 Ditto, Deputy Ranger of Windsor Park 545 1 4 Ditto, Governor of Chelsea Hospital . 739 3 4—2420 15 6 Ditto, Governor of Chelsea Hospital . 739 3 4—2420 15 6	e f t
Lieut. Gen. Sir W. II. Pringle, Col. 64th Foot, ditto 1245 13 8 Gen. Thomas Grosvenor, Col. 65th Foot, ditto 1245 13 8 Gen. Thomas Grosvenor, Col. 65th Foot, ditto 1245 13 8 Gen. Thomas Grosvenor, Col. 65th Foot, Pay 613 2 6 Ditto, Dep. Adjut. Gen. to the Forces 691 19 7—1305 2 1 Lieut. Gen. Sir I. Warde, Col. 68th Foot, Pay 8 Emolts. 1170 17 Lieut. Gen. Sir John Hamilton, Bart., Col. 69th Foot Lieut. Gen. Lord Howard of Effingham, Col. 70th Foot, Pay and Emoluments 1843 2 11 Major Gen. Sir Colin Halkett, Col. 71st Foot Lieut. Gen. Sir J. Hope, Col. 72d Foot, Pay 8 Emoluts. 1156 14 6	
Major Gen. Sir F. Adam, Col. 73d Foot, Reginental and Unattached Pay 434 0 0 Clothing Emoluments Ditto, Staff Pay as Lieut, Gen. commanding in the lonian Islands	3
Ditto, Pension for wounds Lieut, Gen. Hon. Sir C. Colville, Col. 74th Foot, Pay & Emohnts. 1125 2 6 Lieut, Gen. J. Duulop, Col. 75th Foot, Pay & Emohnts. 1125 2 6 Lieut, Gen. Christ. Chowne, Col. 76th Foot, ditto 121 11 9 Lieut, Gen. Sir G. Cooke, Col. 77th Foot, do. 1249 12 7 Ditto, Pension for wounds 300 0 0-2177 19 2 Return not yet re- ceived. 1321 11 9 Lieut, Gen. Sir G. Cooke, Col. 77th Foot, do. 1249 12 7 Ditto, Pension for wounds	•
Licut. Gen. Sir R. Fergusson, Col. 78th Foot Licut. Gen. Sir R. Fergusson, Col. 79th Foot, Pay divided by Clothing not yet known. Licut. Gen. Sir R. S. Donkin, Col. 80th Foot, Pay and Emoluments Major Gen. Sir R. D. Jackson, Col. 81st Foot, Pay	
Dittó, Deputy Quarter Master General 691 19 7—1305 16 4 Gen. Henry Pigot, Còl. 92d Foot, Pay & Emoluments 1073 8 4 Lieut, Gen. Sir F. Maclean, Bart., Còl. 84th Foot, Pay	
and Emoluments 1296 14 2 Lt. Gen. Sir H. Taylor, Col. 85th Foot, ditto 938 8 11 Ditto, Adjutant General 1894 2 2—2822 11 1	

Gen. Francis Earl of Kilmorey, Col. 86th Foot, Pay and Emoluments
It Can Six II Comphall Col 99th Foot Pay & Fmal 1999 14 9 5. Also Commissioner
Lieut, Gen. Sir Robert Macfarlane, Col. 89th Foot, Pay 613 2 6
Lieut, Gen. Ralph Darling, Col. 90th Foot Gen. Duncan Campbell, Col. 91st Foot, Pay and Emol. 1241 3 1 Lieut. Gen. Hon. A. Duff, Col. 92d Foot, ditto Major Gen. Sir Hudson Lowe, Col. 93d Foot Major Gen. Sir John Keane, Col. 94th Foot, Regimental Pay 425 15 0
Ditto, Unattached Pay, and Staff Pay in Jamaica . 1901 18 4 Ditto, Pension for wounds . 350 0 0—2677 13 4 Major Gen. Sir J. Fuller, Col. 96th Foot, Pay and Empluments . 1119 14 6
Ditto, President of the Consolidated Board of General Officers 197 3 4—1316 17 10
Maj, Gen, Hon, Sir R. O'Callaghan, Col. 97th Foot, Regimental and Unattached Pay 494 1 11 Ditto, Commanding Forces in North
Britain, Staff Pay 1183 7 1—1677 9 0 Lieut, Gen. Hall, Col. 99th Foot, Pay and Emoluments 1264 12 9
Maj. Gen. Sir A. Barnard, Col. Rifle Brigade, 1st Batt. Pay and Emoluments Maj. Gen. Sir T. S. Beckwith, Col. Rifle Brigade, 2d Batt. Return not yet re-
Maj. Gen. Sir T. S. Beckwith, Col. Rifle Brigade, 2d Batt. Major Gen. Sir P. Maitland, Col. 1st West India Regiment in Regiment
Ditto, Unattached Pay as late Captain Grenadier Guards Ditto, Staff Pay and Emoluments as Lieut, Governor of Nova Scotia and Governor
of Anapolis . 6093 18 9—6593 18 9 . Also in Col. Return. Gen. Francis Fuller, Col. 2d. West India Regiment
Ditto, Licut, Governor of Sierra Leone 2095 3 0—2387 3 0 Gen. Fred. Maitland, Col. Ccylon Rifle Regi- ment, Pay and Emoluments 921 10 0
Ditto, Lieut. Governor of Dominica 366 6 0—1287 16 0 Maj. William Cox, Commanding Cape Mounted Rifle Maj. Thos. K. Burke (Lieut. Col.), Commanding New-
foundland Veteran Company . Ditto. ** The Fees on his
Pay as Lieut. Gen
24th Sept. 1829 43 7 6 - 636 10 0 Also Lord Steward,
Lieut. Gen. Sir W. Inglis, Governor of Cork Gen. Wm. Knollys, Unattached Pay as late Major of the 3d Poot Guards 800 0 0
Ditto, Governor of Limerick 306 8 0 Ditto, Pension 399 13 8—1506 1 8 Gen. George V. Hart, Unattached Payas Gen.
Officer 593 2 6 Ditto, Gov. of Londonderry and Culmore 499 19 6—1093 2 0 Maj. Gen. Ld. Fitzoy Sounerset, Unattuched
Pay as Major General 500 0 0 Ditto, Military Secretary to the General Commanding in Chief 2000 0 3
Ditto, Pension for wound 300 0 0-2300 0 0

Lieut. Col. G. Desbrow, Capt. and Lieut. Col. of Gren. Guards, Pay and Emoluments	494	15	7			
Ditto, Assistant Military Secretary to the			•			
General Commanding in Chief			0-1094	15	7	
Mej. Maling, Capt. 2d West India Reg., Pay Ditto, Assistant Military Secretary to the	243	10	5			
General Commanding in Chief .	800	0	0-1048	16	5	
Maj. Gen. Sir H. F. Bouverie, Commanding					•	
Northern District, Staff Pay	874	13	7			
Ditto, Unattached Pay as Major in the Coldstream Guards	700	^	A 1854	12	~	
Maj. Gen. Sir Colin Campbell, Commanding	700	U	0-1574	13	7	
South West District, Staff Pay	691	19	7			
Ditto, Unattached Pay as Major in the						
Coldstream Guards	500		0			
Ditto, Governor of Portsmouth Maj. Gen. Sir John Cameron, Commanding	168	9	0-1360	0	4	
Western District, Staff Pay	691	19	7			
Ditto, Unnttached Pay as Major	810		ò			
Ditto, Lieut. Gov. of Plymouth, Pay & Emol.	498	7	6			
Ditto, Pension for injuries received in the					_	
Mai Con Sir Colin Halkett Commanding a	300	. 0	0-1795	12	1	Determined and and
Maj. Gen. Sir Colin Halkett, Commanding a Maj. Gen. J. Ross, Commanding at Guernse	r Jei	sey	•	•	•	Return not yet re-
and Alderney, Staff Pay as Colonel	, 560	2	6			cerved.
Ditto, Pay and Emoluments as Lieut, Gov.		_	•			
of Jersey	627	10	0			
Ditto, Unattached Pay as Lieut. Col.	3t0	5	0			
Ditto, Pens. for injuries received in Service	350	0	0-1847	17	6	
Col. Sir A. Christia, Unattached Pay as Col. of 1st Royal Veterad Battanon .	501	17	6			
Ditto, Commandant of Chatham Depot	728		2			
Ditto, Pension for wounds . :	600	ő	0-1829	13	8	
Col. Sir J. Douglas, Lieut. Col. of Portuguese						
Army, Half Pay	200		0			
Ditto, Dep. Quart. Mast. Gen, in Ireland	746	.7	5			
Ditto, Inspector of Army Clothing . Ditto, Pension for loss of leg	346 850		0 0—1643	17		
Maj. Gen. W. Thornton, Staff Pay as Major	000	•	0-1010	.,	,	
General Northern District, Ireland .	868	17	6			
Ditto Unattached Pav as Lieut, Col	910	18	4-1170	10	10	
Maj. Gen. Sir T. Arhuthnot, Staff, Western			_			
District, Ireland	891 310	19	7			
Ditto, Unattached Pny as Major General Ditto, Pension for a wound	800	0	0-1502	4	7	
Maj. Gen. Sir G. R. Bingham, Staff, Southern	000	v	0-1002	•	•	
District, Ireland	89 t	19	7			
Ditto, Unattached Pay as Lieut. Col.	810	5	0 - 1202	4	7	
Maj. Gen. Sir E. Blakency, Staff, South-wes-	•••	• •	_			
tern District, Ireland Ditto, Unattached Pay as Lieut, Col.	691 310	5	0.1000	4	*	
Maj. Gen. Hon. F. C. Ponsonby, Unattached	310	3	01002	•	7	
Pay as Inspecting Field Officer .	383	5	0			
	1000	0	0			
Ditto, Pension for wounds	800	0	0-46 83	5	0	77-1
Maj. Gen. Sir John Colborne, Commanding is	n Ca	nad	а .			Return not yet re-
Maj. Gen. Sir H. Douglas, Bart., Staff, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick	691	14	40			ceived. See also Col. Return, No. 7.
Ditto, Unattached Pay as Maj. Gen.			0- 983	14	9.1	. See also Colonial
Maj. Gen. Sir James Campbell, Staff at Gre-					- 2	Return.
nada, Staff Pay	82 8	17	1			
Ditto, Governor of Grenada, Pay and Emo-						Ditto
Ditto, Unattached Pay as Major General	8775 310	3	0 0-4914		٠, ٠	. Ditto.
Maj. Gen. L. Grant, Staff at Trinidad .	210			J		. Ditto.
		•	•			

Maj, Gen. Nicolay, Staff at Dominica, Pay and Emoluments . 2795 18 3 Ditto, Unettached Pay as Lieut. Col. 419 15 0—3215 18 3 . Included also in Mai, Gen. Sir P. Ross, Governor of Antigua, Colonial Return.
Pay and Emoluments 4542 0 0
Maj. Gen. N. Blackwell, Governor of Tobago,
Home Salary and Colonial Emoluments 2910 0 0 . Ditto.
Ditto, Unattached Pay as Major General 319 17 6-3229 17 6
Col. Maxwell, Governor at St. Kitts, Pay and Emoluments
Col. F. Cockburn, Governor of Honduras
Maj. Gen. Sir John Nicolls, on the Staff in India Ditto.
Maj. Gen. Sir Sam. F. Whittingham, Ditto ditto Ditto.
Maj. Gen. Earl of Carnwarth, Ditto ditto Ditto.
Maj. Gen. Sir T. Pritzler, Ditto ditto
Lieut, Col. Churchill, Military Secretary at Ceylon Ditto.
Col. G. Arthur, ditto Van Diemen's Land Ditto.
Sir Wm. Franklin, Principal Inspector Army Medical
Department 1200 0 0
Lt. Col. Ld. Loughborough, Unattached Payas Lt. Col. 419 15 0 Also Clerk of the Maj. Gen. Dalbiac, Unatt. Payas Lieut. Col. 419 15 0 Chancery in Scotland,
Ditto, Staff Pay as Major General . 690 5 7-1110 0 7 1135l. 17s. 6d.
Col. Ld. Downes, Unatt. Pay as Lieut. Col. 200 15 0
Ditto, Sec. to the Mast. Gen. of Ordnance 1200 0 0-1400 15 0
Licut. Gen. Earl of Elgin, Unatt. Pay as Licut. General 593 2 6 . Also a Pension as late Foreign Ambassador, 2000l.
Lieut. Gen. Earl of Elgin, Unatt. Pay as Lieut. General 593 2 6 late Foreign Ambas
Lieut, G. Sir T. Hammond, Unatt. Pay as Lieut, Gen. 593 2 6 . Also 1630l. as Equerry
Licut, Gen. Viscount Lake, Unattached Pay and Clerk Marshall to
as Lieut, General
Pension from Consolidated Fund 2000 0 0-2456 5 9
Maj. Gen. Ilon. G. Murray, Unatt. Pay as Maj. Gen. 492 15 0 ditor of the Excheq.
Maj. Gen. Hon. G. Murray, Unatt. Pay as Maj. Gen. 492 15 0 (ditor of the Excherge of Scotland, 1200).
6.—ORDNANCE AND MILITARY OFFICERS
(Not included in the Return from the War Office.)

Col. C. Bingham, Col. Royal Artillery, and Fire Master Royal Laboratory	731	17	6	Also a Pension of 300l. per annum, for wounds.
Maj. Gen. Sir A. Bryce, Col. Commandant Royal En-				
gineers, and Dep. Inspect, Gen. of Fortifications 18	875	5	0	Also a Pension of
Lieut, Gen. W. Cuppage, Col. Commandant Royal Art.				182l. 10s. for good ser-
and Inspect, of Royal Carriage Depart. Woolwich . 14	430	7	6	vices.
Lieut, Col. F. Colby, Lt. Col. Roy, Engineers 384 15 5				
Ditto, Extra Pay for Survey of Gt. Britain 495 0 7				
Ditto, Superintendent of the Trigonome-				
trical Survey, Ireland 500 0 0-13	379	16	0	
Col. Percy Drummond, Col. Royal Artillery 602 5 0				
Ditto, L'eut, Gov. Military Acad. Woolwich 400 0 0-10	002	5	0	
	003			
Lieut, Col. Sir A. Dickson, Lieut, Col. Roy.d Horse Art.				
	350	10	0	Also a Pension of
Col. E. Durnford, Col. commanding Royal Engineers,				365l. per annum for
Canada	195	7	6	good services.
Canada Dep. Commissary Gen. Drake, in charge of the Com-		•		8
	317	0	10	
Dep. Com. Gen. Edwards, in charge of the Commissariat				
at Jamaica 10	040	4	0	
Col. Sir A. Fraser, Col. of the Royal Horse Artillery,				
and Director of the Royal Laboratory 9	967	9	2	Also a l'ension of
Maj. Gen. G. B. Fisher, Unattached General Officer and	•		-	182l, 10s. per annum.
	247			
•		-		

Lieut. Gen. W. Fyers, Col. commandant Royal En- gineers, commanding in Ireland	2184	15	0	
Mind Good A Program View Constitution Assess	***			He also receives 415l. 17s. 8d. per ann. aslate Dep. Barrack- master, and 558l. per ann. as Commiss. of Board of Works, Ire-
Lieut, Gen. Q. J. Freeman, Lieut, Gen. in the Army	593	Y	6	master, and 5581. per ann, as Commiss. of Board of Works, Ire- land.
Col. Gardiner, Lient. Col. and Deputy Adj. Gen. and Inspector of Clothing in Ireland	1044	5	٥	
Lieut, Col. C. W. Holloway, Lieut, Colonel Royal En-				41 5 6
gineers, commanding at the Cape Col. John Hussard, Col. commanding Royal Engineers,				Also a Pension of 2001. per annum for a
Ionian Islands Col. John T. Jones, Lieut. Col. commanding Royal Engineers, Woolwich, and for inspecting Fortresses	1195	7	6	wound.
in the Netherlands	1170	5	7.	. Also a Pension of
Sir James M'Gregor, Director General Army Medical Board, and Physician to the Garrison at Portsmouth	2172	7	6	300l. per annum for wounds.
Maj. T. Maling, Assistant Military Secretary to Com- mander-in-Chief, and Captain 2d West India Regt.	1043	16	5	
Lieut, Gen. Sir John Macleod, Colonel Commandant Horse Artillery, Director General of Artillery, and				
Master Gunner, St. James's Park Gen. Gother Mann, Col. commanding Royal Engineers	2782	7	6	
and Inspector General of Fortifications .	2964	5	0	
Col. G. Nicholls, Col. commanding Royal Engineers, Nova Scotia	1195	7	6	
Maj. Gen. R. Pilkington, Unattached Maj. Gen. and commanding Royal Engineers, Gibraltar	1742	7	6	
Dr. G. Renny, Director General of Hospitals, and Phy-	•			
sician and Surgeon to Kilmainham Hospital Commissary Gen. Routh, in charge of the Commissariat	1296	2	2	
in the Canadas	1662	4	7	(Also 600) per annun
William Somerville, Physician to Chelsea Hospital	576	6	0 <	Also 600l. per annum as late Inspector Army Medical De- partment.
				Also a Pension of 12001.
Maj. Gen. H. Shrapnell, Col. Commandant Royal Artillery	1003	0	0	per annum for in- ventions.
Lieut. Col. Sir C. F. Smith, Lieut. Col. commanding Royal Engineers, West Indies	1234	18	4.	. Also a Pension of
Lieut, Gen. John Smith, Col. Commandant Royal Artillery				
Licut, Gen. T. Seward, ditto, ditto Maj. Gen. J. F. S. Smith, Colonel Commandant Royal	1003	0	0	wound.
Artillery, commanding in Ireland	1870	8	4	
Mai, Gen, Sir G. Wood, Maj. Gen., unattached	590	l	8.	. Also a l'ension of
Mai, Gen. G. Wulff, Col. Commandant Royal Artillery	1003	0	0	456l. 5s. for good ser-
Maj. Gen. W. Wilson, ditto, ditto	1003	0	0	vices.
Col. G. Whitmore, Colonel Royal Engineers, and Com- manding Royal Engineers, Malta	1195	7	6	
manana majar pulliments tranca	-100	•	•	

7.-OFFICERS IN THE COLONIES.

		å.	4			0	0	2							0				
		_ •	13			•	•	4							0				
	rived.	d. 1. 5	0 4—3866		•	0 -2400	0-3490	5-3522							0 0-3027				
	e de	,,000	စစ္အ		-	000	000	4							00				
	Source	7. 1850 2500 250	1200		0001	1640	350	2222											
	From what Source derived.	1. s. d. 4859 10 1 Paid from Home 1850 Ditto Antigua 2500 Ditto. Monserrat 250		1270 19 4 not known 205 8 6 1476 7 10 From the Colony.	Ditto.		Ditto St. Nevis 350	_	From the Colony.	Ditto.					Home Salary 1250	_			
		~ i~	2.4	2	0 (2	3	0	000	0		00	9 0	0	0 0			
	Total,	١ ۾ ۾	1469 10 11 3866 13 4		1500 0	0	01 71 0070	7	0 0			00		_	0				
	H	485		1476	1500	3490	970	0	5535	1300	2022	1500	1500	1821	3027	4000			
		d.	۰ <u>۵</u> .	4 t 0	0	. :		,	0	::		٠:	: 0		:	:	0	: :	
	Fees.	4.5	1812	139 8		9 :	2	2	0	: :	9	o :	: 6	6 9	:	:	0 0		
	F	l, s. d. 259 10 1	1261 12 9 207 18 2—	1270 19 4 not known 205 8 6	1500 0		000	se-rent	1535		748	1075 0 0	9217	1821 9		:	nery.] 621	: :	
ĺ	٠	o ig	::2	:::	: 3	•		, non	0	:00	•	:0	0 0		0	0	e ig	00	
	Salary.	1 % 0	: : :	:::		• •	•	jor.	۰	:0	0	:0				0	0.5	00	
	Š	, 1600	9986		cs.	3490	200	222	9	300	000	500	1500		3027	000	m for	25 25 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	
	Colony, Name, and Office.	Antigna, Sir Patrick Ross, Governor	Thomas Lane, Public Secretary Ditto, Registrar in Chancery Darbadocz, Sir James Lyon, Governor 3866 13 4	I.On. P. C. Wyshams, Secuetav and Clork of the Council. Ditto, Remembrance of the Court of Exchetur Ditto, Clerk of the Court of Common Pleasequer Ditto, Clerk of the Court of Exchetur of Pleasequer	Thomas Carter, Provost Marshall [To the principal and deputies.]	Dominica, Major General Nicolay, Governor		St. Vincent, Sir Charles Brisbane, Governor 3522 4 3	Trinidad, Major General Grant, Governor	Jas. Chapman, Island Secretary and Clerk of the Council Henry Gloster, Protector of Slaves	E. Murray, Registrar of Slaves 300 A. Warner, Chief Judge 2000	G. Fitzwilliam, Deputy Vendue Master	L. F. C. Johnston, Judge of Criminal Inquiry 1500	F. N. West, Escribance in Court of First Instance I Millor Indicial Referee and Liquidator and Partidor		Berbice, Henry Beard, Esq. Lieutenant Governor 4000 0 0			

•	-		IER	20119	HOLL	11110	Orr	ICES	,			44.
		•				0		•		•	> <	>
		•				0		0		•		>
rived.		0 -2000 0			0	1500 0 0-3000 0		0-2000		0	0 1000	
ဗို		• •				0		00		0	000	>
Source	ů,	2500 2500			1500 0	1500		1500 500		2086		ient.
From what Source derived.	Ditto. Out of Fees of Office. From the Colony.	Colonial Funds . King's Chest .	1596 0 0 From the Colony.	0 Ditto.		Ditto from Colo- nial Funds .		From King's Chest 1500 Ditto Colony . 500 From the Colony.	0 0 From the Colony.		From the Colony	
-:	000	00	•	0	0	•		0 0	•	0	0	0000
Total.	985		ø			-		2 2	9			2333
	1730 2000 1017	1241 5857		7800	33	121	2986	2000	<u>.</u>	3078	1228	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000
Fees.	• : • : •	11 257 0 0— 857 0 0— Table Money.	0 0 nor.]	••••	00	:	:	5100 0 0	0 0	300 0 0	:	::: :
_	2 :8 : is		1362 Gover	6 7050 178 178		:	÷	5100	398 :	9	:	::: :
lary.	60 000	use-re	0 0 enant-	Hous	° :	:-	;	。:	:.0	0 0 Hone	0 0	0000
Colony, Name, and Office. Salary.	Ditto, Receiver of Pettr Duties 157 9 Deput Protect of Slaves. Julia, Registers of Vice Administr 2000 Ditto, Registers of Vice Administr 2000 Deput Processor of Slaves.	Demerara, Sir B. D'Urban, Lieutenant-Governor 5000 0 0 857 Demerara, Sir B. D'Urban, Lieutenant-Governor 5000 1 857 Table	W. J. D'Urban, Government Secretary	J. A. Sullivan, Colonial Secretary and Registrar	(To Principal and Deputies.) Charles Wray, President, Court of Justice	R. J. Ritemeyer, Colonial Receiver	J. A. Goodman, Vendue Master [A per centage on Public Sales.]	• :	R. H. Muddle, Harbour Master. Ditto, Superintendent of Filors.	Charles Herbert, First Fiscal,	George Bagot, Second ditto 1228 0	Jamaira, Earl of Belmour, Governor Fight Rev. W. Lipscombe, D.D., Bishop of Jamaica, 4000 0 Rev. E. Pope, Archideacon C. C. R. Greville, Clerk of the Council, and Island Secre. 3000 0

PERSONS HOLDING OFFICES,

AP.

		_															
						0		0	0								
						0		0	0								
						0-5150 0		- 475 0	0 0-3502 0								
					0	9	0	9 2	9								
	nds				•	•	0										
	4650 0 0 Salary from Colonial Funds,				650 0		558 0 0 18 0 0— 1186 0 0 Parliamen. Estimate 150	Colonial Funds 325 Paid from Home 1280	From the Colony., 2222								Signa Leone, Lisutenant-Colonel Findlay, Lisut-Governor 2000 0 0 2000 0 0 Parliamentary Estimates. W. Jeffcott, Chief Justice
	loni	ny.			. 4	ties	ima	. e.	ny.	ì							E
	ပိ	olo			unda ting	ig D	Es	lon	olo								tary
	ron	ě			E.	ent	en.	E 8	e e								den
റ്റ്	ry f	a T		o o	on in	e e	an	fre	###	å	غ		0 0		ð	ð	lian O
1500 0 0 Ditto. 1850 0 0 Ditto.	Sala	Froi	Ditt		0 0 Ditto. 0 0 Colonial Funds .	44 per cent. Duties	Parl	Colo	From the Colony.	Ditto.	ij	Dit	Ditt		Ditt	Ditt	Par Ditt
00	0	0	: •	>	0		0	0	•	0	0	0	34 0		0	•	•
0	0	0	: 0	>	00		0	0	-	•			23		•	ړه	•
35 58 58	4050	1157	e.]	380 0 0 106 0 0	2650	eral,	1186	341 0 0 3843	1044	4000 La-	1583	1083	1507	00 t.]	. 3865	1500 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	2000
::	0	٥٩	: or	:00	J-	ien.	٥ ٩	0	•	and		MO.	ў эн ;	f.	: ! 🗑	Pen	::
::	0	0 0	:# :	:00	30	10	00	0	0	. : <u>:</u> :	3 1	7 F.	₹g:	nt o	::3	: 6	::
::	3574 0 0	Rev. J. P. Williams, Rector of St. Elizabeth	Sir W. Scarlett, Chief Justice	98 108 108	Ditto, Judge Surrogate of Vice-Admiralty Court	I the Fees do flot annount to sour, it is made up by Tainemed at seriment, among the head of "Contingencies," also pay of 479. Is 3d, per annum as Major-General, and a Peasion of 4654, for good Services.]	558	2	[Receives likewise Military Allowances as Major-General.]	Mala Major-General F. Ponsonby, Lentenan-Governor	88	Hoa, S. Batburst, Treasurer to Government	Sir John Stoddart, Chief Justice	gime	General Sit ucorge Loop, Lightenant-reversor 500 V V V V Constant Commonding in Chief V V See 380 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V	olone K. S. Chapman, Civil Secetary to Garrison and Registra of the Court of Appeal	::
00	0	್ಕ್	o tr	0 0		8	00		ene	, o [©] .		ິ່	່ວ່	ž -	0 5	٠ وق	00
00	0	៰ថ	.e.	0.9		1	00	0	Ę.	, - 2 7			00	₹ .	• <u> </u>	0 87	- 00
88	478	- 33	:488	388 8	:8:	E 2	32	8	ajo,	. 8 a s	و رُدِ	8	88	ğ f	382	8	88
58	ties 4	-44	. 28	3,5	:27	p a	4.5	35	N .	0wa	7	2	\$ 5 %	jo e	, & 4	let i	8 2
- 3	ep :		tat		2	. 3d			88	le d				one	: :3	Slor	
	ם: כ	:	. to		j::	- Z			anc	an	3			ပိ		on,	S of :
	an: an		ig.		100	473	- : :		low of	ise				13	i š	rr is	o v
	ery.	. :	ary:		ry C	30.5			Ψį	G. G.				an	and in	g å	3:
: 1	i.	ęt.	sal	: :	ral	pay view	:		ar	i i		nec	:	e)	ğ: ğ	3 : B	ieu
್ಕೆ ಬೆ	2 : 6	izat	e's		E G	Sel	rar	i i	Ę	ten Ses	i i	: 2		wa.	F. F.	ary	: 7 E
: ma	<u>۽</u> ۾	豆	Street	: :	yth	3. g	gist	ò	se l	van	1	a Ao		oll i	t n a	al al	die.
upr	E E	š	art,	ave	Vic	7	Re	: :	ewi	L of	,	. 9	ice.	Y.	Reg i	See Se	E
Sign	50 :	0 1	te vice		20	tene	and	ppe	# 6 6	y A	5	er 1	ust	Į.	엉른들	P. A.	nel
Z Z	₹ :	ect	Se C	e e	rate	tin 465	L A	a u	ves	itar	ers.	ran	e.	Ž,	the p	9 t. c	olog
Cle	ģ :	×.	of the	istr	SIS	Con	reta	2 5	ece	W.E	orive Strice	Les L	Chi	, vise	7,8 %	man O 47	15
£ g:	i i	ä	in the	ef J Reg	Su	8:01	Sec	100	E,	3 2 8	9 2	, t	rr o	kei	a See	als B	e a
äg:	ents	7	e g g	chi i	g5-	ees Per	bit,	ق ا		a a	e e	ey,	dd	es	Cole	of t	Coff
J. A. Suliran, Provost-Marshal	Hon. F. C. Wyndham, Registrar in Chancery, and Clerk of the Patents	~: :	carl e a ajoi	ie,	Ju	da da	Samuel Nesbit, Secretary and Registrar 475 0 0	1	;	eive	bourers in Gardens of and Colesse Drivers.]	Hon. S. Bathurst, Treasurer to Government 1000 0 0	š	ei.	ğğ	Far see	ij f
SH	he.	J, I	S.E.Z	Ste	to M	a P	lel 1	, ×	-	Rec .	a a	S. I.	hh F.	Rec	를 향	Zisti [R	one, effc.
₹.	on. of t	P.	r W cia,	Z.	Z å	_	H C	1 4		M.	į.	d d	r Je	_	Ä	olor Reg	ų.
n 02;	Η,	Ä	Si	J. Jeremie, Chief Justice	han		Š	Dud		zla	Ü	Ē	is s		5	ರ	## X
			Š		Ba			Š	,	M			ć	,			Š

													>		0			
From what Source derived.												0 0	00 /c	٥	0 0-1350			
ခု												0	•	•	•			
μį														9				
°S												200	납	nt 22	Ξ	j.	at,	
rhat y.												ant	Fan	iral	: >	Jrai	Ĭ.	
nolon											lon	5	7.	ry.	lon	ry (Ţ	
Fro											ပ္မ	am,	ente	enta	e Čč	onta C	on to	
a th					ċ						÷	Ę.	am	E.	## ##	am(ă	
From wh 0 From the Colony,	Ditto.	Ditto.	Ditto.	ittc)itt	itto	jitte	itto	Ditto.	Diffo.	From the Colony.	By Parliam, Grant 2000	Parliamentary Grant.	Ditto. Parliamentary Grant 250 0	Local Revenue 1100 From the Colony	Parliamentary Grant, Ditto,	Parliumentary Grant,	Ditto.
0	000			0 Ditto.	0 Ditto.	0 Ditto.	0 Ditto.	o Ditto.	0	_	00		1 0		-0	000		0 Ditto. 0 Ditto.
Total.	_	•		•	0		0	0	•	0		0	0	00	0		•	00
Total.	y Forts. 2000 0 2500 0	1500	1200	9	35	1200 0	4500 0 2 40th	1500	. 5800 	5400	1100	3700	2000	1150 1350	2900	1100	1060	3000
Colony, Name, and Office. Salary. Fees. Total Good Hope, General Sir G. L. Cole, Governor 7000 0	F 82 22 -	122	=======================================	1960	0- 1192		anada, LicutGen. Sir J. Kempt, Governor-in-Chief., 4500 0 0	2	ξ, <u>κ</u>	6	- 6	33	જ	==	53	222		
	<u> </u>	: :		: : :	9	:	of t	:	0 400 0 0 28 In lieu of a House.		:0	:	÷	00	0	000	ard Island, LieutColonel Rendy, LieutGovernor 1000 0 0 60 0 0 0 [He likewise receives about 3600, yearly, by yote of the Colonial Legislature.]	: :
Pecs.	- : ·					•	nel	•	ي م					00	0	000	o o	•
:	nd and Tilb			_ : ::	852	:	:37		eu o		1800	:	:	300	1400	120 800 800 800	Legis	: :
	ese C C c			(Receives also Pay as a Major-General on the Staff.) I Justice	Ţ.,	١.	en. Sir J. Kempt, Governor-in-Chief., 4500 0 0 ay and Allowance as Commander of the Forces, as Co Regiment of Proct and an Command of the William 1		i. I.	• •		_	~ 7				, iai	0 0
Salary.	000	000		* 0 0 0	:: c	:	ees,			00	00	0	o o	00	0		oloi	
.gg - gg	ນ ຮ່ວວຣ	38	388	J. R. Robinson, Chief Justice. Ditto, Sher of Alexander, & Chairm, of Exec. Conn. 460 E. J. Roulion A. Alexander, & Chairm, of Exec. Conn. 460 F. J. Roulion A. Alexander, & Chairm, of Exec. Conn. 460	ditto ditto ditto g42l, is in lieu of Fees from Land Granting Department	. :_	F. F.	38	tion. C. 3. Stewart, Disnop of Quebec 2400 0	1500	88	9	Dr. Inglis, Bishop of Nova Scotia	2.3	9	950 950 900	2 2 2 3	Soundiant, Sir Thomas Cochrane, Governor 3000 0 0 R. A. Tucker, Chief Justice 1,200 0 0
:	Survey Supplementary Sup	W. W. Burton, 2d ditto.	Anthony Oliphant, Attorney-General. 1500 er Canada, Major-Gen. Sir J. Colborne, LicutGovernor 3000	J. R. Robinson, Chief Justice. Jir. Robinson, Chief Justice. Ditto, Spkr. of Legisl, Council, & Chairm, of Exec. Conn. 460 K. Jir. Roulton, Alterpase, Commonly & Chairm, of Exec. Conn. 460	ditto 22. is in lieu of Fees from Land Granting Depar	: 65	the t	Sir F. Burton, Lieutenant-Governor	77	Jonathan Sewell, Chief Justice, Quebec	James Reid, Chief Justice, Montreal	37(. 20 ng	J. S. Blowers, Chief Justice. Sir Rupert George, Colonial Secretary.	25	John Saunders, Chief Justice N. F. Odell, Colonial Sciercette 250 N. Fordell, Colonial Sciercette 250 Solonias Baillie, Commissioner Crown Lands 600	25	83
	nt.			onn	: ::	Ser	j. j.		:	onathan Sewell, Chief Justice, Quebec	: :	:	: ij		10.0	John Saunders, Chief Justice N. F. Odell, Colonnal Secretary Phonus Baillie, Commissioner Crown Lands	rno	: :
:	5 g :		verr	ن د د	Lan	ō	de de	:	:			rn ₀	£ La		erne		0.00	. ! !
nor	ver ver		: :6	ajor Exe	: :9	ieth.	in in	3 :	:			0.0	for	: :	Sov		م ن	
0.v.c	So :		į,	a M	: :5	ler	rno	8 :	:			t-6	507	: :	nt-		ien	Ä.
Colony, Name, and Office.	45	: : ;	Ĕ	as : III	: : a	: <u>.</u>	ove as (:	:	. =		ien.	so 1	::	ena		Y, '	in :
Of Sole	etar:		al rne,	Cha	s fr	sior	t, G		ွ	ebec	_	ر ا	s al	ary.	ien		Seu Seu	000
E. L.	Secr.		lbo	1,8	Fee	Pen	wan	ino.	ace	క్రి స్ట	trea 1	tlan	ia.	ret	s, L	Ĺ	el B	, e
ဥို ဗ	1,19		ĞĞ.	es a mei	Jo	: -	Allo	0.00	3	ice,	lon	Mai	Sect	Sec	ike.	ery.	lon s at	ıraı
Silsi	n Be		E.	Service	E:	:	in a li	9	ď	Just	Ger.	=:	va (nia]	Dor	stic	9,19	ice i
ny,	3655	tto.	i tto	Sill S	s in	:	E 25	Snai	200	F. e	ostio ney-	Sir	ž,	Solo	ord	Sem	eut	as (Just
olo) Gen	he is	d di	٥٠	ig a	dit 2l.	:	e e	eut	9	್ಟ್	î.Ç	ien.	p of	e e	low:	hial Son	, Li	nou Jef,
200	2 6 4 4	ith.	ajo	of o	8	pel!	als	Ξ,		ake.	Ş.	<u>ٿ</u>	sho	org.	H	olo'	landiker	든링
oH -	12E 2	ekw	Q.Z.	pkr		du	i, E	rton	ā,	$_{ m spe}^{ m se}$	id,	Cie.	m,	r G	k, S	nder II, C	te 1	ke s
poo	hn	e E	adc	Sob Son	0	್ಪಿ	ece sece	Bul.	;	o,	ž.	ia,]	glis	Slow tper	noic	Sau Ode us E	war.	and Tuc
9,6	2 <u>\$</u> ~	> 0	Çğ.	 Did	Ditto	≥	Ca Ca	[편]		Dit	mes	Scot	Į.,	, Æ	un	H.F.	Ed	A.
Colony, Name, and Office. Salary.	SE	* §	Anthony Oliphant, Attorney-General. 1500 Upper Canada, Major-Gen. Sir J. Colborne, LicutGovernor 3000	P. 7		Sir W. Campbell.	ncer	ű,	-	Jo	Ja	Nova Scotia, LieutGen. Sir P. Maitland, LicutGovernor 3700	Ä,	· ú	New Branswick, Sir Howard Douglas, Licutenant-Governor 1500 0 0 [Received likewise military allowers as Major-Gang	SZE	Prince Edward Island, LieutColonel Rendy, Lieut. Governor 1000 [He likewise receives about 360], yearly, by vote of the	Nerfoundiand, Sir Thomas Cochrane, Governor 3000 0 R, A. Tucker, Chief Justice 11,200 0
ర			ħ				Ľ					ž			Ne		L)	Ğ

NO.	vII.]	EMOLUMENTS	EXCEEDING	1000 <i>l</i> .

NO. VII.]	EMOLUMENTS EXCEEDING 1000%.	3 65
Parliamentary Grant 1500 0 0 Local Revenue 1535 0 0—3035 0 0 Ditto.	Ditto. Ditto. Ditto. Ditto. Ditto. Otto. A present paid from the Colonial Refront the Colony. Ditto.	ೆ ೧ ಕ
Parlia Local From Ditto,	The same of the sa	Ditto. Ditto.
0 0000.:		41. 0
3235 0 0 1020 0 0 1150 0 0 4200 0 0 ; Foot.]	25750 0 2500 0 1400 0 1400 0 1400 0 1400 0 1400 0 1400 0 1400 0 1400 0 1400 0 1400 0 1400 0 1400 0 1500 0 1500 0 1500 0 1500 0 1500 0 1500 0 1500 0 1500 0 1500 0 1500 0 1600 0 1	ogo →
3235 1020 1150 4200 6. F. Foo	2556 266 266 266 266 266 266 266 266 266	1173
200 0 0 20 0 0 350 0 0 the 90th Re	100 100	3 7 1173 7 6 1173 ,— 1184
200 200 350 the s	and and color of the color of t	*34
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
o o o o	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000
8035 0r-G 1000 800 4200 as C	750 2840 11500 11500 2000 2000 1200 1200 1200 1	200 220
Bernuda, Sir H. Turner, Governor 1935 0 200 0 0 3225 0 James C. Esten, Chirl Justice 1900 0 0 1020 0 R. Kennedy, Colonial Severtary 1900 0 0 1020 0 0 1020 0 R. Kennedy, Colonial Severtary 1900 0 0 20 0 0 1020 0 R. Kennedy, Colonial Severtary 2000 0 20 0 0 1020 0 Receives allowances as a major-General on the Stuff, and as Coloniel of the 90th Reg. Fool, Received and Leave, Colonial Sec. and Register of Received 2000 0 1900 0 Receives allowances as a major-General on the Stuff, and as Coloniel of the 90th Reg. Fool, Dirthe, Companion for Dension for next services.	25 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Ditto, Government Agent for Tanbaakandee

From what Source derived.	4. 7 From the Colouy. 3. 0 Ditto. 0 Di
	THILL I HOUSE TO THE THIRD THE THE THIRD THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE
Te .	4.8.900000000000000000000000000000000000
Total	1954 1 1954 1 1954 1 1954 1 1954 1 1954 1 1950 1 19
Fees.	*294 14 7½ 14 eipts of the year 1829, 1200 0 2500 0 2500 0 100 0 1600 0 100 0 1600 0 100 0 1600 0 100 0 1600 0 100 0 1600 0 100 0 1600 0 1000
Salary.	7,750 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Š	7,50 mn the case of the case o
Colony, Name, and Office.	F. J. Freynfer, Collection of Revenue and Customs, Chilar 739 0 0 244 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17

8.—OFFICERS OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS.

The Right Honourable the SPEAKER:					đ.
Salary, as regulated by Act 30 Geo. III. c. 10			. 600		0
John Henry Ley, Esq., Clerk of the House of Commons:				- 0	٠
Salary, as regulated by Act 52 Geo. III. c. 11, paid out of the Fees	belor	ıgiı	12		
to his Office		-8	350	0 0	0
Henry Seymour, Esq., Serjeant at Arms:	•				٠
Salary, as regulated by Act 52 Geo. III. c. 11, paid out of the Fee					
	2000	0	0		
Allowance for a House	300	ŏ	0-230	a n	٥
John Rickman, Esq., Clerk Assistant:	•••	•			٧
Salary, as regulated by Act 52 Geo. III. c. 11, paid out of the Fee 1	Fund		. 250	nΛ	٨
(Mr. Rickman's Salary of 2001. a year, as Secretary to th		nmi			٠
sioners of Highland Churches, ceased in Aug. 183					
William Ley, Esq., Second Clerk Assistant:	JU.,				
Salary, as regulated by Act 52 Geo. III. c. 11, paid out of the Fee	Fund		90/	юо	
Sir Edward Stracey, Bart., one of the Four Clerks out of Doors atter	ding	Cot	n_ 201		U
mittees; and Clerk of Ingrossments:	iung	C 01	11-		
Ingrossing Fees	342	3	9		
Committee Fees	990				
Salary as Committee Clerk			0-13	0 14	
John Bull, Esq., Clerk of the Journals and Papers:	30	v	0-13	2 14	2
Salary, 500l., out of which 100l. is paid to his Assistant	400	^			
Allowance of one-fourth on the Amount paid for copying Papers	400	v	•		
in the Office, upon which Fees are paid	55	16	10		
Paid by the Commissioners for regulating the Offices of the	33	70	10		
House of Commons, for superintending the general Business					
of the Journal Office, and the printing of the Sessional Papers,					
in lieu of a charge for the Copy of Papers ordered to be printed,					
the same being less than an average of such Copy Money for					
the five years immediately preceding the year 1828, when this					
arrangement was made by the Commissioners; paid out of the Fee Fund	1000	^			
	1000	U	U		
Paid for superintending the compiling of the Journal; abstract-					
the Petitions; examining the Proofs with the Minute Books;					
and finally reading over the sheets preparatory to their being	100	•			
sent to press	180	U	0		
For delivering the printed Journals and Reports to Members;	01		0 10		10
these last sums charged in the Journal Account	21	0	0-16	00 10	10
Andrew Dickinson, Esq., Assistant Clerk of the Journals.					
Salary allowed by the Treasury, paid out of the Salary of the	100	^			
Clerk of the Journals	100		0		
Paid by the Commissioners, out of the Fee Fund	2	8	0		
For assisting in compiling the Votes; for nightly attendance;					
and for making two Indexes, viz., one for the Table of the					
House, and one for the Journal Office; charged in the Account		_			
for printing the Votes of 1829	503	3	4		
For compiling and examining the Journal, and making an Index	co.	~			
thereto; charged in the account for printing the Journal of 1829	639	7	0		
Paid out of 400l, allowed by the Treasury to the Clerks in the					
Offices of the Clerk of the Fees and Clerk of the Journals .	60	0	013	# 18	4

APPENDIX.-No. VIII.

1.-A STATEMENT, showing the Annual Average Amount of the IMPORTS and EXPORTS of Ireland, for the Triennial periods terminating on 5th January, 1790, 1800, 1810, 1820, and 1830 respectively; distinguishing the Trade with Great Britain from the Trade with Foreign Parts.

Periods.		al Average Ai of the orts into Irela	i	Annual Average Amount of the Exports from Ireland.						
2.1.020	From Great Britain.	From Foreign Parts.	Total.	To Great Britain.	To Foreign Parts,	Total,				
Three Years ended Mar. 25 1790	l. 2,429,176	l. 1,106,412	l. 3,535,588	l. 3,112,817	l. 1,012,516	l. 4,125,333				
,, 1800	3,441,101	858,392	4,299,493	3,487,865	528,111	4,015,976				
Jan. 5 1810	5,160,924	1,874,144	6,535,068	4,710,718	559,758	5,270,471				
,, 1820	4,988,668	1,019,605	6,008,273	5,544,135	747,140	6,291,275				
,, 1826	6,102,975	1,388,915	7,491,890	7,751,907	703,011	8,454,918				
,, 1830		1,573,545		•••••	839,014					

Note .- In the Return here submitted, the Statement of Irish Commerce for the Triennial period terminating on 5th January, 1830, is necessarily confined to the trade earried on with foreign parts. The trade with Great Britain having, since the year 1825, been governed by Coasting Regulations, which deprive this department of the means of keeping any record of the interchange of goods between the two countries, except in so far as the article of corn is concerned.

article of corn is concerned. With the view, however, of bringing down the comparison upon the aggregate Imports and Exports to the latest possible period, it has been thought advisable to introduce the averages of an additional term of three years, ending 5th January, 1826, the date at which the record of the Cross-Channel Trade was finally discontinued.

Inspector General's Office, Custom House, London, 15th January, 1831.

WILLIAM IRVING, Inspector General of Imports and Exports.

2.—A STATEMENT, showing the Annual Average Quantities of the following Articles retained for Home Consumption in Ireland, in the Triennial periods terminating on the 5th of January, 1790, 1800, 1810, 1820, and 1830 respectively; viz., TEA, COFFEE, SUGAR, FLAX, SEED, COTTON YARN, COTTON WOOL, WOOLLEN and WORSTED YARN; SILK, Raw and Thrown; IRON, Unverought; TIMBER, DEALS, and COALS.

	ANNUAL	AVER	AGE	QUANTITI in I	ES retained f	or HOM	E CONSUI	MPTION
Pertops.	Sugar.		Flax	Cotton	Cotton			
	Tea.	Coffee,		. Refined.	Total stated as Raw.	Seed.	Yarn.	Wool.
Three Years ended Mar. 25, 1790 ,, 1800 Jan. 5, 1810 ,, 1820 ,, 1830	3,316,321 3,548,293	lhs. 44,370 73,262 173,273 405,186 277,465 579,260	ewts 199,25 226,93 353,63 269,49 301,90 321,19	9,913 84 8,405 66 30,056 99 28,431 03 61,697	cwts. 216,106 241,224 404,763 317,833 406,789	Bush. 339,745 327,691 226,527 296,142 460,043 168,459	lbs. 68,717 557,720 1,043,637 1,279,374 2,510,303	lbs, 1,351,680 1,166,106 3,313,834 2,873,862 4,368,656 2,478,965
		ANI	NUAL	AVERAGI	E QUANTITI	ES—con	inued.	
	Woollen and Worster Yarn.	Silk, and Tr		Iron Unwroughi	Timber, (eight inche square and upwards.)	es Ends tens,	and Deal , and Bat- and Bat- n Ends.	Coals.
Mar. 25, 1790 ,, 1800 Jan. 5, 1810 ,, 1820 ,, 1826 ,, 1930	lbs. 2,294 1,880 287,652 608,452 632,750	1b 92, 79, 77, 73. 25, 3,	091 060 692 005	Tons. 9,971 10,241 15,758 14,566 18,838 871	Loads. 20,138 6,973 15,304 33,858 55,575 66,588		ndreds. 17,492 12,024 8,726 7,691 9,417 12,071	Tons. 336,934 362,499 491,374 675,910 711,876 796,773

Note.-The view which this Statement affords of the average Consumption of the Triennial period. terminating on 5th January, 1830, is to a certain extent defective, inasmuch as the Coasting Regulations by which the Cross-Channel Trade has been governed since the year 1825, prevent the keeping of any record of goods imported duty free from Great Britain, either in the case of British Productions or of Foreign Merchandise, upon which duty has already been paid in a British Port; of the articles included in the present Account, those in respect to which the comparison is most affected by this circumstance, arc, Refined Sugar, Flax Seed, Cotton Wool, Cotton Yarn, Woollen Yarn, Raw and Thrown Silk, and Unwrought Iron.

The consumption of Tea in Ireland cannot be ascertained for any annual period, later than the year ended 5th January, 1828, a regulation having been established in the course of the following year, which requires that Tea, destined for consumption in Ireland, shall be charged with Duty in Great Britain, previously to its delivery from the Warehouses.

To compensate as far as possible for the defect in the averages of the period ended 5th January, 1826, the dale

at which the Duty-free Importations from Great Britain ceased to be recorded.

Inspector-General's Office, Custom-House, London, 15th Jan. 1831.

WILLIAM IRVING, Inspector-General of Imports and Exports. 3.—A STATEMENT, showing the Annual Average Quantities of the following 1800, 1810, 1820, and 1830, respectively; distinguishing the Exports to Great HORSES, BACON and HAMS, BEEF and PORK, BUTTER, WHEAT, MANUFACTURES, LINEN YARN, COTTON MANUFACTURES.

		ANNUAL AVERAGE QUANTITIES							
l'erions.	Oxen.	Sheep.	Swine.	Horses.	Bacon and Hams,	Beef and Pork,	Butter.		
Three Years ended Mar. 25, 1790 ,, 1800 Jan. 5, 1810 ,, 1820 ,, 1826 ,, 1830	No, 19,319 14,105 19,376 51,880 57,395	No. 871 10,203 24,774 62,819	No. 5,636 4,083 9,830 36,637 73,912	No. 2,063 692 3,363 1,978 2,496	Cwts. 17,026 41,948 152,070 204,380 338,218	Barrels. 88,583 929,179 211,482 170,362 143,725	Cwts. 198,149 215,100 309,179 378,303 441,226		
	ANNUAL AVERAGE QUANTITIES								
Mar. 25, 1790 ,, 1800 Jan. 5, 1810 ,, 1820 ,, 1826 ,, 1930	138 73 227 36 32 94	27 6 110 193	49 3 199 1 13	211 64 104 41 42 138	3,060 314 2,096 5,658 1,696 1,335	138,981 48,897 66,824 54,858 46,206 52,402	120,900 65,549 46,423 65,553 51,637 45,576		
	ANNUAL AVERAGE QUANTITIES								
Mar. 25, 1790 ,, 1800 Jan. 5, 1810 ,, 1826 ,, 1826 ,, 1830	19,457 14,178 19,603 51,916 57,427	871 10,230 24,780 62,929	5 685 4,086 9,830 86,836 73,913	2,274 756 3,467 2,019 2,598	20,986 42,262 154,166 210,038 389,914	227,564 278,076 278,306 225,220 189,931	319,049 230,649 355,602 443,856 492,863		

Note.—In the foregoing Return, the Exports to Great Britain for the Triennial period terminating on the 5th January, 1830, are necessarily omitted, except in so far as they consisted of Corn; other Articles, under the Coasting Regulations, by which the Cross-Channel Trade has been governed since the year, 1825, being exported without specific entry at the Custom-House.

Inspector-General's Office, Custom-House, London, 15th Jan. 1831. Articles exported from Ireland in the Triennial Periods ended 5th January, 1790, Britain from those to Foreign Countries; viz., OXEN, SHEEP, SWINE, and WHEAT FLOUR, OATS and OATMEAL, IRISH SPIRITS, LINEN

_							
			EXPORTE	D TO GREA	T BRITAI	N.	
	Wheat and	Oats and	Irish	Linen	Linen	Cotton M	anufactures :
	Wheat Flour.	Oats and Oatmeal.	Spirits.	Manufac- tures.	Yarn,	Entered by the Yard,	Entered otherwise than by the Yard.
	Quarters, 41,616 24,077 61,097 113,110 375,784 525,619	Quarters. 285,015 320,470 673,895 878,179 1,301,183 1,697,509	Imp. Galls. 3291 321,968 35,468 527,918 684,680	Yards. 30,410,840 32,986,029 37,812,960 43,330,864 49,031,073	Cwt. 29,197 16,434 17,177 10,005 3,181	Yards. 159 10 188,713 5,997,918	Value. 1. s. d. 47 16 0 19 16 11 110 11 3 4,997 5 1 14,887 1 7
			EXPORTE	TO FOREI	GN PART	's.	
	70,640 1,573 1,495 3,337 1,802 21	27,978 3,559 3,543 7,265 4,270 3,625	161 2,083 1,921 50,570 4,786 20,429	3,780,914 3,126,340 2,938,927 4,934,847 2,916,340 3,295,233	27 2 2 5	8,988 77,486 314,679 1,795,955 4,163,212	8,213 18 0 9,494 5 1 20,203 9 2 11,528 15 5 8,525 6 0 3,296 16 2
			. EXPORT	ED TO ALI	PARTS.		
	112,256 25,650 62,592 116,447 377,583 525,640	312,993 304,029 677,438 885,444 1,305,453 1,701,134	164 2,374 323,989 86,038 531,907 705,109	34,191,754 36,112,369 40,751,887 48,265,711 51,947,413	29,197 16,461 17,179 10,070 3,186	9,147 77,496 503,392 7,793,873	8,261 14 0 9,514 2 0 20,314 0 5 16,526 5 7 23,412 8 3

The Averages of the Triennial period ended 5th January, 1826, are inserted in addition to those of the periods mentioned in the Order of the Honourable House, for the purpose of showing the comparative amount of the Exports to Great Britain, at the latest date to which the record of those Exports extends.

WILLIAM IRVING, Inspector-General of Imports and Exports. 4.—A STATEMENT, showing the Annual Average Number and Tonnage of VESSELS entered Inwards and cleared Outwards, in the Ports of Ireland, in the Triennial Periods terminating on 5th January 1790, 1800, 1810, 1820, and 1830, respectively; distinguishing the Trade with Great Britain from the Trade with Foreign Parts.

TRIËNNIAL	ANNUAL AVERAGE NUMBER AND TONNAGE OF VESSELS ENTERED INWARDS.						
PERIODS. Terminating on 5th January.	From GREAT BRITAIN.		From FOREIGN PARTS.		From ALL PARTS.		
on Still January.	Number.	Tonnage.	Number.	Tonnage.	Number.	Tonnage.	
1790	and fron	The Entries Inwards from Great Britain and from Foreign Parts are not distinguished in the Records of this period.					
1800	6,523	544,723	686	97,754	7,209	642,477	
1810	7,744	674,425	653	90,233	8,397	764,658	
1820	10,018	823,307	937	138,577	10,955	961,884	
1830	12,329	1,153,937	1008	166,142	13,337	1,325,079	

Note.—There being no record of the Number and Tonnage of Vessels Cleared Outwards, from the Ports of Ireland, for the first or second of the Triennial Periods included in the Order of the Honourable House, the foregoing Return is confined to the Vessels Entered Inwards.

Office of Register General of Shipping, Custom House, London, 15th January, 1831.

JOHN COVEY, Register General of Shipping.

INDEX.

	rage
Accounts, public, confusion of	106
Commissioners appointed to inquire into the	
state of, in 1828	133
Army accounts	ib.
Navy accounts	140
	143
Accounts of the Paymaster of Marines .	
Accounts of the Victualling-Office .	144
Ordnance accounts	154
Defects of the existing system of public ac-	
counts	159
Public accounts of France	172
Accumulation of wealth, progress of, in this country .	13
Advertisements, injurious effect of excessive duty upon	43
Agriculture, taxes on foreign produce to give protection	
to British	60
Almonds, effect of excessive duty upon	43
America, report of Committee of Congress against pro-	
tecting duties	85
Same of citizens of Boston	86
Same of Mr. Camberleng	ib.
Tonnage of United States	8 7
Effects of the Tariff	ib.
Annuities, terminable, the Sinking Fund should be applied	•••
in converting perpetual into terminable	
annuities	050
	258
Calculations of the effect of such a measure. App	. No. V.
Advantages of borrowing in a stock of this	
kind	285
Dr. Price quoted	ib.
The reasons commonly given for not raising	
loans in this stock not well founded .	286
Amount of terminable annuities sold by the	
Commissioners of the Sinking Fund since	
the act of 1829	287
the act of 1029	201

INDEX.

	Page
Army, civil departments of	128
Army extraordinaries	129
Army agents	132
Army accounts	133
Number and distribution of the army in 1792	219
Same in 1828	220
Number of men voted in 1830	221
Means by which the army might be reduced .	ib.
Assessed taxes, should not be repealed .	37
Audit Office should be reformed .	127
22aurt Omeo snould no jeiotimed	1-/
Bank of England, charge for management of the public	
debt	ib.
Barilla, effect of duty upon	21
Beer duty ought not to have been repealed	35
Bentham, Sir Samuel, his arguments in favour of govern-	3.50
ment manufactures refuted	150
Bentham, Jeremy, Esq. observations on his objections	
to the mercantile system of accounts .	170
Bounties on linen, fisheries, and sugar	120
Brandy, effect of excessive duty upon	40
Bricks and tiles, effect of duty upon	23
Revenue from . App.	No. I.
Calicoes, printed, effect of duty and drawback of duty	32
Capital, the object of all commercial and financial mea-	32
sures should be to remove obstruction in	11 10
the way of the accumulation of capital	11, 13
Employed at home, or in trade with indepen-	
dent states, equally useful as if employed	0.40
in colonies	246
Consequences of the power of this country to	
accumulate capital	289
Existing obstructions to accumulation .	290
Civil government, expenses of	188
Civil list, of England	190
of Scotland	192
of Ireland	196
Plan for future settlement of	19 7
Coals, effects of duty on	23
Exportation of coals	25

INDEX. 375

	Page
Coffee, effect of reducing the duty on, in increasing the	
consumption	45
Quantity imported App.	No. II.
Collection of the revenue, charges increased	110
Plan proposed of one board of revenue .	111
Colonies, annual expense to the mother-country .	231
Letter of Mr. Herries on extravagant expendi-	
ture upon	ib.
Proposed financial arrangements .	233
Ditto commercial arrangements	ib.
Colonial acts of 1822 and 1825	234
Duties on foreign goods imported into the West	
Indies should be repealed	235
Colonial trade should be made free .	ib.
Restrictions on it by the Navigation-laws .	236
Duties on food and timber imported .	237
Prices of food and timber in the United States	
and in Canada	238
Refining sugar for the home market prohibited	240
Duties on foreign and East India sugar imported	
into the United Kingdom should be the	
same as on West India sugar	242
The colonies should be made to pay for their	
defence	244
The great advantages of colonies denied .	ib.
Proposal for getting rid of some of them .	249
Ionian Islands, Canada	ib.
Prosperous state of Cuba	250
Commissariat should be under the Ordnance Department	131
Accounts	ib.
Comptrollers of army accounts, duty of	129
Should be abolished	131
Contracts, advantages of contracts over carrying on ma-	
nufactures by government	146
Principles on which contracts should be ma-	
naged	154
Corn-laws, how much they cost the public	3
Effects of	61
Object of committee of 1813	67
Importation of corn from Ireland	66
Cour des Comptes of France	180

Crown lands, Mr. Burke's plan to sell them .	. 197
Mr. Huskisson's remarks upon .	· ib
Currants, effect of high duty upon	. 43
Currency, erroneous opinion of the effect of resumi	
cash payments	. 9
Customs' duties, number of articles charged and produ	ce
of the duties	. 114
Several should be repealed .	. 115
	•
Debt, national, expense of management .	. 127
Amount of	. 265
Effects on national wealth	. 266
Interest upon	267
Mr. Ricardo's opinion on the policy of keepi	
faith with the public creditor.	. ib
Distress, exaggerated notions of	. 5
Dock-yards at home, sum voted for	. 135
Evidence of Mr. Douglas, Sir George Coc	
burn, and Mr. Barrow, on reducing the	ne
expense of	. ib
Drawbacks on glass, printed calicoes, and soap, effects	of
on the revenue	. 32
All drawbacks should be abolished	. 121
	•
Employment of labour, obstacles to, arising from tax	es
on industry	. 19
Exchequer, the ancient system of control by the E	x-
chequer over the public money should	
abolished, and a new office created in i	
stead .	. 127
Exchequer bills, the amount of should be reduced	273
Excise duties, injurious effects of on manufactures	. 29
	-
Expense of collecting	. 115
Expenditure, public, amount and particulars of in 182	
What portion of it admits of being reduced	. 98
Principles on which it should be regulated	. 106
Management of the public expenditure	. 125
Estimate of the expenditure for 1831	. 213
Particulars of the expenditure of 1790	270

INDEX.	377
n	Page
Foreign spirits, effect of excessive duty in diminishing	40
consumption France, commercial intercourse with, should be extended	55
Effect of the protecting system on the foreign	55
trade of France	82
Petition of the department of the Gironde in	٠.
favour of free trade	88
Public accounts of France explained .	172
Revenue and expenditure of France in 1828	174
Free trade, not established by Mr. Huskisson's measures	
in 1825	71
Quantities of foreign goods imported since 1825	ib.
Advantages of free trade	75
Reports in favour of, by committees of Congress	05 06
in the United States Petition of merchants of London in favour of	85, 86 83
Petition to the same effect of the department of	63
the Gironde	88
Arguments in favour of by Mr. M'Culloch .	76
So far as acted upon has been beneficial	291
v	
Class injurious effects of autorius duties unen	31
Glass, injurious effects of excessive duties upon Effect of recent reduction of duty .	49
Quantity of glass made for home consumption	43
	No. II.
Glove manufacture, improvement in the state of	15
Quantity of gloves imported since 1825 .	71
7 0 1	
Hackney-coaches, the tax on them should be repealed.	119
Hemp, injurious effects of duty upon	21
Hereditary revenues of England	190
of Scotland	192
of Ireland	ib.
Holland, effect of excessive taxation on the manufactures	
of Holland	20
Horses, the day stamp duty should be repealed .	119
Household officers, the salaries of the principal officers	
should be abolished, and those of others	
reduced	197
Huskisson, Mr., his opinion in favour of an income-tax	261

	1 age
Income, amount of national income at different periods	11
Income-tax, a moderaté one should be imposed .	261
Mr. Huskisson's opinion in favour of .	ib.
Industry, injurious effects of taxes upon	2
Insurance, injurious effects of high duty upon .	44
Ireland, civil list of	196
If properly managed will become a source of	
revenue to Great Britain	232
Circumstances which have retarded her im-	-02
provement	252
Modern measures for promoting the trade of	
Ireland with Great Britain	254
Present revenue	255
Comparative state of Ireland now and at the	
Union	ib.
(App. No. VIII.)	,
(pp. 1100 . 1111)	
Jefferson, Mr., his opinion in favour of free trade .	87
Journal, use of this book in accounts	167
Judges' salaries should be reduced	200
Labour, the low price of labour not alone sufficient	
to give a nation advantages over other	
nations in manufacturing for foreign	
countries	83
Lancaster, Duchy of, Mr. Burke's remarks upon, and	
plan for new arrangement of .	198
Landed interest not benefited by Corn-Laws .	65
What the objects are which landlords should	
seek to obtain	70
Leases, the English system of not giving leases one of	
the causes of England not growing corn	
enough for its consumption	68
And of her husbandry being inferior to that of	
Scotland	ib.
Loans, injurious consequences of making them in nominal	
canital	278
capital	278 280
Reasons for doing so not well founded Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland, office of, should be abolished	278 280 200

IND	EX.			379
Machinery, the exportation of it	should be al	lowed		Page 34
Malt, vexatious Excise regulation	is for collect	ing duty c	'n	33
Consumption stationary		ing daty t	,,,,	116
Manufactures, injurious taxes on		•	•	28
On competition with for	*	•	•	19
Benefit of manufacture		· la	•	65
		15	•	71
Quantities imported sin	ce 1823		NT	
Excise duties upon .	, .	A	pp. N	0. 1.
Manufactures carried	on by gove	rnment d		
partments		•	144,	116
Manufactures not be	nehled by	protectin	g	
duties .	•	•	•	81
Marine insurance, injurious effec			•	23
Materials of industry, injurious ef	fects of taxe	son		18
The quantities imported	d should be	e publishe	ed	
quarterly in the Ga	zette	•		161
The rate of duty on ea		and amour	nt	
of revenue recei			pp. N	o. I.
Military expenditure in 1828	•			211
Principles on which it s	hould be rea	zulated	-	212
No reason for increasing		, aratou	•	216
Non-effective expendit		•	211,	
Expense or defence of	coloniae sh	ould be o	~11, ot	210
rid of .	COTOMICS SIL	oute oc g	o c	243
	•	•	•	201
Mint, annual expenses of		41	•	201
Mr. Burke's proposal				
establishment, and	to place t	ne busine	S\$	
under the Bank of			•	ib.
Loss sustained by new				
sovereigns in ex-	change for	bullion de	e-	
posited .	•	•	•	ib.
Monopolies, great increase of pri			of	
the numerous exis	ting monopo	olies	•	3
Navy Board, alteration of				133
	•	•	•	
Navy expenditure in 1828	•	•	•	211
Sums voted in 1830	•	•	•	222
Number of ships	•	•	•	223
Onlyan va dayartment				145
Ordnance department Expenditure in 1828	•	•	011	145
Expenditure in 1828.		_	211.	225

	rage
Paper, injurious effects of duty on	29
Paymaster of the Forces	128
Paymasters and Treasurers, new plan proposed for pay-	
	28, 164
Paymaster of Marines	143
Business of should be transferred to the Navy	
Board	ib.
Peace establishment before 1793	190
Lord Grenville's defencé of	191
Pedlars, the tax on, should be repealed	119
Pensions, Civil List	198
Total amount of all pensions, superannua-	
tions, &c.	219
	No. VI.
Political economy, Mr. M'Culloch's principles of, quoted	74
Public men have little knowledge of .	108
Poor-laws, the first step towards reforming them should	
be the repeal of the Corn-laws .	6 5
Postage of letters, injurious effect of high rates .	44
Price, Dr., quoted on terminable annuities	285
Printer, the King's, loss to the public by his patent .	204
Great profit derived from selling works printed	
at the public expense	ib.
Opinion of Committee of Public Expenditure	
upon	ib.
Patent renewed in 1829	205
Protecting duties, cost of to the public	3, 80
To what extent they now exist	73
	No. III.
Injurious effects of	73
History of	74
Tend to impoverish a nation	78
Mr. M'Culloch's opinion quoted	75
Manufacturers not benefited by protecting	
duties	81
Inventions checked	. ib.
Create smuggling	82
Occasion loss of revenue	ib.
Effect of in France	ib.
Not necessary for British manufactures .	83
Petition of Merchants of London against .	ib.

INDEX.	381
	Page
Protecting Duties Report of Comm. of Congress against	85
Same of the Citizens of Boston	86
Mr. Camberlong's Report against	86
The repeal of our protecting duties should not	
be kept back, waiting for other countries	
to repeal theirs	ib.
Public accounts. (See Accounts.)	
Defects of the existing system	159
Proposed improved plan	166
System in use in France explained	172
Raw materials, duties on App	. No. I.
Retrenchment, principles on which it should be con-	
ducted	106
Summary of	257
Revenue, probable loss by reducing duties on tobacco	
and spirits	48, 51
Effect of repealing taxes in making others	-,

Direct of repeating takes in maning others	
more productive	110
Estimate of revenue for 1831	213
Total revenue received in the wars of 1793 and	
	NI - 137
. App.	No. IV.
Salaries and superannuations	206
Amount of salaries in the public offices in	
1792 and 1827	209
Salaries exceeding 10001. a year . ib. and App.	No.VII.
Scotland, Civil List of	190
Hereditary revenues of, and application of them	193
Shipping, tonnage of, in 1792 and 1829	11
Shipping interest, injured by taxes on raw materials .	19
Interests of, protected by injuring those of the	
West Indian planters	238
Various ways in which protection is given .	290
Silk, thrown, injurious effects of the duty on .	$\frac{230}{22}$
Sink, thrown, injurious elects of the duty off.	
Silk manufacture, improvement in the state of .	15
Quantities imported since 1825	71
Sinking Fund, settled to be 3,000,000%.	229
Better to repeal taxes to that amount .	ib.
Should be wholly applied in converting per-	
petual into terminable annuities .	288

		Page
Slave-trade, expense incurred in attempting to supp	ress	
it, and failure		228
Smuggling, expense incurred in attempting to suppre	ss .	47
Soap, duty on, injurious effects of		32
Spirits, effect of reducing duty on Scotch and Irish		45
Proposed plan for new arrangement of duti-	es .	49
	App	. No. II
Stamp duties	•	119
Proposal of Mr. Humphreys to increase	•	262
Sugar, duty on, not too high	•	56
Error in reducing it		ib
Bounty on		121, 123
Quantity imported	App	. No. II
Superannuations, progress of	•	209
Tallow, injurious effects of duty on .		26
Taxes, erroneous opinions respecting the pressure of		2
Effects of taxes on industry .		7
On raw materials		18
On manufactures		29
On luxuries		39
Excessive taxes not productive of proportion	onal	
revenue		40
No repayment should be made of duties wh	en a	
repeal of them takes place .		59
The amount of taxation that falls on the lan	ded	
interest commonly overstated		67
Estimate of taxes to be repealed .		92
Means of providing new revenue .		93
Effect of reducing and repealing taxes in n	nak-	
ing remaining taxes more productive		96
New taxes that might be imposed .		261
Effect of taxation in promoting exertion	and	
parsimony		266
rea, effect of the high duty on		40
Effect of reducing the duty .		45
Quantity imported	App.	No. II.
Terminable annuities . Se	e Āī	nnuiti e s.
Timber, effect of the duties on, in raising the price	of	
timber		7
Effect on revenue		22

I	NDEX.			383
				Page
Tithes prevent capital being a	applied to	agriculture		67
Tobacco, effect of high duty of		•		41
Duty should be red	uced			48
Quantity imported			App.	No. II.
Torreus, Col., his work on the	Corn-lav	vs quoted to	show	
the benefit of m				65
Trade, beneficial effects of ma				15
Improvement in sta			•	15
Treasury, the control of, or			ld be	
re-established	.c. acpa.	5		103
Expense of the Trea	surv estab	lishment	•	261
Treasurer of the Navy	isur y estat	monnette.	•	134
reasurer of the mary	•	•	•	101
Victualling Office .				144
Accounts of .				ib.
Articles manufactur	ed by it			141
Titteles manadetai	eu ey n	•	•	
War, in future, should be can	rried on b	v war-taxes	alone	17
Financial preparation				274
Amount received fro				74, 276
Wealth, probable future incre				14
Effects of		•		17
West Indies. See Colonies	•	•	•	231
Wine, effect of high duties in	diminis	hina consum	ntion	-01
and revenue	i diminis	ining consum	Priori	42, 46
	•		Ann	
Quantity imported	-	•	ubb.	No. 11. 155
Woolwich Academy		_		100











